6.2.3 Funnels. Glass or high-density polyethylene, to aid in sample recovery.

6.3 Sample Preparation and Analysis.

- 6.3.1 Volumetric Flasks. Class A, various sizes.
- 6.3.2 Volumetric Pipettes. Class A, assortment. To dilute samples to calibration range of the ion chromatograph (IC).
- 6.3.3 Ion Chromatograph (IC). Suppressed or nonsuppressed, with a conductivity detector and electronic integrator operating in the peak area mode. Other detectors, a strip chart recorder, and peak heights may be used.

7.0 Reagents and Standards

Note: Unless otherwise indicated, all reagents must conform to the specifications established by the Committee on Analytical Reagents of the American Chemical Society (ACS reagent grade). When such specifications are not available, the best available grade shall be used.

7.1 Sampling.

7.1.1 Filter. Teflon mat (e.g., Pallflex TX40HI45) filter. When the stack gas temperature exceeds 210°C (410°F) a quartz fiber filter may be used.

7.1.2 Water. Deionized, distilled water that conforms to American Society of Testing and Materials (ASTM) Specification D 1193–77 or 91, Type 3 (incorporated by reference—see § 60.17).

7.1.3 Acidic Absorbing Solution, 0.1 N Sulfuric Acid (H_2SO_4). To prepare 1 L, slowly add 2.80 ml of concentrated 17.9 M H2SO4 to about 900 ml of water while stirring, and adjust the final volume to 1 L using additional water. Shake well to mix the solution.

7.1.4 Silica Gel, Crushed Ice, and Stopcock Grease. Same as Method 5, Sections 7.1.2, 7.1.4, and 7.1.5,

respectively.

- 7.1.5 Alkaline Absorbing Solution, 0.1 N Sodium Hydroxide (NaOH). To prepare 1 L, dissolve 4.00 g of solid NaOH in about 900 ml of water and adjust the final volume to 1 L using additional water. Shake well to mix the solution.
- 7.1.6 Sodium Thiosulfate, (Na₂S₂O₃3·5 H₂O).
- 7.2 Sample Preparation and Analysis.
- 7.2.1 Water. Same as in Section 7.1.2.
- 7.2.2 Absorbing Solution Blanks. A separate blank solution of each absorbing reagent should be prepared for analysis with the field samples. Dilute 200 ml of each absorbing solution (250 ml of the acidic absorbing solution, if a condensate impinger is used) to the same final volume as the field samples using the blank sample of rinse water.

If a particulate determination is conducted, collect a blank sample of acetone.

7.2.3 Halide Salt Stock Standard Solutions. Prepare concentrated stock solutions from reagent grade sodium chloride (NaCl), sodium bromide (NaBr), and sodium fluoride (NaF). Each must be dried at 110°C (230°F) for two or more hours and then cooled to room temperature in a desiccator immediately before weighing. Accurately weigh 1.6 to 1.7 g of the dried NaCl to within 0.1 mg, dissolve in water, and dilute to 1 liter. Calculate the exact Cl-concentration using Equation 26A-1 in Section 12.2. In a similar manner, accurately weigh and solubilize 1.2 to 1.3 g of dried NaBr and 2.2 to 2.3 g of NaF to make 1-liter solutions. Use Equations 26A-2 and 26A-3 in Section 12.2, to calculate the Br-and F⁻concentrations. Alternately, solutions containing a nominal certified concentration of 1000 mg/L NaCl are commercially available as convenient stock solutions from which standards can be made by appropriate volumetric dilution. Refrigerate the stock standard solutions and store no longer than one month.

7.2.4 Chromatographic Eluent. Same as Method 26, Section 7.2.4.

7.2.5 Water. Same as Section 7.1.1. 7.2.6 Acetone. Same as Method 5, Section 7.2.

7.3 Quality Assurance Audit Samples. When making compliance determinations, and upon availability, audit samples may be obtained from the appropriate EPA regional Office or from the responsible enforcement authority.

Note: The responsible enforcement authority should be notified at least 30 days prior to the test date to allow sufficient time for sample delivery.

8.0 Sample Collection, Preservation, Storage, and Transport

Note: Because of the complexity of this method, testers and analysts should be trained and experienced with the procedures to ensure reliable results.

8.1 Sampling.

8.1.1 Pretest Preparation. Follow the general procedure given in Method 5, Section 8.1, except the filter need only be desiccated and weighed if a particulate determination will be conducted.

8.1.2 Preliminary Determinations. Same as Method 5, Section 8.2.

8.1.3 Preparation of Sampling Train. Follow the general procedure given in Method 5, Section 8.1.3, except for the following variations: Add 50 ml of 0.1 N $\rm H_2SO_4$ to the condensate impinger, if used. Place 100 ml of 0.1 N $\rm H_2SO_4$ in

each of the next two impingers. Place 100 ml of 0.1 N NaOH in each of the following two impingers. Finally, transfer approximately 200–300 g of preweighed silica gel from its container to the last impinger. Set up the train as in Figure 26A–1. When used, the optional cyclone is inserted between the probe liner and filter holder and located in the heated filter box.

8.1.4 Leak-Check Procedures. Follow the leak-check procedures given in Method 5, Sections 8.4.2 (Pretest Leak-Check), 8.4.3 (Leak-Checks During the Sample Run), and 8.4.4 (Post-Test Leak-Check).

8.1.5 Sampling Train Operation. Follow the general procedure given in Method 5, Section 8.5. It is important to maintain a temperature around the probe, filter (and cyclone, if used) of greater than 120°C (248 °F) since it is extremely difficult to purge acid gases off these components. (These components are not quantitatively recovered and hence any collection of acid gases on these components would result in potential undereporting these emissions. The applicable subparts may specify alternative higher temperatures.) For each run, record the data required on a data sheet such as the one shown in Method 5, Figure 5-3. If the condensate impinger becomes too full, it may be emptied, recharged with 50 ml of 0.1 N H₂SO₄, and replaced during the sample run. The condensate emptied must be saved and included in the measurement of the volume of moisture collected and included in the sample for analysis. The additional 50 ml of absorbing reagent must also be considered in calculating the moisture. Before the sampling train integrity is compromised by removing the impinger, conduct a leak-check as described in Method 5, Section 8.4.2.

8.1.6 Post-Test Moisture Removal (Optional). When the optional cyclone is included in the sampling train or when liquid is visible on the filter at the end of a sample run even in the absence of a cyclone, perform the following procedure. Upon completion of the test run, connect the ambient air conditioning tube at the probe inlet and operate the train with the filter heating system at least 120°C (248 °F) at a low flow rate (e.g., $\Delta H = 1$ in. H_2O) to vaporize any liquid and hydrogen halides in the cyclone or on the filter and pull them through the train into the impingers. After 30 minutes, turn off the flow, remove the conditioning tube, and examine the cyclone and filter for any visible liquid. If liquid is visible, repeat this step for 15 minutes and observe again. Keep repeating until the cyclone is dry.

Note: It is critical that this is repeated until the cyclone is completely dry.

8.2 Sample Recovery. Allow the probe to cool. When the probe can be handled safely, wipe off all the external surfaces of the tip of the probe nozzle and place a cap loosely over the tip to prevent gaining or losing particulate matter. Do not cap the probe tip tightly while the sampling train is cooling down because this will create a vacuum in the filter holder, drawing water from the impingers into the holder. Before moving the sampling train to the cleanup site, remove the probe from the sample train, wipe off any silicone grease, and cap the open outlet of the impinger train, being careful not to lose any condensate that might be present. Wipe off any silicone grease and cap the filter or cyclone inlet. Remove the umbilical cord from the last impinger and cap the impinger. If a flexible line is used between the first impinger and the filter holder, disconnect it at the filter holder and let any condensed water drain into the first impinger. Wipe off any silicone grease and cap the filter holder outlet and the impinger inlet. Ground glass stoppers, plastic caps, serum caps, Teflon tape, Parafilm, or aluminum foil may be used to close these openings. Transfer the probe and filter/impinger assembly to the cleanup area. This area should be clean and protected from the weather to minimize sample contamination or loss. Inspect the train prior to and during disassembly and note any abnormal conditions. Treat samples as follows:

8.2.1 Container No. 1 (Optional; Filter Catch for Particulate Determination). Same as Method 5, Section 8.7.6.1, Container No. 1.

8.2.2 Container No. 2 (Optional; Front-Half Rinse for Particulate Determination). Same as Method 5, Section 8.7.6.2, Container No. 2.

8.2.3 Container No. 3 (Knockout and Acid Impinger Catch for Moisture and Hydrogen Halide Determination). Disconnect the impingers. Measure the liquid in the acid and knockout impingers to ±1 ml by using a graduated cylinder or by weighing it to ± 0.5 g by using a balance. Record the volume or weight of liquid present. This information is required to calculate the moisture content of the effluent gas. Quantitatively transfer this liquid to a leak-free sample storage container. Rinse these impingers and connecting glassware including the back portion of the filter holder (and flexible tubing, if used) with water and add these rinses to the storage container. Seal the container, shake to mix, and label. The fluid level should be marked so that if any sample is lost during transport, a correction proportional to the lost volume can be applied. Retain rinse water and acidic absorbing solution blanks to be analyzed with the samples.

8.2.4 Container No. 4 (Alkaline Impinger Catch for Halogen and Moisture Determination). Measure and record the liquid in the alkaline impingers as described in Section 8.2.3. Quantitatively transfer this liquid to a leak-free sample storage container. Rinse these two impingers and connecting glassware with water and add these rinses to the container. Add 25 mg of sodium thiosulfate per ppm halogen anticipated to be in the stack gas multiplied by the volume (dscm) of stack gas sampled (0.7 mg/ppm-dscf). Seal the container, shake to mix, and

label; mark the fluid level. Retain alkaline absorbing solution blank to be analyzed with the samples.

Note: 25 mg per sodium thiosulfate per ppm halogen anticipated to be in the stack includes a safety factor of approximately 5 to assure complete reaction with the hypohalous acid to form a second Cl⁻ ion in the alkaline solution.

- 8.2.5 Container No. 5 (Silica Gel for Moisture Determination). Same as Method 5, Section 8.7.6.3, Container No. 3.
- 8.2.6 Container Nos. 6 through 9 (Reagent Blanks). Save portions of the absorbing reagents (0.1 N H₂SO₄ and 0.1 N NaOH) equivalent to the amount used in the sampling train; dilute to the approximate volume of the corresponding samples using rinse water directly from the wash bottle being used. Add the same ratio of sodium thiosulfate solution used in container No. 4 to the 0.1 N NaOH absorbing reagent blank. Also, save a portion of the rinse water alone and a portion of the acetone equivalent to the amount used to rinse the front half of the sampling train. Place each in a separate, prelabeled sample container.
- 8.2.7 Prior to shipment, recheck all sample containers to ensure that the caps are well-secured. Seal the lids of all containers around the circumference with Teflon tape. Ship all liquid samples upright and all particulate filters with the particulate catch facing upward.
- 9.0 Quality Control
- $9.1\,$ Miscellaneous Quality Control Measures.

Section	Quality control measure	Effect
8.1.4, 10.1		Ensure accurate measurement of stack gas flow rate, sample
11.5	tion. Audit sample analysis	volume. Evaluate analyst's technique and standards preparation.

9.1 Volume Metering System Checks. Same as Method 5, Section 9.2.

10.0 Calibration and Standardization

Note: Maintain a laboratory log of all calibrations.

10.1 Probe Nozzle, Pitot Tube Assembly, Dry Gas Metering System, Probe Heater, Temperature Sensors, Leak-Check of Metering System, and Barometer. Same as Method 5, Sections 10.1, 10.2, 10.3, 10.4, 10.5, 8.4.1, and 10.6, respectively.

10.2 Ion Chromatograph. 10.2.1 To prepare the calibration standards, dilute given amounts (1.0 ml or greater) of the stock standard solutions to convenient volumes, using 0.1 N $\rm H_2SO_4$ or 0.1 N NaOH, as appropriate. Prepare at least four calibration standards for each absorbing reagent containing the three stock solutions such that they are within the linear range of the field samples.

10.2.2 Using one of the standards in each series, ensure adequate baseline separation for the peaks of interest.

10.2.3 Inject the appropriate series of calibration standards, starting with the lowest concentration standard first both before and after injection of the quality control check sample, reagent blanks, and field samples. This allows compensation for any instrument drift

occurring during sample analysis. The values from duplicate injections of these calibration samples should agree within 5 percent of their mean for the analysis to be valid.

10.2.4 Determine the peak areas, or height, of the standards and plot individual values versus halide ion concentrations in $\mu g/ml$.

10.2.5 Draw a smooth curve through the points. Use linear regression to calculate a formula describing the resulting linear curve.

11.0 Analytical Procedures

Note: the liquid levels in the sample containers and confirm on the analysis sheet

whether or not leakage occurred during transport. If a noticeable leakage has occurred, either void the sample or use methods, subject to the approval of the Administrator, to correct the final results.

- 11.1 Sample Analysis.
- 11.1.1 The IC conditions will depend upon analytical column type and whether suppressed or non-suppressed IC is used. An example chromatogram from a non-suppressed system using a 150-mm Hamilton PRP-X100 anion column, a 2 ml/min flow rate of a 4 mM 4-hydroxy benzoate solution adjusted to a pH of 8.6 using 1 N NaOH, a 50 μ l sample loop, and a conductivity detector set on 1.0 μ S full scale is shown in Figure 26–2.
- 11.1.2 Before sample analysis, establish a stable baseline. Next, inject a sample of water, and determine if any Cl⁻, Br⁻, or F⁻ appears in the chromatogram. If any of these ions are present, repeat the load/injection procedure until they are no longer present. Analysis of the acid and alkaline absorbing solution samples requires separate standard calibration curves; prepare each according to Section 10.2. Ensure adequate baseline separation of the analyses.
- 11.1.3 Between injections of the appropriate series of calibration standards, inject in duplicate the reagent blanks, quality control sample, and the field samples. Measure the areas or heights of the Cl⁻, Br⁻, and F peaks. Use the mean response of the duplicate injections to determine the concentrations of the field samples and reagent blanks using the linear calibration curve. The values from duplicate injections should agree within 5 percent of their mean for the analysis to be valid. If the values of duplicate injections are not within 5 percent of the mean, the duplicator injections shall be repeated and all four values used to determine the average response. Dilute any sample and the blank with equal volumes of water if the concentration exceeds that of the highest standard.
- 11.2 Container Nos. 1 and 2 and Acetone Blank (Optional; Particulate

Determination). Same as Method 5, Sections 11.2.1 and 11.2.2, respectively.

- 11.3 Container No. 5. Same as Method 5, Section 11.2.3 for silica gel.
 - 11.4 Audit Sample Analysis.
- 11.4.1 When the method is used to analyze samples to demonstrate compliance with a source emission regulation, a set of two EPA audit samples must be analyzed, subject to availability.
- 11.4.2 Concurrently analyze the audit samples and the compliance samples in the same manner to evaluate the technique of the analyst and the standards preparation.
- 11.4.3 The same analyst, analytical reagents, and analytical system shall be used for the compliance samples and the EPA audit samples. If this condition is met, duplicate auditing of subsequent compliance analyses for the same enforcement agency within a 30-day period is waived. An audit sample set may not be used to validate different sets of compliance samples under the jurisdiction of separate enforcement agencies, unless prior arrangements have been made with both enforcement agencies.
 - 11.5 Audit Sample Results.
- 11.5.1 Calculate the concentrations in mg/L of audit sample and submit results following the instructions provided with the audit samples.
- 11.5.2 Report the results of the audit samples and the compliance determination samples along with their identification numbers, and the analyst's name to the responsible enforcement authority. Include this information with reports of any subsequent compliance analyses for the same enforcement authority during the 30-day period.
- 11.5.3 The concentrations of the audit samples obtained by the analyst shall agree within 10 percent of the actual concentrations. If the 10 percent specification is not met, reanalyze the compliance and audit samples, and include initial and reanalysis values in the test report.
- 11.5.4 Failure to meet the 10 percent specification may require retests until

the audit problems are resolved. However, if the audit results do not affect the compliance or noncompliance status of the affected facility, the Administrator may waive the reanalysis requirement, further audits, or retests and accept the results of the compliance test. While steps are being taken to resolve audit analysis problems, the Administrator may also choose to use the data to determine the compliance or noncompliance status of the affected facility.

12.0 Data Analysis and Calculations

Note: Retain at least one extra decimal figure beyond those contained in the available data in intermediate calculations, and round off only the final answer appropriately.

- 12.1 Nomenclature. Same as Method 5, Section 12.1. In addition:
- $B_{\rm X-}$ = Mass concentration of applicable absorbing solution blank, µg halide ion (Cl⁻, Br⁻, F⁻)/ml, not to exceed 1 µg/ml which is 10 times the published analytical detection limit of 0.1 µg/ml. (It is also approximately 5 percent of the mass concentration anticipated to result from a one hour sample at 10 ppmv HCl.)
- C = Concentration of hydrogen halide (HX) or halogen (X₂), dry basis, mg/dscm.

 $K = 10^{-3} \text{ mg/\mu g}.$

 K_{HCl} = 1.028 (µg HCl/µg-mole)/(µg Cl⁻/µg-mole).

 $K_{\rm HBr}$ = 1.013 (µg HBr/µg-mole)/(µg Br⁻/µg-mole).

 K_{HF} = 1.053 (µg HF/µg-mole)/(µg F⁻/µg-mole).

 m_{HX} = Mass of HCl, HBr, or HF in sample, ug.

 m_{X2} = Mass of Cl_2 or Br_2 in sample, ug. S_{X-} = Analysis of sample, ug halide ion (Cl^- , Br^- , F^-)/ml.

 V_s = Volume of filtered and diluted sample, ml.

12.2 Calculate the exact Cl^- , Br^- , and F^- concentration in the halide salt stock standard solutions using the following equations.

 $\mu g Cl^-/ml = g \text{ of NaCl} \times 10^3 \times 35.453/58.44$ Eq. 26A-1 $\mu g Br^-/ml = g \text{ of NaBr} \times 10^3 \times 79.904/102.90$ Eq. 26A-2

 $\mu g F^-/ml = g \text{ of NaF} \times 10^3 \times 18.998/41.99$ Eq. 26A-3

- 12.3 Average Dry Gas Meter Temperature and Average Orifice Pressure Drop. See data sheet (Figure 5–3 of Method 5).
- 12.4 Dry Gas Volume. Calculate $V_{m(std)}$ and adjust for leakage, if necessary, using the equation in Section 12.3 of Method 5.

- 12.5 Volume of Water Vapor and Moisture Content. Calculate the volume of water vapor $V_{w(std)}$ and moisture content B_{ws} from the data obtained in this method (Figure 5–3 of Method 5); use Equations 5–2 and 5–3 of Method 5
 - 12.6 Isokinetic Variation and Acceptable Results. Use Method 5, Section 12.11.
- 12.7 Acetone Blank Concentration, Acetone Wash Blank Residue Weight, Particulate Weight, and Particulate Concentration. For particulate determination.
 - 12.8 Total µg HCl, HBr, or HF Per Sample.

$$m_{HX} = K_{HCl,Hbr,HF} V_s (S_{X^-} - B_{X^-})$$
 Eq. 26A-4

12.9 Total μg Cl₂ or Br₂ Per Sample.

$$m_{X2} = V_s (S_{X^-} - B_{X^-})$$
 Eq. 26A-5

12.10 Concentration of Hydrogen Halide or Halogen in Flue Gas.

$$C = K m_{HX,X2} / V_{m(std)}$$
 Eq. 26A-6

12.11 Stack Gas Velocity and Volumetric Flow Rate. Calculate the average stack gas velocity and volumetric flow rate, if needed, using data obtained in this method and the equations in Sections 12.3 and 12.4 of Method 2.

3.0 Method Performance

13.1 Precision and Bias. The method has a possible measurable negative bias below 20 ppm HCl perhaps due to reaction with small amounts of moisture in the probe and filter. Similar bias for the other hydrogen halides is possible.

13.2 Sample Stability. The collected Cl-samples can be stored for up to 4 weeks for analysis for HCl and Cl2.

13.3 Detection Limit. A typical analytical detection limit for HCl is $0.2~\mu g/ml$. Detection limits for the other analyses should be similar. Assuming 300 ml of liquid recovered for the acidified impingers and a similar amounts recovered from the basic impingers, and 1 dscm of stack gas sampled, the analytical detection limits in the stack gas would be about $0.04~\mu m$ for HCl and Cl2, respectively.

14.0 Pollution Prevention, [Reserved]

15.0 Waste Management, [Reserved]

16.0 References

1. Steinsberger, S. C. and J. H. Margeson. Laboratory and Field Evaluation of a Methodology for Determination of Hydrogen Chloride Emissions from Municipal and Hazardous Waste Incinerators. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Research and Development. Publication No. 600/3–89/064. April 1989. Available from National Technical Information Service, Springfield, VA 22161 as PB89220586/AS.

- 2. State of California Air Resources Board. Method 421—Determination of Hydrochloric Acid Emissions from Stationary Sources. March 18, 1987.
- 3. Cheney, J.L. and C.R. Fortune. Improvements in the Methodology for Measuring Hydrochloric Acid in Combustion Source Emissions. J. Environ. Sci. Health. *A19*(3): 337–350. 1984.
- 4. Stern, D.A., B.M. Myatt, J.F. Lachowski, and K.T. McGregor. Speciation of Halogen and Hydrogen Halide Compounds in Gaseous Emissions. In: Incineration and Treatment of Hazardous Waste: Proceedings of the 9th Annual Research Symposium, Cincinnati, Ohio, May 2–4, 1983. Publication No. 600/9–84–015. July 1984. Available from National Technical Information Service, Springfield, VA 22161 as PB84–234525.
- 5. Holm, R.D. and S.A. Barksdale. Analysis of Anions in Combustion Products. In: Ion Chromatographic Analysis of Environmental Pollutants, E. Sawicki, J.D. Mulik, and E. Wittgenstein (eds.). Ann Arbor, Michigan, Ann Arbor Science Publishers. 1978. pp. 99–110.

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

17.0 Tables, Diagrams, Flowcharts, and Validation Data




Figure 26A-1. Sampling Train

Method 27—Determination of Vapor Tightness of Gasoline Delivery Tank Using Pressure Vaccuum Test

1.0 Scope and Application

1.1 Applicability. This method is applicable for the determination of vapor tightness of a gasoline delivery collection equipment.

2.0 Summary of Method

2.1 Pressure and vacuum are applied alternately to the compartments of a gasoline delivery tank and the change in pressure or vacuum is recorded after a specified period of time.

3.0 Definitions

- 3.1 Allowable pressure change (Δp) means the allowable amount of decrease in pressure during the static pressure test, within the time period t, as specified in the appropriate regulation, in mm H_2O .
- 3.2 Allowable vacuum change (Δv) means the allowable amount of decrease in vacuum during the static vacuum test, within the time period t, as specified in the appropriate regulation, in mm H_2O .
- 3.3 *Compartment* means a liquid-tight division of a delivery tank.
- 3.4 Delivery tank means a container, including associated pipes and fittings, that is attached to or forms a part of any truck, trailer, or railcar used for the transport of gasoline.
- 3.5 Delivery tank vapor collection equipment means any piping, hoses, and devices on the delivery tank used to collect and route gasoline vapors either from the tank to a bulk terminal vapor control system or from a bulk plant or service station into the tank.
- 3.6 Gasoline means a petroleum distillate or petroleum distillate/alcohol blend having a Reid vapor pressure of 27.6 kilopascals or greater which is used as a fuel for internal combustion engines.
- 3.7 Initial pressure (P_i) means the pressure applied to the delivery tank at the beginning of the static pressure test, as specified in the appropriate regulation, in mm H_2O .
- 3.8 Initial vacuum (V_i) means the vacuum applied to the delivery tank at the beginning of the static vacuum test, as specified in the appropriate regulation, in mm H_3 .
- 3.9 Time period of the pressure or vacuum test (t) means the time period of the test, as specified in the appropriate regulation, during which the change in pressure or vacuum is monitored, in minutes.

- 4.0 Interferences [Reserved]
- 5.0 Safety
- 5.1 Gasoline contains several volatile organic compounds (e.g. benzene and hexane) which presents a potential for fire and/or explosions. It is advisable to take appropriate precautions when testing a gasoline vessel's vapor tightness, such as refraining from smoking and using explosion-proof equipment.
- 5.2 This method may involve hazardous materials, operations, and equipment. This test method may not address all of the safety problems associated with its use. It is the responsibility of the user of this test method to establish appropriate safety and health practices and determine the applicability of regulatory limitations prior to performing this test method

6.0 Equipment and Supplies

The following equipment and supplies are required for testing:

- 6.1 Pressure Source. Pump or compressed gas cylinder of air or inert gas sufficient to pressurize the delivery tank to 500 mm (20 in.) H_2O above atmospheric pressure.
- 6.2 Regulator. Low pressure regulator for controlling pressurization of the delivery tank.
- 6.3 Vacuum Source. Vacuum pump capable of evacuating the delivery tank to 250 mm (10 in.) H_2O below
- atmospheric pressure.
 6.4 Pressure-Vacuum Supply Hose.
- 6.5 Manometer. Liquid manometer, or equivalent instrument, capable of measuring up to 500 mm (20 in.) H_2O gauge pressure with \pm 2.5 mm (0.1 in.) H_2O precision.
- 6.6 Pressure-Vacuum Relief Valves. The test apparatus shall be equipped with an inline pressure-vacuum relief valve set to activate at 675 mm (26.6 in.) H₂O above atmospheric pressure or 250 mm (10 in.) H₂O below atmospheric pressure, with a capacity equal to the pressurizing or evacuating pumps.
- 6.7 Test Cap for Vapor Recovery Hose. This cap shall have a tap for manometer connection and a fitting with shut-off valve for connection to the pressure-vacuum supply hose.
 - 6.8 Caps for Liquid Delivery Hoses.
- 7.0 Reagents and Standards [Reserved]
- 8.0 Sample Collection, Preservation, Storage, and Transport
 - 8.1 Pretest Preparations.
- 8.1.1 Summary. Testing problems may occur due to the presence of volatile vapors and/or temperature fluctuations inside the delivery tank. Under these conditions, it is often difficult to obtain a stable initial

- pressure at the beginning of a test, and erroneous test results may occur. To help prevent this, it is recommended that prior to testing, volatile vapors be removed from the tank and the temperature inside the tank be allowed to stabilize. Because it is not always possible to completely attain these pretest conditions, a provision to ensure reproducible results is included. The difference in results for two consecutive runs must meet the criteria in Sections 8.2.2.5 and 8.2.3.5.
- 8.1.2 Emptying of Tank. The delivery tank shall be emptied of all liquid.
- 8.1.3 Purging of Vapor. As much as possible the delivery tank shall be purged of all volatile vapors by any safe, acceptable method. One method is to carry a load of non-volatile liquid fuel, such as diesel or heating oil, immediately prior to the test, thus flushing out all the volatile gasoline vapors. A second method is to remove the volatile vapors by blowing ambient air into each tank compartment for at least 20 minutes. This second method is usually not as effective and often causes stabilization problems, requiring a much longer time for stabilization during the testing.
- 8.1.4 Temperature Stabilization. As much as possible, the test shall be conducted under isothermal conditions. The temperature of the delivery tank should be allowed to equilibrate in the test environment. During the test, the tank should be protected from extreme environmental and temperature variability, such as direct sunlight.
 - 8.2 Test Procedure.
 - 8.2.1 Preparations.
- 8.2.1.1 Open and close each dome cover.
- 8.2.1.2 Connect static electrical ground connections to the tank. Attach the liquid delivery and vapor return hoses, remove the liquid delivery elbows, and plug the liquid delivery fittings.

Note: The purpose of testing the liquid delivery hoses is to detect tears or holes that would allow liquid leakage during a delivery. Liquid delivery hoses are not considered to be possible sources of vapor leakage, and thus, do not have to be attached for a vapor leakage test. Instead, a liquid delivery hose could be either visually inspected, or filled with water to detect any liquid leakage.

- 8.2.1.3 Attach the test cap to the end of the vapor recovery hose.
- 8.2.1.4 Connect the pressurevacuum supply hose and the pressurevacuum relief valve to the shut-off valve. Attach a manometer to the pressure tap.
- 8.2.1.5 Connect compartments of the tank internally to each other if possible.

If not possible, each compartment must be tested separately, as if it were an individual delivery tank.

8.2.2 Pressure Test.

8.2.2.1 Connect the pressure source to the pressure-vacuum supply hose.

8.2.2.2 Open the shut-off valve in the vapor recovery hose cap. Apply air pressure slowly, pressurize the tank to P_i , the initial pressure specified in the regulation.

8.2.2.3 Close the shut-off and allow the pressure in the tank to stabilize, adjusting the pressure if necessary to maintain pressure of P_i. When the pressure stabilizes, record the time and initial pressure.

8.2.2.4 At the end of the time period (t) specified in the regulation, record the time and final pressure.

8.2.2.5 Repeat steps 8.2.2.2 through 8.2.2.4 until the change in pressure for two consecutive runs agrees within 12.5 mm (0.5 in.) $\rm H_2O$. Calculate the arithmetic average of the two results.

8.2.2.6 Compare the average measured change in pressure to the

allowable pressure change, Δp , specified in the regulation. If the delivery tank does not satisfy the vapor tightness criterion specified in the regulation, repair the sources of leakage, and repeat the pressure test until the criterion is met.

8.2.2.7 Disconnect the pressure source from the pressure-vacuum supply hose, and slowly open the shutoff valve to bring the tank to atmospheric pressure.

8.2.3 Vacuum Test.

- 8.2.3.1 Connect the vacuum source to the pressure-vacuum supply hose.
- 8.2.3.2 Open the shut-off valve in the vapor recovery hose cap. Slowly evacuate the tank to V_i , the initial vacuum specified in the regulation.
- 8.2.3.3 Close the shut-off valve and allow the pressure in the tank to stabilize, adjusting the pressure if necessary to maintain a vacuum of V_i . When the pressure stabilizes, record the time and initial vacuum.

8.2.3.4 At the end of the time period specified in the regulation (t), record the time and final vacuum.

8.2.3.5 Repeat steps 8.2.3.2 through 8.2.3.4 until the change in vacuum for two consecutive runs agrees within 12.5 mm (0.5 in.) H_2O . Calculate the arithmetic average of the two results.

8.2.3.6 Compare the average measured change in vacuum to the allowable vacuum change, Δv , as specified in the regulation. If the delivery tank does not satisfy the vapor tightness criterion specified in the regulation, repair the sources of leakage, and repeat the vacuum test until the criterion is met.

8.2.3.7 Disconnect the vacuum source from the pressure-vacuum supply hose, and slowly open the shutoff valve to bring the tank to atmospheric pressure.

8.2.4 Post-Test Clean-up. Disconnect all test equipment and return the delivery tank to its pretest condition.

9.0 Quality Control

Section(s)	Quality control measure	Effect
8.2.2.5, 8.3.3.5	Repeat test procedures until change in pressure or vacuum for two consecutive runs agrees within \pm 12.5 mm (0.5 in.) H_2O .	

10.0 Calibration and Standardization [Reserved]

11.0 Analytical Procedures [Reserved]12.0 Data Analysis and Calculations [Reserved]

13.0 Method Performance

- 13.1 Precision. The vapor tightness of a gasoline delivery tank under positive or negative pressure, as measured by this method, is precise within 12.5 mm (0.5 in.) H₂O
- 13.2 Bias. No bias has been identified.
- 14.0 Pollution Prevention [Reserved]
- 15.0 Waste Management [Reserved]

16.0 Alternative Procedures

- 16.1 The pumping of water into the bottom of a delivery tank is an acceptable alternative to the pressure source described above. Likewise, the draining of water out of the bottom of a delivery tank may be substituted for the vacuum source. Note that some of the specific step-by-step procedures in the method must be altered slightly to accommodate these different pressure and vacuum sources.
- 16.2 Techniques other than specified above may be used for purging and pressurizing a delivery tank, if prior approval is obtained from the

Administrator. Such approval will be based upon demonstrated equivalency with the above method.

17.0 References [Reserved]

18.0 Tables, Diagrams, Flowcharts, and Validation Data [Reserved]

Method 28—Certification and Auditing of Wood Heaters

Note: This method does not include all of the specifications (e.g., equipment and supplies) and procedures (e.g., sampling and analytical) essential to its performance. Some material is incorporated by reference from other methods in this part. Therefore, to obtain reliable results, persons using this method should have a thorough knowledge of at least the following additional test methods: Method 1, Method 2, Method 3, Method 4, Method 5, Method 5G, Method 5H, Method 6, Method 6C, and Method 16A.

1.0 Scope and Application

1.1 Analyte. Particulate matter (PM). No CAS number assigned.

1.2 Applicability. This method is applicable for the certification and auditing of wood heaters, including pellet burning wood heaters.

1.3 Data Quality Objectives. Adherence to the requirements of this method will enhance the quality of the data obtained from air pollutant sampling methods.

2.0 Summary of Method

2.1 Particulate matter emissions are measured from a wood heater burning a prepared test fuel crib in a test facility maintained at a set of prescribed conditions. Procedures for determining burn rates and particulate emission rates and for reducing data are provided.

3.0 Definitions

- 3.1 2×4 or 4×4 means two inches by four inches or four inches by four inches (50 mm by 100 mm or 100 mm by 100 mm), as nominal dimensions for lumber.
- 3.2 Burn rate means the rate at which test fuel is consumed in a wood heater. Measured in kilograms or lbs of wood (dry basis) per hour (kg/hr or lb/hr).
- 3.3 Certification or audit test means a series of at least four test runs conducted for certification or audit purposes that meets the burn rate specifications in Section 8.4.

3.4 *Firebox* means the chamber in the wood heater in which the test fuel charge is placed and combusted.

3.5 Height means the vertical distance extending above the loading door, if fuel could reasonably occupy that space, but not more than 2 inches above the top (peak height) of the loading door, to the floor of the firebox

- (i.e., below a permanent grate) if the grate allows a 1-inch diameter piece of wood to pass through the grate, or, if not, to the top of the grate. Firebox height is not necessarily uniform but must account for variations caused by internal baffles, air channels, or other permanent obstructions.
- 3.6 *Length* means the longest horizontal fire chamber dimension that is parallel to a wall of the chamber.
- 3.7 Pellet burning wood heater means a wood heater which meets the following criteria: (1) The manufacturer makes no reference to burning cord wood in advertising or other literature, (2) the unit is safety listed for pellet fuel only, (3) the unit operating and instruction manual must state that the use of cordwood is prohibited by law, and (4) the unit must be manufactured and sold including the hopper and auger combination as integral parts.
- 3.8 Secondary air supply means an air supply that introduces air to the wood heater such that the burn rate is not altered by more than 25 percent when the secondary air supply is adjusted during the test run. The wood heater manufacturer can document this through design drawings that show the secondary air is introduced only into a mixing chamber or secondary chamber outside the firebox.
- 3.9 *Test facility* means the area in which the wood heater is installed, operated, and sampled for emissions.
- 3.10 Test fuel charge means the collection of test fuel pieces placed in the wood heater at the start of the emission test run.
- 3.11 Test fuel crib means the arrangement of the test fuel charge with the proper spacing requirements between adjacent fuel pieces.
- 3.12 Test fuel loading density means the weight of the as-fired test fuel charge per unit volume of usable firebox.
- 3.13 Test fuel piece means the 2×4 or 4×4 wood piece cut to the length required for the test fuel charge and used to construct the test fuel crib.
- 3.14 *Test run* means an individual emission test which encompasses the time required to consume the mass of the test fuel charge.
- 3.15 Usable firebox volume means the volume of the firebox determined using its height, length, and width as defined in this section.
- 3.16 *Width* means the shortest horizontal fire chamber dimension that is parallel to a wall of the chamber.
- 3.17 Wood heater means an enclosed, woodburning appliance capable of and intended for space heating or domestic water heating, as defined in the applicable regulation.

- 4.0 Interferences [Reserved]
- 5.0 Safety
- 5.1 Disclaimer. This method may involve hazardous materials, operations, and equipment. This test method may not address all of the safety problems associated with its use. It is the responsibility of the user of this test method to establish appropriate safety and health practices and to determine the applicability of regulatory limitations prior to performing this test method.

6.0 Equipment and Supplies

Same as Section 6.0 of either Method 5G or Method 5H, with the addition of the following:

6.1 Insulated Solid Pack Chimney. For installation of wood heaters. Solid pack insulated chimneys shall have a minimum of 2.5 cm (1 in.) solid pack insulating material surrounding the entire flue and possess a label demonstrating conformance to U.L. 103 (incorporated by reference—see § 60.17).

6.2 Platform Scale and Monitor. For monitoring of fuel load weight change. The scale shall be capable of measuring weight to within 0.05 kg (0.1 lb) or 1 percent of the initial test fuel charge weight, whichever is greater.

6.3 Wood Heater Temperature Monitors. Seven, each capable of measuring temperature to within 1.5 percent of expected absolute temperatures.

- 6.4 Test Facility Temperature Monitor. A thermocouple located centrally in a vertically oriented 150 mm (6 in.) long, 50 mm (2 in.) diameter pipe shield that is open at both ends, capable of measuring temperature to within 1.5 percent of expected temperatures.
- 6.5 Balance (optional). Balance capable of weighing the test fuel charge to within 0.05 kg (0.1 lb).
- 6.6 Moisture Meter. Calibrated electrical resistance meter for measuring test fuel moisture to within 1 percent moisture content.
- 6.7 Anemometer. Device capable of detecting air velocities less than 0.10 m/sec (20 ft/min), for measuring air velocities near the test appliance.
- 6.8 Barometer. Mercury, aneroid or other barometer capable of measuring atmospheric pressure to within 2.5 mm Hg (0.1 in. Hg).
- 6.9 Draft Gauge. Electromanometer or other device for the determination of flue draft or static pressure readable to within 0.50 Pa (0.002 in. H₂O).
- 6.10 Humidity Gauge. Psychrometer or hygrometer for measuring room humidity.
 - 6.11 Wood Heater Flue.

- 6.11.1 Steel flue pipe extending to 2.6 ± 0.15 m (8.5 ± 0.5 ft) above the top of the platform scale, and above this level, insulated solid pack type chimney extending to 4.6 ± 0.3 m (15 ± 1 ft) above the platform scale, and of the size specified by the wood heater manufacturer. This applies to both freestanding and insert type wood heaters.
- 6.11.2 Other chimney types (e.g., solid pack insulated pipe) may be used in place of the steel flue pipe if the wood heater manufacturer's written appliance specifications require such chimney for home installation (e.g., zero clearance wood heater inserts). Such alternative chimney or flue pipe must remain and be sealed with the wood heater following the certification test.
- 6.12 Test Facility. The test facility shall meet the following requirements during testing:
- 6.12.1 The test facility temperature shall be maintained between 18 and 32 °C (65 and 90 °F) during each test run.
- 6.12.2 Air velocities within 0.6 m (2 ft) of the test appliance and exhaust system shall be less than 0.25 m/sec (50 ft/min) without fire in the unit.
- 6.12.3 The flue shall discharge into the same space or into a space freely communicating with the test facility. Any hood or similar device used to vent combustion products shall not induce a draft greater than 1.25 Pa (0.005 in. $\rm H_2O$) on the wood heater measured when the wood heater is not operating.
- 6.12.4 For test facilities with artificially induced barometric pressures (e.g., pressurized chambers), the barometric pressure in the test facility shall not exceed 775 mm Hg (30.5 in. Hg) during any test run.

7.0 Reagents and Standards

Same as Section 6.0 of either Method 5G or Method 5H, with the addition of the following:

- 7.1 Test Fuel. The test fuel shall conform to the following requirements:
- 7.1.1 Fuel Species. Untreated, airdried, Douglas fir lumber. Kiln-dried lumber is not permitted. The lumber shall be certified C grade (standard) or better Douglas fir by a lumber grader at the mill of origin as specified in the West Coast Lumber Inspection Bureau Standard No. 16 (incorporated by reference—see § 60.17).
- 7.1.2 Fuel Moisture. The test fuel shall have a moisture content range between 16 to 20 percent on a wet basis (19 to 25 percent dry basis). Addition of moisture to previously dried wood is not allowed. It is recommended that the test fuel be stored in a temperature and humidity-controlled room.

- 7.1.3 Fuel Temperature. The test fuel shall be at the test facility temperature of 18 to 32 °C (65 to 90 °F).
- 7.1.4 Fuel Dimensions. The dimensions of each test fuel piece shall conform to the nominal measurements of 2×4 and 4×4 lumber. Each piece of test fuel (not including spacers) shall be of equal length, except as necessary to meet requirements in Section 8.8, and shall closely approximate 5/6 the dimensions of the length of the usable firebox. The fuel piece dimensions shall be determined in relation to the
- appliance's firebox volume according to guidelines listed below:
- 7.1.4.1 If the usable firebox volume is less than or equal to 0.043 m^3 (1.5 ft³), use 2×4 lumber.
- 7.1.4.2 If the usable firebox volume is greater than 0.043 m^3 (1.5 ft³) and less than or equal to 0.085 m^3 (3.0 ft³), use 2×4 and 4×4 lumber. About half the weight of the test fuel charge shall be 2×4 lumber, and the remainder shall be 4×4 lumber.
- 7.1.4.3 If the usable firebox volume is greater than $0.085~\text{m}^3$ (3.0 ft³), use 4×4 lumber.
- 7.2 Test Fuel Spacers. Air-dried, Douglas fir lumber meeting the requirements outlined in Sections 7.1.1 through 7.1.3. The spacers shall be 130×40×20 mm (5×1.5×0.75 in.).
- 8.0 Sample Collection, Preservation, Storage, and Transport
 - 8.1 Test Run Requirements.
- 8.1.1 Burn Rate Categories. One emission test run is required in each of the following burn rate categories:

BURN RATE CATEGORIES [Average kg/hr (lb/hr), dry basis]

Category 1	Category 2	Category 3	Category 4
<0.80(<1.76)	(1.76 to 2.76)		Maximum. burn rate.

- 8.1.1.1 Maximum Burn Rate. For Category 4, the wood heater shall be operated with the primary air supply inlet controls fully open (or, if thermostatically controlled, the thermostat shall be set at maximum heat output) during the entire test run, or the maximum burn rate setting specified by the manufacturer's written instructions.
- 8.1.1.2 Other Burn Rate Categories. For burn rates in Categories 1 through 3, the wood heater shall be operated with the primary air supply inlet control, or other mechanical control device, set at a predetermined position necessary to obtain the average burn rate required for the category.

8.1.1.3 Alternative Burn Rates for Burn Rate Categories 1 and 2.

8.1.1.3.1 If a wood heater cannot be operated at a burn rate below 0.80 kg/hr (1.76 lb/hr), two test runs shall be conducted with burn rates within Category 2. If a wood heater cannot be operated at a burn rate below 1.25 kg/hr (2.76 lb/hr), the flue shall be dampered or the air supply otherwise controlled in order to achieve two test runs within Category 2.

8.1.1.3.2 Evidence that a wood heater cannot be operated at a burn rate less than 0.80 kg/hr shall include documentation of two or more attempts to operate the wood heater in burn rate Category 1 and fuel combustion has stopped, or results of two or more test runs demonstrating that the burn rates were greater than 0.80 kg/hr when the air supply controls were adjusted to the lowest possible position or settings. Stopped fuel combustion is evidenced when an elapsed time of 30 minutes or more has occurred without a measurable

(< 0.05 kg (0.1 lb) or 1.0 percent,

whichever is greater) weight change in the test fuel charge. See also Section 8.8.3. Report the evidence and the reasoning used to determine that a test in burn rate Category 1 cannot be achieved; for example, two unsuccessful attempts to operate at a burn rate of 0.4 kg/hr are not sufficient evidence that burn rate Category 1 cannot be achieved.

Note: After July 1, 1990, if a wood heater cannot be operated at a burn rate less than 0.80 kg/hr, at least one test run with an average burn rate of 1.00 kg/hr or less shall be conducted. Additionally, if flue dampering must be used to achieve burn rates below 1.25 kg/hr (or 1.0 kg/hr), results from a test run conducted at burn rates below 0.90 kg/hr need not be reported or included in the test run average provided that such results are replaced with results from a test run meeting the criteria above.

- 8.2 Catalytic Combustor and Wood Heater Aging. The catalyst-equipped wood heater or a wood heater of any type shall be aged before the certification test begins. The aging procedure shall be conducted and documented by a testing laboratory accredited according to procedures in § 60.535 of 40 CFR part 60.
- 8.2.1 Catalyst-equipped Wood Heater. Operate the catalyst-equipped wood heater using fuel meeting the specifications outlined in Sections 7.1.1 through 7.1.3, or cordwood with a moisture content between 15 and 25 percent on a wet basis. Operate the wood heater at a medium burn rate (Category 2 or 3) with a new catalytic combustor in place and in operation for at least 50 hours. Record and report hourly catalyst exit temperature data (Section 8.6.2) and the hours of operation.

- 8.2.2 Non-Catalyst Wood Heater. Operate the wood heater using the fuel described in Section 8.4.1 at a medium burn rate for at least 10 hours. Record and report the hours of operation.
- 8.3 Pretest Recordkeeping. Record the test fuel charge dimensions and weights, and wood heater and catalyst descriptions as shown in the example in Figure 28–1.
- 8.4 Wood Heater Installation.
 Assemble the wood heater appliance and parts in conformance with the manufacturer's written installation instructions. Place the wood heater centrally on the platform scale and connect the wood heater to the flue described in Section 6.11. Clean the flue with an appropriately sized, wire chimney brush before each certification test.
- 8.5 Wood Heater Temperature Monitors.
- 8.5.1 For catalyst-equipped wood heaters, locate a temperature monitor (optional) about 25 mm (1 in.) upstream of the catalyst at the centroid of the catalyst face area, and locate a temperature monitor (mandatory) that will indicate the catalyst exhaust temperature. This temperature monitor is centrally located within 25 mm (1 in.) downstream at the centroid of catalyst face area. Record these locations.
- 8.5.2 Locate wood heater surface temperature monitors at five locations on the wood heater firebox exterior surface. Position the temperature monitors centrally on the top surface, on two sidewall surfaces, and on the bottom and back surfaces. Position the monitor sensing tip on the firebox exterior surface inside of any heat shield, air circulation walls, or other

wall or shield separated from the firebox exterior surface. Surface temperature locations for unusual design shapes (e.g., spherical, etc.) shall be positioned so that there are four surface temperature monitors in both the vertical and horizontal planes passing at right angles through the centroid of the firebox, not including the fuel loading door (total of five temperature monitors).

8.6 Test Facility Conditions.
8.6.1 Locate the test facility
temperature monitor on the horizontal
plane that includes the primary air
intake opening for the wood heater.
Locate the temperature monitor 1 to 2 m
(3 to 6 ft) from the front of the wood
heater in the 90° sector in front of the
wood heater.

8.6.2 Use an anemometer to measure the air velocity. Measure and record the room air velocity before the pretest ignition period (Section 8.7) and once immediately following the test run completion.

8.6.3 Measure and record the test facility's ambient relative humidity, barometric pressure, and temperature before and after each test run.

8.6.4 Measure and record the flue draft or static pressure in the flue at a location no greater than 0.3 m (1 ft) above the flue connector at the wood heater exhaust during the test run at the recording intervals (Section 8.8.2).

Wood Heater Firebox Volume. 8.7.1 Determine the firebox volume using the definitions for height, width, and length in Section 3. Volume adjustments due to presence of firebrick and other permanent fixtures may be necessary. Adjust width and length dimensions to extend to the metal wall of the wood heater above the firebrick or permanent obstruction if the firebrick or obstruction extending the length of the side(s) or back wall extends less than one-third of the usable firebox height. Use the width or length dimensions inside the firebrick if the firebrick extends more than one-third of the usable firebox height. If a log retainer or grate is a permanent fixture and the manufacturer recommends that no fuel be placed outside the retainer, the area outside of the retainer is excluded from the firebox volume calculations.

8.7.2 In general, exclude the area above the ash lip if that area is less than 10 percent of the usable firebox volume. Otherwise, take into account consumer loading practices. For instance, if fuel is to be loaded front-to-back, an ash lip may be considered usable firebox volume.

8.7.3 Include areas adjacent to and above a baffle (up to two inches above

the fuel loading opening) if four inches or more horizontal space exist between the edge of the baffle and a vertical obstruction (e.g., sidewalls or air channels).

8.8 Test Fuel Charge.

8.8.1 Prepare the test fuel pieces in accordance with the specifications outlined in Sections 7.1 and 7.2. Determine the test fuel moisture content with a calibrated electrical resistance meter or other equivalent performance meter. If necessary, convert fuel moisture content values from dry basis (%M_d) to wet basis (%M_w) in Section 12.2 using Equation 28-1. Determine fuel moisture for each fuel piece (not including spacers) by averaging at least three moisture meter readings, one from each of three sides, measured parallel to the wood grain. Average all the readings for all the fuel pieces in the test fuel charge. If an electrical resistance type meter is used, penetration of insulated electrodes shall be one-fourth the thickness of the test fuel piece or 19 mm (0.75 in.), whichever is greater. Measure the moisture content within a 4-hour period prior to the test run. Determine the fuel temperature by measuring the temperature of the room where the wood has been stored for at least 24 hours prior to the moisture determination.

8.8.2 Attach the spacers to the test fuel pieces with uncoated, ungalvanized nails or staples as illustrated in Figure 28–2. Attachment of spacers to the top of the test fuel piece(s) on top of the test fuel charge is optional.

8.8.3 To avoid stacking difficulties, or when a whole number of test fuel pieces does not result, all piece lengths shall be adjusted uniformly to remain within the specified loading density. The shape of the test fuel crib shall be geometrically similar to the shape of the firebox volume without resorting to special angular or round cuts on the individual fuel pieces.

8.8.4 The test fuel loading density shall be $112 \pm 11.2 \text{ kg/m}^3$ ($7 \pm 0.7 \text{ lb/ft}^3$) of usable firebox volume on a wet basis.

8.9 Sampling Equipment. Prepare the sampling equipment as defined by the selected method (*i.e.*, either Method 5G or Method 5H). Collect one particulate emission sample for each test run.

8.10 Secondary Air Adjustment Validation.

8.10.1 If design drawings do not show the introduction of secondary air into a chamber outside the firebox (see "secondary air supply" under Section 3.0, Definitions), conduct a separate test of the wood heater's secondary air supply. Operate the wood heater at a

burn rate in Category 1 (Section 8.1.1) with the secondary air supply operated following the manufacturer's written instructions. Start the secondary air validation test run as described in Section 8.8.1, except no emission sampling is necessary and burn rate data shall be recorded at 5-minute intervals.

8.10.2 After the start of the test run, operate the wood heater with the secondary air supply set as per the manufacturer's instructions, but with no adjustments to this setting. After 25 percent of the test fuel has been consumed, adjust the secondary air supply controls to another setting, as per the manufacturer's instructions. Record the burn rate data (5-minute intervals) for 20 minutes following the air supply adjustment.

8.10.3 Adjust the air supply control(s) to the original position(s), operate at this condition for at least 20 minutes, and repeat the air supply adjustment procedure above. Repeat the procedure three times at equal intervals over the entire burn period as defined in Section 8.8. If the secondary air adjustment results in a burn rate change of more than an average of 25 percent between the 20-minute periods before and after the secondary adjustments, the secondary air supply shall be considered a primary air supply, and no adjustment to this air supply is allowed during the test run.

8.10.4 The example sequence below describes a typical secondary air adjustment validation check. The first cycle begins after at least 25 percent of the test fuel charge has been consumed. Cycle 1

Part 1, sec air adjusted to final position—20 min

Part 2, sec air adjusted to final position—20 min

Part 3, sec air adjusted to final position—20 min

Cycle 2

Part 1, sec air adjusted to final position—20 min

Part 2, sec air adjusted to final position—20 min

Part 3, sec air adjusted to final position—20 min

Cycle 3

Part 1, sec air adjusted to final position—20 min

Part 2, sec air adjusted to final position—20 min

Part 3, sec air adjusted to final position—20 min

Note that the cycles may overlap; that is, Part 3 of Cycle 1 may coincide in part or in total with Part 1 of Cycle 2. The calculation of the secondary air percent effect for this example is as follows:

$$%BR_{sec} = \frac{|\overline{BR}_{1,3} - \overline{BR}_{2}|}{\overline{BR}_{1,3}} \times 100$$
 Eq. 28-1

8.11 Pretest Ignition. Build a fire in the wood heater in accordance with the manufacturer's written instructions.

8.11.1 Pretest Fuel Charge. Crumpled newspaper loaded with kindling may be used to help ignite the pretest fuel. The pretest fuel, used to sustain the fire, shall meet the same fuel requirements prescribed in Section 7.1. The pretest fuel charge shall consist of whole 2×4's that are no less than ½ the length of the test fuel pieces. Pieces of 4×4 lumber in approximately the same weight ratio as for the test fuel charge may be added to the pretest fuel charge.

8.11.2 Wood Heater Operation and Adjustments. Set the air inlet supply controls at any position that will maintain combustion of the pretest fuel load. At least one hour before the start of the test run, set the air supply controls at the approximate positions necessary to achieve the burn rate desired for the test run. Adjustment of the air supply controls, fuel addition or subtractions, and coalbed raking shall be kept to a minimum but are allowed up to 15 minutes prior to the start of the test run. For the purposes of this method, coalbed raking is the use of a metal tool (poker) to stir coals, break burning fuel into smaller pieces, dislodge fuel pieces from positions of poor combustion, and check for the condition of uniform charcoalization. Record all adjustments made to the air supply controls, adjustments to and additions or subtractions of fuel, and any other changes to wood heater operations that occur during pretest ignition period. Record fuel weight data and wood heater temperature measurements at 10-minute intervals during the hour of the pretest ignition period preceding the start of the test run. During the 15-minute period prior to the start of the test run, the wood heater loading door shall not be open more than a total of 1 minute. Coalbed raking is the only adjustment allowed during this period.

Note: One purpose of the pretest ignition period is to achieve uniform charcoalization of the test fuel bed prior to loading the test fuel charge. Uniform charcoalization is a general condition of the test fuel bed evidenced by an absence of large pieces of burning wood in the coal bed and the remaining fuel pieces being brittle enough to be broken into smaller charcoal pieces with a metal poker. Manipulations to the fuel bed prior to the start of the test run should be done to achieve uniform charcoalization while maintaining the desired burn rate. In

addition, some wood heaters (e.g., high mass units) may require extended pretest burn time and fuel additions to reach an initial average surface temperature sufficient to meet the thermal equilibrium criteria in Section 8.3.

8.11.3 The weight of pretest fuel remaining at the start of the test run is determined as the difference between the weight of the wood heater with the remaining pretest fuel and the tare weight of the cleaned, dry wood heater with or without dry ash or sand added consistent with the manufacturer's instructions and the owner's manual. The tare weight of the wood heater must be determined with the wood heater (and ash, if added) in a dry condition.

8.12 Test Run. Complete a test run in each burn rate category, as follows:

8.12.1 Test Run Start.

8.12.1.1 When the kindling and pretest fuel have been consumed to leave a fuel weight between 20 and 25 percent of the weight of the test fuel charge, record the weight of the fuel remaining and start the test run. Record and report any other criteria, in addition to those specified in this section, used to determine the moment of the test run start (e.g., firebox or catalyst temperature), whether such criteria are specified by the wood heater manufacturer or the testing laboratory. Record all wood heater individual surface temperatures, catalyst temperatures, any initial sampling method measurement values, and begin the particulate emission sampling. Within 1 minute following the start of the test run, open the wood heater door, load the test fuel charge, and record the test fuel charge weight. Recording of average, rather than individual, surface temperatures is acceptable for tests conducted in accordance with § 60.533(o)(3)(i) of 40 CFR part 60.

8.12.1.2 Position the fuel charge so that the spacers are parallel to the floor of the firebox, with the spacer edges abutting each other. If loading difficulties result, some fuel pieces may be placed on edge. If the usable firebox volume is between 0.043 and 0.085 m³ (1.5 and 3.0 ft³), alternate the piece sizes in vertical stacking layers to the extent possible. For example, place 2×4 's on the bottom laver in direct contact with the coal bed and 4×4 's on the next layer, etc. (See Figure 28–3). Position the fuel pieces parallel to each other and parallel to the longest wall of the firebox to the extent possible within the specifications in Section 8.8.

8.12.1.3 Load the test fuel in appliances having unusual or unconventional firebox design maintaining air space intervals between the test fuel pieces and in conformance with the manufacturer's written instructions. For any appliance that will not accommodate the loading arrangement specified in the paragraph above, the test facility personnel shall contact the Administrator for an alternative loading arrangement.

8.12.1.4 The wood heater door may remain open and the air supply controls adjusted up to five minutes after the start of the test run in order to make adjustments to the test fuel charge and to ensure ignition of the test fuel charge has occurred. Within the five minutes after the start of the test run, close the wood heater door and adjust the air supply controls to the position determined to produce the desired burn rate. No other adjustments to the air supply controls or the test fuel charge are allowed (except as specified in Sections 8.12.3 and 8.12.4) after the first five minutes of the test run. Record the length of time the wood heater door remains open, the adjustments to the air supply controls, and any other operational adjustments.

8.12.2 Data Recording. Record on a data sheet similar to that shown in Figure 28–4, at intervals no greater than 10 minutes, fuel weight data, wood heater individual surface and catalyst temperature measurements, other wood heater operational data (e.g., draft), test facility temperature and sampling method data.

8.12.3 Test Fuel Charge Adjustment. The test fuel charge may be adjusted (i.e., repositioned) once during a test run if more than 60 percent of the initial test fuel charge weight has been consumed and more than 10 minutes have elapsed without a measurable (<0.05 kg (0.1 lb) or 1.0 percent, whichever is greater) weight change. The time used to make this adjustment shall be less than 15 seconds.

8.12.4 Air Supply Adjustment. Secondary air supply controls may be adjusted once during the test run following the manufacturer's written instructions (see Section 8.10). No other air supply adjustments are allowed during the test run. Recording of wood heater flue draft during the test run is optional for tests conducted in

accordance with § 60.533(o)(3)(i) of 40 CFR part 60.

8.12.5 Auxiliary Wood Heater Equipment Operation. Heat exchange blowers sold with the wood heater shall be operated during the test run following the manufacturer's written instructions. If no manufacturer's written instructions are available, operate the heat exchange blower in the "high" position. (Automatically operated blowers shall be operated as designed.) Shaker grates, by-pass controls, or other auxiliary equipment may be adjusted only one time during the test run following the manufacturer's written instructions.

Record all adjustments on a wood heater operational written record.

Note: If the wood heater is sold with a heat exchange blower as an option, test the wood heater with the heat exchange blower operating as described in Sections 8.1 through 8.12 and report the results. As an alternative to repeating all test runs without the heat exchange blower operating, one additional test run may be without the blower operating as described in Section 8.12.5 at a burn rate in Category 2 (Section 8.1.1). If the emission rate resulting from this test run without the blower operating is equal to or less than the emission rate plus 1.0 g/ hr (0.0022 lb/hr) for the test run in burn rate Category 2 with the blower operating, the wood heater may be considered to have the same average emission rate with or without the blower operating. Additional test runs without the blower operating are unnecessary.

- 8.13 Test Run Completion. Continue emission sampling and wood heater operation for 2 hours. The test run is completed when the remaining weight of the test fuel charge is 0.00 kg (0.0 lb). End the test run when the scale has indicated a test fuel charge weight of 0.00 kg (0.0 lb) or less for 30 seconds. At the end of the test run, stop the particulate sampling, and record the final fuel weight, the run time, and all final measurement values.
- 8.14 Wood Heater Thermal Equilibrium. The average of the wood heater surface temperatures at the end of the test run shall agree with the average surface temperature at the start of the test run to within 70 °C (126 °F).
- 8.15 Consecutive Test Runs. Test runs on a wood heater may be conducted consecutively provided that a minimum one-hour interval occurs between test runs.

8.16 Additional Test Runs. The testing laboratory may conduct more than one test run in each of the burn rate categories specified in Section 8.1.1. If more than one test run is conducted at a specified burn rate, the results from at least two-thirds of the test runs in that burn rate category shall be used in calculating the weighted average emission rate (see Section 12.2). The measurement data and results of all test runs shall be reported regardless of which values are used in calculating the weighted average emission rate (see Note in Section 8.1).

9.0 Quality Control

Same as Section 9.0 of either Method 5G or Method 5H.

10.0 Calibration and Standardizations

Same as Section 10.0 of either Method 5G or Method 5H, with the addition of the following:

- 10.1 Platform Scale. Perform a multi-point calibration (at least five points spanning the operational range) of the platform scale before its initial use. The scale manufacturer's calibration results are sufficient for this purpose. Before each certification test, audit the scale with the wood heater in place by weighing at least one calibration weight (Class F) that corresponds to between 20 percent and 80 percent of the expected test fuel charge weight. If the scale cannot reproduce the value of the calibration weight within 0.05 kg (0.1 lb) or 1 percent of the expected test fuel charge weight, whichever is greater, recalibrate the scale before use with at least five calibration weights spanning the operational range of the scale.
- 10.2 Balance (optional). Calibrate as described in Section 10.1.
- 10.3 Temperature Monitor. Calibrate as in Method 2, Section 4.3, before the first certification test and semiannually thereafter.
- 10.4 Moisture Meter. Calibrate as per the manufacturer's instructions before each certification test.
- 10.5 Anemometer. Calibrate the anemometer as specified by the manufacturer's instructions before the first certification test and semiannually thereafter.
- 10.6 Barometer. Calibrate against a mercury barometer before the first certification test and semiannually thereafter.

- 10.7 Draft Gauge. Calibrate as per the manufacturer's instructions; a liquid manometer does not require calibration.
- 10.8 Humidity Gauge. Calibrate as per the manufacturer's instructions before the first certification test and semiannually thereafter.

11.0 Analytical Procedures

Same as Section 11.0 of either Method 5G or Method 5H.

12.0 Data Analysis and Calculations

Same as Section 12.0 of either Method 5G or Method 5H, with the addition of the following:

12.1 Nomenclature.

BR = Dry wood burn rate, kg/hr (lb/hr)

 E_i = Emission rate for test run, i, from Method 5G or 5H, g/hr (lb/hr)

 E_w = Weighted average emission rate, g/ hr (lb/hr)

 k_i = Test run weighting factor = P_{i+1} - P_{i-1}

 $%M_d$ = Fuel moisture content, dry basis, percent.

 $%M_{w}$ = Average moisture in test fuel charge, wet basis, percent.

n = Total number of test runs.

- P_i = Probability for burn rate during test run, i, obtained from Table 28–1. Use linear interpolation to determine probability values for burn rates between those listed on the table.
- W_{wd} = Total mass of wood burned during the test run, kg (lb).
- 12.2 Wet Basis Fuel Moisture Content.

$$%M_{\rm w} = \frac{100(%M_{\rm d})}{100 + %M_{\rm d}}$$
 Eq. 28-2

12.3 Weighted Average Emission Rate. Calculate the weighted average emission rate (E_w) using Equation 28–1:

$$E_{w} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (K_{i}E_{i})}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} K_{i}}$$
 Eq. 28-3

Note: P_o always equals 0, $P_{(n+1)}$ always equals 1, P_1 corresponds to the probability of the lowest recorded burn rate, P_2 corresponds to the probability of the next lowest burn rate, etc. An example calculation is in Section 12.3.1.

12.3.1 Example Calculation of Weighted Average Emission Rate.

Burn rate category	Test No.	Burn rate (Dry-kg/hr)	Emissions (g/hr)
1	1	0.65	5.0
21	2	0.85	6.7
2	3	0.90	4.7

Burn rate category	Test	Burn rate	Emissions
	No.	(Dry-kg/hr)	(g/hr)
2	4	1.00	5.3
	5	1.45	3.8
	6	2.00	5.1

¹ As permitted in Section 6.6, this test run may be omitted from the calculation of the weighted average emission rate because three runs were conducted for this burn rate category.

Test No.	Burn rate	P_{i}	Ei	K _i
0		0.000		
1	0.65	0.121	5.0	0.300
2	0.90	0.300	4.7	0.259
3	1.00	0.380	5.3	0.422
4	1.45	0.722	3.8	0.532
5	2.00	0.912	5.1	0.278
6		1.000		

 $\begin{array}{l} K_1 = P_2 - P_0 = 0.300 - 0 = 0.300 \\ K_2 = P_3 - P_1 = 0.381 - 0.121 = 0.259 \\ K_3 = P_4 - P_2 = 0.722 - 0.300 = 0.422 \\ K_4 = P_5 - P_3 = 0.912 - 0.380 = 0.532 \\ K_5 = P_6 - P_4 = 1.000 - 0.722 = 0.278 \end{array}$

Weighted Average Emission Rate, Ew, Calculation

$$E_{w} = \frac{\sum (K_{i}E_{i})}{\sum K_{i}}$$

$$= \frac{(0.3)(5.0) + (0.259)(4.7) + (0.422)(5.3) + (0.532)(3.8) + (0.278)(5.1)}{1.791}$$

$$= 4.69 \text{ g/hr}$$

12.4 Average Wood Heater Surface Temperatures. Calculate the average of the wood heater surface temperatures for the start of the test run (Section 8.12.1) and for the test run completion (Section 8.13). If the two average temperatures do not agree within 70 °C (125 °F), report the test run results, but do not include the test run results in the test average. Replace such test run results with results from another test run in the same burn rate category.

12.5 Burn Rate. Calculate the burn rate (BR) using Equation 28–3:

BR =
$$\frac{60 \text{W}_{\text{wd}}}{\theta} \times \frac{100 - \% \text{M}_{\text{w}}}{100}$$
 Eq. 28-3

- 12.6 Reporting Criteria. Submit both raw and reduced test data for wood heater tests.
- 12.6.1 Suggested Test Report Format.
 - 12.6.1.1 Introduction.
- 12.6.1.1.1 Purpose of test-certification, audit, efficiency, research and development.
- 12.6.1.1.2 Wood heater identification-manufacturer, model number, catalytic/noncatalytic, options.
- 12.6.1.1.3 Laboratory-name, location (altitude), participants.
- 12.6.1.1.4 Test information-date wood heater received, date of tests, sampling methods used, number of test runs.
- 12.6.1.2 Summary and Discussion of Results
- 12.6.1.2.1 Table of results (in order of increasing burn rate)-test run number, burn rate, particulate emission rate, efficiency (if determined), averages (indicate which test runs are used).

- 12.6.1.2.2 Summary of other datatest facility conditions, surface temperature averages, catalyst temperature averages, pretest fuel weights, test fuel charge weights, run times
- 12.6.1.2.3 Discussion-Burn rate categories achieved, test run result selection, specific test run problems and solutions.
 - 12.6.1.3 Process Description.
- 12.6.1.3.1 Wood heater dimensions-volume, height, width, lengths (or other linear dimensions), weight, volume adjustments.
- 12.6.1.3.2 Firebox configuration-air supply locations and operation, air supply introduction location, refractory location and dimensions, catalyst location, baffle and by-pass location and operation (include line drawings or photographs).
- 12.6.1.3.3 Process operation during test-air supply settings and adjustments, fuel bed adjustments, draft.

- 12.6.1.3.4 Test fuel-test fuel properties (moisture and temperature), test fuel crib description (include line drawing or photograph), test fuel loading density.
 - 12.6.1.4 Sampling Locations.
- 12.6.1.4.1 Describe sampling location relative to wood heater. Include drawing or photograph.
- 12.6.1.5 Sampling and Analytical Procedures
- 12.6.1.5.1 Sampling methods-brief reference to operational and sampling procedures and optional and alternative procedures used.
- 12.6.1.5.2 Analytical methods-brief description of sample recovery and analysis procedures.
- 12.6.1.6 Quality Control and Assurance Procedures and Results
- 12.6.1.6.1 Calibration procedures and results-certification procedures, sampling and analysis procedures.
- 12.6.1.6.2 Test method quality control procedures-leak-checks, volume

meter checks, stratification (velocity) checks, proportionality results.

12.6.1.7 Appendices 12.6.1.7.1 Results and Example Calculations. Complete summary tables and accompanying examples of all calculations.

12.6.1.7.2 Raw Data. Copies of all uncorrected data sheets for sampling measurements, temperature records and sample recovery data. Copies of all pretest burn rate and wood heater temperature data.

12.6.1.7.3 Sampling and Analytical Procedures. Detailed description of procedures followed by laboratory personnel in conducting the certification test, emphasizing particular parts of the procedures differing from the methods (e.g., approved alternatives).

12.6.1.7.4 Calibration Results. Summary of all calibrations, checks, and audits pertinent to certification test results with dates.

12.6.1.7.5 Participants. Test personnel, manufacturer representatives, and regulatory observers.

12.6.1.7.6 Sampling and Operation Records. Copies of uncorrected records of activities not included on raw data sheets (e.g., wood heater door open times and durations).

12.6.1.7.7 Additional Information. Wood heater manufacturer's written instructions for operation during the certification test.

12.6.2.1 Wood Heater Identification. Report wood heater identification information. An example data form is shown in Figure 28-4.

12.6.2.2 Test Facility Information. Report test facility temperature, air velocity, and humidity information. An example data form is shown on Figure 28-4.

12.6.2.3 Test Equipment Calibration and Audit Information, Report calibration and audit results for the platform scale, test fuel balance, test fuel moisture meter, and sampling equipment including volume metering systems and gaseous analyzers.

12.6.2.4 Pretest Procedure Description. Report all pretest procedures including pretest fuel weight, burn rates, wood heater temperatures, and air supply settings. An example data form is shown on Figure 28-4.

12.6.2.5 Particulate Emission Data. Report a summary of test results for all test runs and the weighted average emission rate. Submit copies of all data sheets and other records collected during the testing. Submit examples of all calculations.

Method Performance, [Reserved]

Pollution Prevention, [Reserved]

15.0 Waste Management, [Reserved]

16.0 Alternative Procedures

16.1 Pellet Burning Heaters. Certification testing requirements and procedures for pellet burning wood heaters are identical to those for other wood heaters, with the following exceptions:

16.1.1 Test Fuel Properties. The test fuel shall be all wood pellets with a moisture content no greater than 20 percent on a wet basis (25 percent on a dry basis). Determine the wood moisture content with either ASTM D 2016-74 or 83, (Method A), ASTM D 4444-92, or ASTM D 4442-84 or 92 (all noted ASTM standards are incorporated by reference—see § 60.17).

16.1.2 Test Fuel Charge Specifications. The test fuel charge size shall be as per the manufacturer's written instructions for maintaining the desired burn rate.

16.1.3 Wood Heater Firebox Volume. The firebox volume need not be measured or determined for establishing the test fuel charge size. The firebox dimensions and other heater specifications needed to identify the heater for certification purposes shall be reported.

16.1.4 Heater Installation. Arrange the heater with the fuel supply hopper on the platform scale as described in Section 8.6.1.

16.1.5 Pretest Ignition. Start a fire in the heater as directed by the manufacturer's written instructions, and adjust the heater controls to achieve the desired burn rate. Operate the heater at

the desired burn rate for at least 1 hour before the start of the test run.

16.1.6 Test Run. Complete a test run in each burn rate category as follows:

16.1.6.1 Test Run Start. When the wood heater has operated for at least 1 hour at the desired burn rate, add fuel to the supply hopper as necessary to complete the test run, record the weight of the fuel in the supply hopper (the wood heater weight), and start the test run. Add no additional fuel to the hopper during the test run.

Record all the wood heater surface temperatures, the initial sampling method measurement values, the time at the start of the test, and begin the emission sampling. Make no adjustments to the wood heater air supply or wood supply rate during the

16.1.6.2 Data Recording. Record the fuel (wood heater) weight data, wood heater temperature and operational data, and emission sampling data as described in Section 8.12.2.

16.1.6.3 Test Run Completion. Continue emission sampling and wood heater operation for 2 hours. At the end of the test run, stop the particulate sampling, and record the final fuel weight, the run time, and all final measurement values, including all wood heater individual surface temperatures.

16.1.7 Calculations. Determine the burn rate using the difference between the initial and final fuel (wood heater) weights and the procedures described in Section 12.4. Complete the other calculations as described in Section 12.0.

17.0 References

Same as Method 5G, with the addition of the following:

1. Radian Corporation. OMNI Environmental Services, Inc., Cumulative Probability for a Given Burn Rate Based on Data Generated in the CONEG and BPA Studies, Package of materials submitted to the Fifth Session of the Regulatory Negotiation Committee, July 16-17, 1986.

18.0 Tables, Diagrams, Flowcharts, and Validation Data

TABLE 28-1.—BURN RATE WEIGHTED PROBABILITIES FOR CALCULATING WEIGHTED AVERAGE EMISSION RATES

Burn rate (kg/hr-dry)	Cumulative probability (P)	Burn rate (kg/hr-dry)	Cumulative probability (P)	Burn rate (kg/hr-dry)	Cumulative probability (P)
0.00	0.000	1.70	0.840	3.40	0.989
0.05	0.002	1.75	0.857	3.45	0.989
0.10	0.007	1.80	0.875	3.50	0.990
0.15	0.012	1.85	0.882	3.55	0.991
0.20	0.016	1.90	0.895	3.60	0.991
0.25	0.021	1.95	0.906	3.65	0.992
0.30	0.028	2.00	0.912	3.70	0.992
0.35	0.033	2.05	0.920	3.75	0.992

TABLE 28–1.—BURN RATE WEIGHTED PROBABILITIES FOR CALCULATING WEIGHTED AVERAGE EMISSION RATES— Continued

Burn rate (kg/hr-dry)	Cumulative probability (P)	Burn rate (kg/hr-dry)	Cumulative probability (P)	Burn rate (kg/hr-dry)	Cumulative probability (P)
0.40	0.041	2.10	0.925	3.80	0.993
0.45	0.054	2.15	0.932	3.85	0.994
0.50	0.065	2.20	0.936	3.90	0.994
0.55	0.086	2.25	0.940	3.95	0.994
0.60	0.100	2.30	0.945	4.00	0.994
0.65	0.121	2.35	0.951	4.05	0.995
0.70	0.150	2.40	0.956	4.10	0.995
0.75	0.185	2.45	0.959	4.15	0.995
0.80	0.220	2.50	0.964	4.20	0.995
0.85	0.254	2.55	0.968	4.25	0.995
0.90	0.300	2.60	0.972	4.30	0.996
0.95	0.328	2.65	0.975	4.35	0.996
1.00	0.380	2.70	0.977	4.40	0.996
1.05	0.407	2.75	0.979	4.45	0.996
1.10	0.460	2.80	0.980	4.50	0.996
1.15	0.490	2.85	0.981	4.55	0.996
1.20	0.550	2.90	0.982	4.60	0.996
1.25	0.572	2.95	0.984	4.65	0.996
1.30	0.620	3.00	0.984	4.70	0.996
1.35	0.654	3.05	0.985	4.75	0.997
1.40	0.695	3.10	0.986	4.80	0.997
1.45	0.722	3.15	0.987	4.85	0.997
1.50	0.750	3.20	0.987	4.90	0.997
1.55	0.779	3.25	0.988	4.95	0.997
1.60	0.800	3.30	0.988	≥5.00	1.000
1.65	0.825	3.35	0.989		1.000
	0.020	0.00	0.000		

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

	ufacturer										
											_
·,											-
Design:		c									
	Insert _				Free	estanding	J		·	_	
odheater Desc	ription: (Atta	ch figure sh	nowing air	supplies	and f	irebox o	configur	ration	1)		
Materials of	construction:						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
											
											
Air Introduc	tion System:										
0			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						_		
Combustion C	ontrol Mechanis	sms:									
							······································				
Internal Dof	floo.										
Internal Baf	fles:										
Internal Baf	fles:										
Internal Baf	fles:										
Internal Baf	fles:										
	fles:										
Other Featur	es: alyst Specifica	ations					Fireb				
Other Featur	es:	ations				Volume	Fireb			(
Other Featur Cata	es: alyst Specifica	ntions				Volume Length	Fireb			(i
Other Featur Cata nufacturer rial Number	es:alyst Specifica	ations				Volume Length Width	Fireb			(i
Other Featur Cata nufacturer rial Number e	es:alyst Specifica	ations (Hours	s)			Volume Length	Fireb			(i
Other Featur Cata nufacturer rial Number e	es:alyst Specifica	ations (Hours	s)			Volume Length Width	Fireb			(i
Other Featur Cata nufacturer rial Number e	es:alyst Specifica	ations (Hours	s)			Volume Length Width Height	Fireb			(i
Other Featur Cata nufacturer rial Number e mensions	es:alyst Specifica	ations (Hours	s)			Volume Length Width Height	Fireb			(ī ī
Other Featur Cata nufacturer rial Number _ e mensions	es:alyst Specifica	ations(Hours(in	s)			Volume Length Width Height	Fireb			(i
Other Featur Cata nufacturer rial Number _ e mensions Test (for	es: alyst Specifica : Fuel Informat : each Test Rur	Hours (in	s)			Volume Length Width Height	Fireb			(i
Other Featur Cata nufacturer rial Number e mensions Test (for	es:alyst Specifica	etions (Hours(in	s)			Volume Length Width Height	Fireb			(i
Other Featur Cata nufacturer rial Number e mensions Test (for	es:alyst Specifica Fuel Informat each Test Rur Change × 4's	etions (Hours (in	s)			Volume Length Width Height	Fireb			(i
Cata nufacturer rial Number _ e mensions Test (for ight of Test (Number of 2 Number of 4	es:alyst Specifica Fuel Informat each Test Rur Change × 4's × 4's	etions (Hours (in	s)			Volume Length Width Height	Fireb			(i
Other Featur Cata nufacturer rial Number e mensions Test (for ight of Test (Number of 2 Number of 4 Length of te	es: r Fuel Informat r each Test Rur Change × 4's st pieces	Hours (in (in (in) (in)	s) .)			Volume Length Width Height	Fireb			(i
Cata unufacturer rial Number mensions Test (for Number of 2 Number of 4 Length of te	es: alyst Specifica Fuel Informat each Test Rur Change × 4's × 4's st pieces tification)	(Hours(in	s) .)			Volume Length Width Height	Fireb			(i
Other Featur Cata nufacturer rial Number _ e mensions Test (for ight of Test (Number of 2 Number of 4 Length of te el Grade (Cere	es: r Fuel Informat r each Test Rur Change × 4's st pieces	(Hours(in	s) .)			Volume Length Width Height	Fireb			(i
Other Featur Cata nufacturer rial Number _ e mensions Test (for ight of Test (Number of 2 Number of 4 Length of te el Grade (Cere	es: alyst Specifica Fuel Informat each Test Rur Change × 4's × 4's st pieces tification)	(Hours(in	s) .)			Volume Length Width Height	Fireb			(i
Catanufacturer rial Numbere mensions Test (for ight of Test (Number of 2 Number of 4 Length of te	es: alyst Specifica Fuel Informat each Test Rur Change × 4's × 4's st pieces tification)	(Hours(in	s) .)			Volume Length Width Height	Fireb			(i

Figure 28-1. Wood Heater and Test Fuel Information.




Figure 28-2. Test Fuel Spacer Dimensions.




Figure 28-3. Test Fuel Crib Arrangements.

						Sne	et	_ OI	-
Date									
Operator									
Sampling Method		·							
Wood Heater Informa	ation		Tes	t Ru	n In	form	ation		
Manufacturer			Tes	t Ru	n No	•			
Model			Bur	n Ra	te _				
Primary Air Setting	j		Roo	m Te	mper	atur	e bef	fore/after	
Secondary Air Sett:	ing		Bar	omet	ric	Pres	sure bef	ore/after	
Thermostat Setting			Rel	ativ	e Hu	midi	ty bef	ore/after	
Other Settings			Roo	m Ai	r Ve	loci	ty bef	ore/after	
			Sur	face	Tem	p Av	erage Pretes	st en	d
		<u> </u>	1						
Test Run Time	Test Fuel	Surf	ace	Temp	erat	ure	Catalyst I	emperature	Flue
(minutes)	Scale						Inlet	Outlet	Draft
	Reading (lb)						(°F)	(°F)	(in. H ₂ O)
(Pretest Period)									
(5) 5				1					
(Test Run Start)			-	-					
			 	 					
			<u> </u>						
			-	 					
			ऻ—						
				ļ					
		 	+	†		l —			

Figure 28-4. Test Run Wood Heater Operation Data Sheet.

Method 28A—Measurement of Air- to-Fuel Ratio and Mimimum Achievable Burn Rates for Wood-Fired Appliances

Note: This method does not include all or the specifications (e.g., equipment and supplies) and procedures (e.g., sampling and analytical) essential to its performance. Some material is incorporated by reference from other methods in this part. Therefore, to obtain reliable results, persons using this method should also have a thorough knowledge of at least the following additional test methods: Method 3, Method 3A, Method 5H, Method 6C, and Method 28.

1.0 Scope and Application

- 1.1 Analyte. Particulate matter (PM). No CAS number assigned.
- 1.2 Applicability. This method is applicable for the measurement of airto-fuel ratios and minimum achievable burn rates, for determining whether a wood-fired appliance is an affected facility, as specified in 40 CFR 60.530.
- 1.3 Data Quality Objectives. Adherence to the requirements of this method will enhance the quality of the data obtained from air pollutant sampling methods.

2.0 Summary of Method

2.1 A gas sample is extracted from a location in the stack of a wood-fired appliance while the appliance is operating at a prescribed set of conditions. The gas sample is analyzed for carbon dioxide (CO₂), oxygen (O₂), and carbon monoxide (CO). These stack gas components are measured for determining the dry molecular weight of the exhaust gas. Total moles of exhaust gas are determined stoichiometrically. Air-to-fuel ratio is determined by relating the mass of dry combustion air to the mass of dry fuel consumed.

3.0 Definitions

Same as Method 28, Section 3.0, with the addition of the following:

- 3.1 Air-to-fuel ratio means the ratio of the mass of dry combustion air introduced into the firebox to the mass of dry fuel consumed (grams of dry air per gram of dry wood burned).
- 4.0 Interferences [Reserved]
- 5.0 Safety
- 5.1 Disclaimer. This method may involve hazardous materials, operations, and equipment. This test method may not address all of the safety problems associated with its use. It is the responsibility of the user of this test method to establish appropriate safety and health practices and to determine the applicability of regulatory limitations prior to performing this test method.

- 6.0 Equipment and Supplies
- 6.1 Test Facility. Insulated Solid Pack Chimney, Platform Scale and Monitor, Test Facility Temperature Monitor, Balance, Moisture Meter, Anemometer, Barometer, Draft Gauge, Humidity Gauge, Wood Heater Flue, and Test Facility. Same as Method 28, Sections 6.1, 6.2, and 6.4 to 6.12, respectively.
- 6.2 Sampling System. Probe, Condenser, Valve, Pump, Rate Meter, Flexible Bag, Pressure Gauge, and Vacuum Gauge. Same as Method 3, Sections 6.2.1 to 6.2.8, respectively. Alternatively, the sampling system described in Method 5H, Section 6.1 may be used.
- 6.3 Exhaust Gas Analysis. Use one or both of the following:
- 6.3.1 Orsat Analyzer. Same as Method 3, Section 6.1.3
- 6.3.2 Instrumental Analyzers. Same as Method 5H, Sections 6.1.3.4 and 6.1.3.5, for CO_2 and CO analyzers, except use a CO analyzer with a range of 0 to 5 percent and use a CO_2 analyzer with a range of 0 to 5 percent. Use an O_2 analyzer capable of providing a measure of O_2 in the range of 0 to 25 percent by volume at least once every 10 minutes.

7.0 Reagents and Standards

- 7.1 Test Fuel and Test Fuel Spacers. Same as Method 28, Sections 7.1 and 7.2, respectively.
- 7.2 Cylinder Gases. For each of the three analyzers, use the same concentration as specified in Sections 7.2.1, 7.2.2, and 7.2.3 of Method 6C.
- 8.0 Sample Collection, Preservation, Storage, and Transport
- 8.1 Wood Heater Air Supply Adjustments.
- 8.1.1 This section describes how dampers are to be set or adjusted and air inlet ports closed or sealed during Method 28A tests. The specifications in this section are intended to ensure that affected facility determinations are made on the facility configurations that could reasonably be expected to be employed by the user. They are also intended to prevent circumvention of the standard through the addition of an air port that would often be blocked off in actual use. These specifications are based on the assumption that consumers will remove such items as dampers or other closure mechanism stops if this can be done readily with household tools; that consumers will block air inlet passages not visible during normal operation of the appliance using aluminum tape or parts generally available at retail stores; and that

consumers will cap off any threaded or flanged air inlets. They also assume that air leakage around glass doors, sheet metal joints or through inlet grilles visible during normal operation of the appliance would not be further blocked or taped off by a consumer.

8.1.2 It is not the intention of this section to cause an appliance that is clearly designed, intended, and, in most normal installations, used as a fireplace to be converted into a wood heater for purposes of applicability testing. Such a fireplace would be identifiable by such features as large or multiple glass doors or panels that are not gasketed, relatively unrestricted air inlets intended, in large part, to limit smoking and fogging of glass surfaces, and other aesthetic features not normally included in wood heaters.

8.1.3 Adjustable Air Supply Mechanisms. Any commercially available flue damper, other adjustment mechanism or other air inlet port that is designed, intended or otherwise reasonably expected to be adjusted or closed by consumers, installers, or dealers and which could restrict air into the firebox shall be set so as to achieve minimum air into the firebox (*i.e.*, closed off or set in the most closed position).

8.1.3.1 Flue dampers, mechanisms and air inlet ports which could reasonably be expected to be adjusted or closed would include:

8.1.3.1.1 All internal or externally adjustable mechanisms (including adjustments that affect the tightness of door fittings) that are accessible either before and/or after installation.

8.1.3.1.2 All mechanisms, other inlet ports, or inlet port stops that are identified in the owner's manual or in any dealer literature as being adjustable or alterable. For example, an inlet port that could be used to provide access to an outside air duct but which is identified as being closable through use of additional materials whether or not they are supplied with the facility.

8.1.3.1.3 Any combustion air inlet port or commercially available flue damper or mechanism stop, which would readily lend itself to closure by consumers who are handy with household tools by the removal of parts or the addition of parts generally available at retail stores (e.g., addition of a pipe cap or plug, addition of a small metal plate to an inlet hole on a nondecorative sheet metal surface, or removal of riveted or screwed damper stops).

8.1.3.1.4 Any flue damper, other adjustment mechanisms or other air inlet ports that are found and documented in several (e.g., a number

sufficient to reasonably conclude that the practice is not unique or uncommon) actual installations as having been adjusted to a more closed position, or closed by consumers, installers, or dealers.

8.1.4 Air Supply Adjustments During Test. The test shall be performed with all air inlets identified under this section in the closed or most closed position or in the configuration which otherwise achieves the lowest air inlet (*i.e.*, greatest blockage).

Note: For the purposes of this section, air flow shall not be minimized beyond the point necessary to maintain combustion or beyond the point that forces smoke into the room.

- 8.1.5 Notwithstanding Section 8.1.1, any flue damper, adjustment mechanism, or air inlet port (whether or not equipped with flue dampers or adjusting mechanisms) that is visible during normal operation of the appliance and which could not reasonably be closed further or blocked except through means that would significantly degrade the aesthetics of the facility (e.g., through use of duct tape) will not be closed further or blocked.
 - 8.2 Sampling System.
- 8.2.1 Sampling Location. Same as Method 5H, Section 8.1.2.
- 8.2.2 Sampling System Set Up. Set up the sampling equipment as described in Method 3, Section 8.1.
- 8.3 Wood Heater Installation, Test Facility Conditions, Wood Heater Firebox Volume, and Test Fuel Charge. Same as Method 28, Sections 8.4 and 8.6 to 8.8, respectively.
- 8.4 Pretest Ignition. Same as Method 28, Section 8.11. Set the wood heater air supply settings to achieve a burn rate in Category 1 or the lowest achievable burn rate (see Section 8.1).
- 8.5 Test Run. Same as Method 28, Section 8.12. Begin sample collection at the start of the test run as defined in Method 28, Section 8.12.1.
 - 8.5.1 Gas Analysis.
- 8.5.1.1 If Method 3 is used, collect a minimum of two bag samples simultaneously at a constant sampling rate for the duration of the test run. A minimum sample volume of 30 liters (1.1 ft³) per bag is recommended.
- 8.5.1.2 If instrumental gas concentration measurement procedures are used, conduct the gas measurement system performance tests, analyzer calibration, and analyzer calibration error check outlined in Method 6C.

Sections 8.2.3, 8.2.4, 8.5, and 10.0, respectively. Sample at a constant rate for the duration of the test run.

8.5.2 Data Recording. Record wood heater operational data, test facility temperature, sample train flow rate, and fuel weight data at intervals of no greater than 10 minutes.

8.5.3 Test Run Completion. Same as Method 28, Section 8.13.

9.0 Quality Control

- 9.1 Data Validation. The following quality control procedure is suggested to provide a check on the quality of the data.
- 9.1.1 Calculate a fuel factor, F_o, using Equation 28A–1 in Section 12.2.
- 9.1.2 If CO is present in quantities measurable by this method, adjust the O_2 and CO_2 values before performing the calculation for F_o as shown in Section 12.3 and 12.4.
- 9.1.3 Compare the calculated F_o factor with the expected Fo range for wood (1.000-1.120). Calculated F $_{\rm o}$ values beyond this acceptable range should be investigated before accepting the test results. For example, the strength of the solutions in the gas analyzer and the analyzing technique should be checked by sampling and analyzing a known concentration, such as air. If no detectable or correctable measurement error can be identified, the test should be repeated. Alternatively, determine a range of air-to-fuel ratio results that could include the correct value by using an Fo value of 1.05 and calculating a potential range of CO₂ and O₂ values. Acceptance of such results will be based on whether the calculated range includes the exemption limit and the judgment of the Administrator.
- 9.2 Method 3 Analyses. Compare the results of the analyses of the two bag samples. If all the gas components (O₂, CO, and CO₂) values for the two analyses agree within 0.5 percent (e.g., 6.0 percent O₂ for bag 1 and 6.5 percent O₂ for bag 2, agree within 0.5 percent), the results of the bag analyses may be averaged for the calculations in Section 12. If the analysis results do not agree within 0.5 percent for each component, calculate the air-to-fuel ratio using both sets of analyses and report the results.
- 10.0 Calibration and Standardization, [Reserved]

11.0 Analytical Procedures

11.1 Method 3 Integrated Bag Samples. Within 4 hours after the sample collection, analyze each bag sample for percent CO₂, O₂, and CO using an Orsat analyzer as described in Method 3, Section 11.0.

11.2 Instrumental Analyzers. Average the percent CO_2 , CO, and O_2 values for the test run.

12.0 Data Analyses and Calculations

Carry out calculations, retaining at least one extra significant figure beyond that of the acquired data. Round off figure after the final calculation. Other forms of the equations may be used as long as they give equivalent results.

12.1 Nomenclature.

 M_d = Dry molecular weight, g/g-mole (lb/lb-mole).

N_T = Total gram-moles of dry exhaust gas per kg of wood burned (lbmoles/lb).

 $%CO_2$ = Percent CO_2 by volume (dry basis).

%CO = Percent CO by volume (dry basis).

 $\%N_2$ = Percent N_2 by volume (dry basis). $\%O_2$ = Percent O2 by volume (dry basis).

 Y_{HC} = Assumed mole fraction of HC (dry as CH_4) = 0.0088 for catalytic wood heaters; = 0.0132 for noncatalytic wood heaters. = 0.0080 for pellet-fired wood heaters.

Y_{CO} = Measured mole fraction of CO (e.g., 1 percent CO = .01 mole fraction), g/g-mole (lb/lb-mole).

 Y_{CO2} = Measured mole fraction of CO_{CO2} (e.g., 10 percent CO_2 = .10 mole fraction), g/g-mole (lb/lb-mole).

0.280 = Molecular weight of N_2 or CO, divided by 100.

0.320 = Molecular weight of O_2 divided by 100.

0.440 = Molecular weight of CO_2 divided by 100.

20.9 = Percent O_2 by volume in ambient air

42.5 = Gram-moles of carbon in 1 kg of dry wood assuming 51 percent carbon by weight dry basis (.0425 lb/lb-mole).

510 = Grams of carbon in exhaust gas per kg of wood burned.

1,000 = Grams in 1 kg.

12.2 Fuel Factor. Use Equation 28A–1 to calculate the fuel factor.

$$F_o = \frac{20.9 - \%O_2}{\%CO_2}$$
 Eq. 28A-1

12. 3 Adjusted %CO₂. Use Equation 28A–2 to adjust CO₂ values if measurable CO is present.

12.4 Adjusted $\%O_2$. Use Equation 28A–3 to adjust O_2 value if measurable CO is present.

$$%O_{2(adj)} = %O_2 - 0.5\%CO$$
 Eq. 28A-3

12.5 Dry Molecular Weight. Use Equation 28A–4 to calculate the dry molecular weight of the stack gas.

$$M_d = 0.440(\%CO_2) + 0.320(\%O_2) + 0.280(\%N_2 + \%CO)$$
 Eq. 28A-4

Note: The above equation does not consider argon in air (about 0.9 percent, molecular weight of 39.9). A negative error of about 0.4 percent is introduced. Argon may be included in the analysis using procedures subject to approval of the Administrator.

12.6 Dry Moles of Exhaust Gas. Use Equation 28A–5 to calculate the total moles of dry exhaust gas produced per kilogram of dry wood burned.

$$N_{\rm T} = \frac{42.5}{\left(Y_{\rm CO_2}Y_{\rm CO}Y_{\rm HC}\right)}$$
 Eq. 28A-5

12.7 Air-to-Fuel Ratio. Use Equation 28A–6 to calculate the air-to-fuel ratio on a dry mass basis.

$$A/F = \frac{(N_T \times M_d) - 510}{1,000}$$
 Eq. 28A-6

12.8 Burn Rate. Calculate the fuel burn rate as in Method 28, Section 12.4.

13.0 Method Performance, [Reserved]

14.0 Pollution Prevention, [Reserved]

15.0 Waste Management, [Reserved]

16.0 References

Same as Section 16.0 of Method 3 and Section 17 of Method 5G.

17.0 Tables, Diagrams, Flowcharts, and Validation Data, [Reserved]

Method 29—Determination of Metals Emissions From Stationary Sources

Note: This method does not include all of the specifications (*e.g.* equipment and supplies) and procedures (*e.g.*, sampling and analytical) essential to its performance. Some material is incorporated by reference from other methods in this part. Therefore, to obtain reliable results, persons using this method should have a thorough knowledge of at least the following additional test methods: Method 5 and Method 12.

1.0 Scope and Application

1.1 Analytes.

Analyte	CAS No.
Antimony (Sb)	7440–36–0

Analyte	CAS No.
Arsenic (As)	7440–38–2 7440–39–3 7440–41–7 7440–43–9 7440–47–3 7440–48–4
Copper (Cu) Lead (Pb) Manganese (Mn) Mercury (Hg) Nickel (Ni) Phosphorus (P) Selenium (Se) Silver (Ag) Thallium (TI) Zinc (Zn)	7440-50-8 7439-92-1 7439-96-5 7439-97-6 7440-02-0 7723-14-0 7782-49-2 7440-22-4 7440-66-6

1.2 Applicability. This method is applicable to the determination of metals emissions from stationary sources. This method may be used to determine particulate emissions in addition to the metals emissions if the prescribed procedures and precautions are followed.

1.2.1 Hg emissions can be measured, alternatively, using EPA Method 101A of Appendix B, 40 CFR Part 61. Method 101-A measures only Hg but it can be of special interest to sources which need to measure both Hg and Mn emissions.

2.0 Summary of Method

2.1 Principle. A stack sample is withdrawn isokinetically from the source, particulate emissions are collected in the probe and on a heated filter, and gaseous emissions are then collected in an aqueous acidic solution of hydrogen peroxide (analyzed for all metals including Hg) and an aqueous acidic solution of potassium permanganate (analyzed only for Hg). The recovered samples are digested, and appropriate fractions are analyzed for Hg by cold vapor atomic absorption spectroscopy (CVAAS) and for Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Cr, Co, Cu, Pb, Mn, Ni, P, Se, Ag, Tl, and Zn by inductively coupled argon plasma emission spectroscopy (ICAP) or atomic absorption spectroscopy (AAS).

Graphite furnace atomic absorption spectroscopy (GFAAS) is used for analysis of Sb, As, Cd, Co, Pb, Se, and Tl if these elements require greater analytical sensitivity than can be obtained by ICAP. If one so chooses, AAS may be used for analysis of all listed metals if the resulting in-stack method detection limits meet the goal of the testing program. Similarly, inductively coupled plasma-mass spectroscopy (ICP-MS) may be used for analysis of Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Cr, Co, Cu, Pb, Mn, Ni, Ag, Tl and Zn.

3.0 Definitions. [Reserved]

4.0 Interferences

4.1 Iron (Fe) can be a spectral interference during the analysis of As, Cr, and Cd by ICAP. Aluminum (Al) can be a spectral interference during the analysis of As and Pb by ICAP. Generally, these interferences can be reduced by diluting the analytical sample, but such dilution raises the instack detection limits. Background and overlap corrections may be used to adjust for spectral interferences. Refer to Method 6010 of Reference 2 in Section 16.0 or the other analytical methods used for details on potential interferences to this method. For all GFAAS analyses, use matrix modifiers to limit interferences, and matrix match all standards.

5.0 Safety

5.1 Disclaimer. This method may involve hazardous materials, operations, and equipment. This test method may not address all of the safety problems associated with its use. It is the responsibility of the user of this test method to establish appropriate safety and health practices and to determine the applicability of regulatory limitations prior to performing this test method.

5.2 Corrosive Reagents. The following reagents are hazardous. Personal protective equipment and safe procedures are useful in preventing

- chemical splashes. If contact occurs, immediately flush with copious amounts of water at least 15 minutes. Remove clothing under shower and decontaminate. Treat residual chemical burn as thermal burn.
- 5.2.1 Nitric Acid (HNO₃). Highly corrosive to eyes, skin, nose, and lungs. Vapors cause bronchitis, pneumonia, or edema of lungs. Reaction to inhalation may be delayed as long as 30 hours and still be fatal. Provide ventilation to limit exposure. Strong oxidizer. Hazardous reaction may occur with organic materials such as solvents.
- 5.2.2 Sulfuric Acid (H₂SO₄). Rapidly destructive to body tissue. Will cause third degree burns. Eye damage may result in blindness. Inhalation may be fatal from spasm of the larynx, usually within 30 minutes. May cause lung tissue damage with edema. 1 mg/m³ for 8 hours will cause lung damage or, in higher concentrations, death. Provide ventilation to limit inhalation. Reacts violently with metals and organics.
- 5.2.3 Hydrochloric Acid (HC1). Highly corrosive liquid with toxic vapors. Vapors are highly irritating to eyes, skin, nose, and lungs, causing severe damage. May cause bronchitis, pneumonia, or edema of lungs. Exposure to concentrations of 0.13 to 0.2 percent can be lethal to humans in a few minutes. Provide ventilation to limit exposure. Reacts with metals, producing hydrogen gas.
- 5.2.4 Hydrofluoric Acid (HF). Highly corrosive to eyes, skin, nose, throat, and lungs. Reaction to exposure may be delayed by 24 hours or more. Provide ventilation to limit exposure.
- 5.2.5 Hydrogen Peroxide (H_2O_2). Irritating to eyes, skin, nose, and lungs. 30% H_2O_2 is a strong oxidizing agent. Avoid contact with skin, eyes, and combustible material. Wear gloves when handling.
- 5.2.6 Potassium Permanganate (KMnO₄). Caustic, strong oxidizer. Avoid bodily contact with.
- 5.2.7 Potassium Persulfate. Strong oxidizer. Avoid bodily contact with. Keep containers well closed and in a cool place.
- 5.3 Reaction Pressure. Due to the potential reaction of the potassium permanganate with the acid, there could be pressure buildup in the acidic KMnO₄ absorbing solution storage bottle. Therefore these bottles shall not be fully filled and shall be vented to relieve excess pressure and prevent explosion potentials. Venting is required, but not in a manner that will allow contamination of the solution. A No. 70–72 hole drilled in the container cap and Teflon liner has been used.

- 6.0 Equipment and Supplies
- 6.1 Sampling. A schematic of the sampling train is shown in Figure 29–1. It has general similarities to the Method 5 train.
- 6.1.1 Probe Nozzle (Probe Tip) and Borosilicate or Quartz Glass Probe Liner. Same as Method 5, Sections 6.1.1.1 and 6.1.1.2, except that glass nozzles are required unless alternate tips are constructed of materials that are free from contamination and will not interfere with the sample. If a probe tip other than glass is used, no correction to the sample test results to compensate for the nozzle's effect on the sample is allowed. Probe fittings of plastic such as Teflon, polypropylene, etc. are recommended instead of metal fittings to prevent contamination. If one chooses to do so, a single glass piece consisting of a combined probe tip and probe liner
- 6.1.2 Pitot Tube and Differential Pressure Gauge. Same as Method 2, Sections 6.1 and 6.2, respectively.
- 6.1.3 Filter Holder. Glass, same as Method 5, Section 6.1.1.5, except use a Teflon filter support or other nonmetallic, non-contaminating support in place of the glass frit.
- 6.1.4 Filter Heating System. Same as Method 5, Section 6.1.1.6.
- 6.1.5 Condenser. Use the following system for condensing and collecting gaseous metals and determining the moisture content of the stack gas. The condensing system shall consist of four to seven impingers connected in series with leak-free ground glass fittings or other leak-free, non-contaminating fittings. Use the first impinger as a moisture trap. The second impinger (which is the first HNO₃/H₂O₂ impinger) shall be identical to the first impinger in Method 5. The third impinger (which is the second HNO₃/H₂O₂ impinger) shall be a Greenburg Smith impinger with the standard tip as described for the second impinger in Method 5, Section 6.1.1.8. The fourth (empty) impinger and the fifth and sixth (both acidified KMnO₄) impingers are the same as the first impinger in Method 5. Place a temperature sensor capable of measuring to within 1 °C (2 °F) at the outlet of the last impinger. If no Hg analysis is planned, then the fourth, fifth, and sixth impingers are not used.
- 6.1.6 Metering System, Barometer, and Gas Density Determination Equipment. Same as Method 5, Sections 6.1.1.9, 6.1.2, and 6.1.3, respectively.
- 6.1.7 Teflon Tape. For capping openings and sealing connections, if necessary, on the sampling train.
- 6.2 Sample Recovery. Same as Method 5, Sections 6.2.1 through 6.2.8

- (Probe-Liner and Probe-Nozzle Brushes or Swabs, Wash Bottles, Sample Storage Containers, Petri Dishes, Glass Graduated Cylinder, Plastic Storage Containers, Funnel and Rubber Policeman, and Glass Funnel), respectively, with the following exceptions and additions:
- 6.2.1 Non-metallic Probe-Liner and Probe-Nozzle Brushes or Swabs. Use non-metallic probe-liner and probenozzle brushes or swabs for quantitative recovery of materials collected in the front-half of the sampling train.
- 6.2.2 Sample Storage Containers. Use glass bottles (see Section 8.1 of this Method) with Teflon-lined caps that are non-reactive to the oxidizing solutions, with capacities of 1000- and 500-ml, for storage of acidified KMnO₄—containing samples and blanks. Glass or polyethylene bottles may be used for other sample types.
- 6.2.3 Graduated Cylinder. Glass or equivalent.
- 6.2.4 Funnel. Glass or equivalent. 6.2.5 Labels. For identifying samples.
- 6.2.6 Polypropylene Tweezers and/ or Plastic Gloves. For recovery of the filter from the sampling train filter holder
- 6.3 Sample Preparation and Analysis.
- 6.3.1 Volumetric Flasks, 100-ml, 250-ml, and 1000-ml. For preparation of standards and sample dilutions.
- 6.3.2 Graduated Cylinders. For preparation of reagents.
- 6.3.3 Parr Bombs or Microwave Pressure Relief Vessels with Capping Station (CEM Corporation model or equivalent). For sample digestion.
- 6.3.4 Beakers and Watch Glasses. 250-ml beakers, with watch glass covers, for sample digestion.
- 6.3.5 Ring Stands and Clamps. For securing equipment such as filtration apparatus.
- 6.3.6 Filter Funnels. For holding filter paper.
- 6.3.7 Disposable Pasteur Pipets and Bulbs.
 - 6.3.8 Volumetric Pipets.
- 6.3.9 Analytical Balance. Accurate to within 0.1 mg.
- 6.3.10 Microwave or Conventional Oven. For heating samples at fixed power levels or temperatures, respectively.
 - 6.3.11 Hot Plates.
- 6.3.12 Atomic Absorption Spectrometer (AAS). Equipped with a background corrector.
- 6.3.12.1 Graphite Furnace Attachment. With Sb, As, Cd, Co, Pb, Se, and Tl hollow cathode lamps (HCLs) or electrodeless discharge lamps (EDLs). Same as Reference 2 in Section 16.0.

Methods 7041 (Sb), 7060 (As), 7131 (Cd), 7201 (Co), 7421 (Pb), 7740 (Se), and 7841 (Tl).

6.3.12.2 Cold Vapor Mercury
Attachment. With a mercury HCL or
EDL, an air recirculation pump, a quartz
cell, an aerator apparatus, and a heat
lamp or desiccator tube. The heat lamp
shall be capable of raising the
temperature at the quartz cell by 10°C
above ambient, so that no condensation
forms on the wall of the quartz cell.
Same as Method 7470 in Reference 2 in
Section 16.0. See Note 2: Section 11.1.3
for other acceptable approaches for
analysis of Hg in which analytical
detection limits of 0.002 ng/ml were
obtained.

6.3.13 Inductively Coupled Argon Plasma Spectrometer. With either a direct or sequential reader and an alumina torch. Same as EPA Method 6010 in Reference 2 in Section 16.0.

6.3.14 Inductively Coupled Plasma-Mass Spectrometer.

Same as EPA Method 6020 in Reference 2 in Section 16.0.

7.0 Reagents and Standards

- 7.1 Unless otherwise indicated, it is intended that all reagents conform to the specifications established by the Committee on Analytical Reagents of the American Chemical Society, where such specifications are available.

 Otherwise, use the best available grade.
 - 7.2 Sampling Reagents.
- 7.2.1 Sample Filters. Without organic binders. The filters shall contain less than 1.3 μg/in.2 of each of the metals to be measured. Analytical results provided by filter manufacturers stating metals content of the filters are acceptable. However, if no such results are available, analyze filter blanks for each target metal prior to emission testing. Quartz fiber filters meeting these requirements are recommended. However, if glass fiber filters become available which meet these requirements, they may be used. Filter efficiencies and unreactiveness to sulfur dioxide (SO₂) or sulfur trioxide (SO₃) shall be as described in Section 7.1.1 of Method 5.
- 7.2.2 Water. To conform to ASTM Specification D1193–77 or 91, Type II (incorporated by reference—see § 60.17). If necessary, analyze the water for all target metals prior to field use. All target metals should be less than 1 ng/ml.
- 7.2.3 HNO₃, Concentrated. Baker Instra-analyzed or equivalent.
- 7.2.4 HCl, Concentrated. Baker Instra-analyzed or equivalent.
 - 7.2.5 H_2O_2 , 30 Percent (V/V).
 - 7.2.6 KMnO₄.
 - 7.2.7 H₂SO₄, Concentrated.

- 7.2.8 Silica Gel and Crushed Ice. Same as Method 5, Sections 7.1.2 and 7.1.4, respectively.
- 7.3 Pretest Preparation of Sampling Reagents.
- 7.3.1 $\text{HNO}_3/\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ Absorbing Solution, 5 Percent $\text{HNO}_3/10$ Percent H_2O_2 . Add carefully with stirring 50 ml of concentrated HNO_3 to a 1000-ml volumetric flask containing approximately 500 ml of water, and then add carefully with stirring 333 ml of 30 percent H_2O_2 . Dilute to volume with water. Mix well. This reagent shall contain less than 2 ng/ml of each target metal.
- 7.3.2 Acidic KMnO₄ Absorbing Solution, 4 Percent KMnO₄ (W/V), 10 Percent H₂SO₄ (V/V). Prepare fresh daily. Mix carefully, with stirring, 100 ml of concentrated H₂SO₄ into approximately 800 ml of water, and add water with stirring to make a volume of 1 liter: this solution is 10 percent H₂SO₄ (V/V). Dissolve, with stirring, 40 g of KMnO₄ into 10 percent H₂SO₄ (V/V) with stirring to make a volume of 1 liter. Prepare and store in glass bottles to prevent degradation. This reagent shall contain less than 2 ng/ml of Hg.

Precaution: To prevent autocatalytic decomposition of the permanganate solution, filter the solution through Whatman 541 filter paper.

7.3.3 HNO₃, 0.1 N. Add with stirring 6.3 ml of concentrated HNO₃ (70 percent) to a flask containing approximately 900 ml of water. Dilute to 1000 ml with water. Mix well. This reagent shall contain less than 2 ng/ml of each target metal.

7.3.4 HCl, 8 N. Carefully add with stirring 690 ml of concentrated HCl to a flask containing 250 ml of water. Dilute to 1000 ml with water. Mix well. This reagent shall contain less than 2 ng/ml of Hg.

7.4 Glassware Cleaning Reagents. 7.4.1 HNO₃, Concentrated. Fisher ACS grade or equivalent.

7.4.2 Water. To conform to ASTM Specifications D1193, Type II.

7.4.3 HNO₃, 10 Percent (V/V). Add with stirring 500 ml of concentrated HNO₃ to a flask containing approximately 4000 ml of water. Dilute to 5000 ml with water. Mix well. This reagent shall contain less than 2 ng/ml of each target metal.

7.5 Sample Digestion and Analysis Reagents. The metals standards, except Hg, may also be made from solid chemicals as described in Reference 3 in Section 16.0. Refer to References 1, 2, or 5 in Section 16.0 for additional information on Hg standards. The 1000 µg/ml Hg stock solution standard may

be made according to Section 7.2.7 of Method 101A.

7.5.1 HCl, Concentrated.

7.5.2 HF, Concentrated.

7.5.3 HNO₃, Concentrated. Baker Instra-analyzed or equivalent.

7.5.4 HNO₃, 50 Percent (V/V). Add with stirring 125 ml of concentrated HNO₃ to 100 ml of water. Dilute to 250 ml with water. Mix well. This reagent shall contain less than 2 ng/ml of each target metal.

7.5.5 HNO₃, 5 Percent (V/V). Add with stirring 50 ml of concentrated HNO₃ to 800 ml of water. Dilute to 1000 ml with water. Mix well. This reagent shall contain less than 2 ng/ml of each target metal.

7.5.6 Water. To conform to ASTM Specifications D1193, Type II.

7.5.7 Hydroxylamine Hydrochloride and Sodium Chloride Solution. See Reference 2 In Section 16.0 for preparation.

7.5.8 Stannous Chloride. See Reference 2 in Section 16.0 for preparation.

7.5.9 KMnO₄, 5 Percent (W/V). See Reference 2 in Section 16.0 for preparation.

7.5.10 H₂SO₄, Concentrated.

7.5.11 Potassium Persulfate, 5 Percent (W/V). See Reference 2 in Section 16.0 for preparation.

7.5.12 Nickel Nitrate, Ni(N0₃) $_2$ 6H₂0.

7.5.13 Lanthanum Oxide, La₂0₃.

7.5.14 Hg Standard (AAS Grade), $1000 \mu g/ml$.

7.5.15 Pb Standard (AAS Grade), 1000 µg/ml.

7.5.16 As Standard (AAS Grade), $1000 \mu g/ml$.

7.5.17 Cd Standard (AAS Grade), 1000 µg/ml.

7.5.18 Cr Standard (AAS Grade), 1000 µg/ml.

7.5.19 Sb Standard (AAS Grade), $1000 \mu g/ml$.

7.5.20 Ba Standard (AAS Grade), 1000 µg/ml.

7.5.21 Be Standard (AAS Grade), $1000 \mu g/ml$.

7.5.22 Co Standard (AAS Grade), 1000 μ g/ml.

7.5.23 Cu Standard (AAS Grade), 1000 µg/ml.

7.5.24 Mn Standard (AAS Grade), 1000 μ g/ml.

7.5.25 Ni Standard (AAS Grade), $1000 \mu g/ml$.

7.5.26 P Standard (AAS Grade), 1000 µg/ml.

7.5.27 Se Standard (AAS Grade), 1000 µg/ml.

7.5.28 Ag Standard (AAS Grade), 1000 µg/ml.

7.5.29 Tl Standard (AAS Grade), 1000 µg/ml.

7.5.30 Zn Standard (AAS Grade), $1000 \mu g/ml$.

7.5.31 Al Standard (AAS Grade), 1000 μ g/ml.

7.5.32 Fe Standard (AAS Grade), 1000 µg/ml.

7.5.33 Hg Standards and Quality Control Samples. Prepare fresh weekly a 10 μg/ml intermediate Hg standard by adding 5 ml of 1000 µg/ml Hg stock solution prepared according to Method 101A to a 500-ml volumetric flask; dilute with stirring to 500 ml by first carefully adding 20 ml of 15 percent HNO₃ and then adding water to the 500ml volume. Mix well. Prepare a 200 ng/ ml working Hg standard solution fresh daily: add 5 ml of the 10 µg/ml intermediate standard to a 250-ml volumetric flask, and dilute to 250 ml with 5 ml of 4 percent KMnO₄, 5 ml of 15 percent HNO₃, and then water. Mix well. Use at least five separate aliquots of the working Hg standard solution and a blank to prepare the standard curve. These aliquots and blank shall contain 0.0, 1.0, 2.0, 3.0, 4.0, and 5.0 ml of the working standard solution containing 0, 200, 400, 600, 800, and 1000 ng Hg, respectively. Prepare quality control samples by making a separate 10 μg/ml standard and diluting until in the calibration range.

7.5.34 ICAP Standards and Quality Control Samples. Calibration standards for ICAP analysis can be combined into four different mixed standard solutions as follows:

MIXED STANDARD SOLUTIONS FOR ICAP ANALYSIS

Solution	Elements			
I II III IV	As, Be, Cd, Mn, Pb, Se, Zn. Ba, Co, Cu, Fe. Al, Cr, Ni. Ag, P, Sb, Tl.			

Prepare these standards by combining and diluting the appropriate volumes of the 1000 µg/ml solutions with 5 percent HNO₃. A minimum of one standard and a blank can be used to form each calibration curve. However, prepare a separate quality control sample spiked with known amounts of the target metals in quantities in the mid-range of the calibration curve. Suggested standard levels are 25 µg/ml for Al, Cr and Pb, 15 µg/ml for Fe, and 10 µg/ml for the remaining elements. Prepare any standards containing less than 1 µg/ml of metal on a daily basis. Standards containing greater than 1 µg/ml of metal should be stable for a minimum of 1 to 2 weeks. For ICP-MS, follow Method 6020 in EPA Publication SW-846 Third Edition (November 1986) including

updates I, II, IIA, IIB and III, as incorporated by reference in § 60.17(i).

7.5.35 GFAAS Standards. Sb, As, Cd, Co, Pb, Se, and Tl. Prepare a 10 µg/ ml standard by adding 1 ml of 1000 µg/ ml standard to a 100-ml volumetric flask. Dilute with stirring to 100 ml with 10 percent HNO₃. For GFAAS, matrix match the standards. Prepare a 100 ng/ ml standard by adding 1 ml of the 10 µg/ ml standard to a 100-ml volumetric flask, and dilute to 100 ml with the appropriate matrix solution. Prepare other standards by diluting the 100 ng/ ml standards. Use at least five standards to make up the standard curve. Suggested levels are 0, 10, 50, 75, and 100 ng/ml. Prepare quality control samples by making a separate 10 µg/ml standard and diluting until it is in the range of the samples. Prepare any standards containing less than 1 µg/ml of metal on a daily basis. Standards containing greater than 1 µg/ml of metal should be stable for a minimum of 1 to 2 weeks.

7.5.36 Matrix Modifiers.

7.5.36.1 Nickel Nitrate, 1 Percent (V/V). Dissolve 4.956 g of Ni(N0₃)₂·6H₂0 or other nickel compound suitable for preparation of this matrix modifier in approximately 50 ml of water in a 100-ml volumetric flask. Dilute to 100 ml with water.

7.5.36.2 Nickel Nitrate, 0.1 Percent (V/V). Dilute 10 ml of 1 percent nickel nitrate solution to 100 ml with water. Inject an equal amount of sample and this modifier into the graphite furnace during GFAAS analysis for As.

7.5.36.3 Lanthanum. Carefully dissolve 0.5864 g of La_2O_3 in 10 ml of concentrated HNO₃, and dilute the solution by adding it with stirring to approximately 50 ml of water. Dilute to 100 ml with water, and mix well. Inject an equal amount of sample and this modifier into the graphite furnace during GFAAS analysis for Pb.

7.5.37 Whatman 40 and 541 Filter Papers (or equivalent). For filtration of digested samples.

8.0 Sample Collection, Preservation, Transport, and Storage

8.1 Sampling. The complexity of this method is such that, to obtain reliable results, both testers and analysts must be trained and experienced with the test procedures, including source sampling; reagent preparation and handling; sample handling; safety equipment and procedures; analytical calculations; reporting; and the specific procedural descriptions throughout this method.

8.1.1 Pretest Preparation. Follow the same general procedure given in Method 5, Section 8.1, except that,

unless particulate emissions are to be determined, the filter need not be desiccated or weighed. First, rinse all sampling train glassware with hot tap water and then wash in hot soapy water. Next, rinse glassware three times with tap water, followed by three additional rinses with water. Then soak all glassware in a 10 percent (V/V) nitric acid solution for a minimum of 4 hours, rinse three times with water, rinse a final time with acetone, and allow to air dry. Cover all glassware openings where contamination can occur until the sampling train is assembled for sampling.

8.1.2 Preliminary Determinations. Same as Method 5, Section 8.1.2.

8.1.3 Preparation of Sampling Train. 8.1.3.1 Set up the sampling train as shown in Figure 29–1. Follow the same general procedures given in Method 5, Section 8.3, except place 100 ml of the HNO₃/H₂O₂ solution (Section 7.3.1 of this method) in each of the second and third impingers as shown in Figure 29-1. Place 100 ml of the acidic KMnO₄ absorbing solution (Section 7.3.2 of this method) in each of the fifth and sixth impingers as shown in Figure 29-1, and transfer approximately 200 to 300 g of pre-weighed silica gel from its container to the last impinger. Alternatively, the silica gel may be weighed directly in the impinger just prior to final train assembly.

8.1.3.2 Based on the specific source sampling conditions, the use of an empty first impinger can be eliminated if the moisture to be collected in the impingers will be less than approximately 100 ml.

8.1.3.3 If Hg analysis will not be performed, the fourth, fifth, and sixth impingers as shown in Figure 29–1 are not required.

8.1.3.4 To insure leak-free sampling train connections and to prevent possible sample contamination problems, use Teflon tape or other noncontaminating material instead of silicone grease.

Precaution: Exercise extreme care to prevent contamination within the train. Prevent the acidic KMnO₄ from contacting any glassware that contains sample material to be analyzed for Mn. Prevent acidic H₂O₂ from mixing with the acidic KMnO₄.

8.1.4 Leak-Check Procedures. Follow the leak-check procedures given in Method 5, Section 8.4.2 (Pretest Leak-Check), Section 8.4.3 (Leak-Checks During the Sample Run), and Section 8.4.4 (Post-Test Leak-Checks).

8.1.5 Sampling Train Operation. Follow the procedures given in Method 5, Section 8.5. When sampling for Hg, use a procedure analogous to that

described in Section 8.1 of Method 101A, 40 CFR Part 61, Appendix B, if necessary to maintain the desired color in the last acidified permanganate impinger. For each run, record the data required on a data sheet such as the one shown in Figure 5–3 of Method 5.

8.1.6 Calculation of Percent Isokinetic. Same as Method 5, Section 12.11.

8.2 Sample Recovery.

8.2.1 Begin cleanup procedures as soon as the probe is removed from the stack at the end of a sampling period. The probe should be allowed to cool prior to sample recovery. When it can be safely handled, wipe off all external particulate matter near the tip of the probe nozzle and place a rinsed, noncontaminating cap over the probe nozzle to prevent losing or gaining particulate matter. Do not cap the probe tip tightly while the sampling train is cooling; a vacuum can form in the filter holder with the undesired result of drawing liquid from the impingers onto the filter.

8.2.2 Before moving the sampling train to the cleanup site, remove the probe from the sampling train and cap the open outlet. Be careful not to lose any condensate that might be present. Cap the filter inlet where the probe was fastened. Remove the umbilical cord from the last impinger and cap the impinger. Cap the filter holder outlet and impinger inlet. Use noncontaminating caps, whether groundglass stoppers, plastic caps, serum caps, or Teflon® tape to close these openings.

8.2.3 Alternatively, the following procedure may be used to disassemble the train before the probe and filter holder/oven are completely cooled: Initially disconnect the filter holder outlet/impinger inlet and loosely cap the open ends. Then disconnect the probe from the filter holder or cyclone inlet and loosely cap the open ends. Cap the probe tip and remove the umbilical

cord as previously described.

8.2.4 Transfer the probe and filterimpinger assembly to a cleanup area that is clean and protected from the wind and other potential causes of contamination or loss of sample. Inspect the train before and during disassembly and note any abnormal conditions. Take special precautions to assure that all the items necessary for recovery do not contaminate the samples. The sample is recovered and treated as follows (see schematic in Figures 29-2a and 29-2b):

8.2.5 Container No. 1 (Sample Filter). Carefully remove the filter from the filter holder and place it in its labeled petri dish container. To handle the filter, use either acid-washed polypropylene or Teflon coated tweezers or clean, disposable surgical

gloves rinsed with water and dried. If it is necessary to fold the filter, make certain the particulate cake is inside the fold. Carefully transfer the filter and any particulate matter or filter fibers that adhere to the filter holder gasket to the petri dish by using a dry (acid-cleaned) nylon bristle brush. Do not use any metal-containing materials when recovering this train. Seal the labeled petri dish.

8.2.6 Container No. 2 (Acetone Rinse). Perform this procedure only if a determination of particulate emissions is to be made. Quantitatively recover particulate matter and any condensate from the probe nozzle, probe fitting, probe liner, and front half of the filter holder by washing these components with a total of 100 ml of acetone, while simultaneously taking great care to see that no dust on the outside of the probe or other surfaces gets in the sample. The use of exactly 100 ml is necessary for the subsequent blank correction procedures. Distilled water may be used instead of acetone when approved by the Administrator and shall be used when specified by the Administrator; in these cases, save a water blank and follow the Administrator's directions on analysis.

8.2.6.1 Carefully remove the probe nozzle, and clean the inside surface by rinsing with acetone from a wash bottle while brushing with a non-metallic brush. Brush until the acetone rinse shows no visible particles, then make a final rinse of the inside surface with acetone.

8.2.6.2 Brush and rinse the sample exposed inside parts of the probe fitting with acetone in a similar way until no visible particles remain. Rinse the probe liner with acetone by tilting and rotating the probe while squirting acetone into its upper end so that all inside surfaces will be wetted with acetone. Allow the acetone to drain from the lower end into the sample container. A funnel may be used to aid in transferring liquid washings to the container. Follow the acetone rinse with a non-metallic probe brush. Hold the probe in an inclined position, squirt acetone into the upper end as the probe brush is being pushed with a twisting action three times through the probe. Hold a sample container underneath the lower end of the probe, and catch any acetone and particulate matter which is brushed through the probe until no visible particulate matter is carried out with the acetone or until none remains in the probe liner on visual inspection. Rinse the brush with acetone, and quantitatively collect these washings in the sample container. After the

brushing, make a final acetone rinse of the probe as described above.

8.2.6.3 It is recommended that two people clean the probe to minimize sample losses. Between sampling runs, keep brushes clean and protected from contamination. Clean the inside of the front-half of the filter holder by rubbing the surfaces with a non-metallic brush and rinsing with acetone. Rinse each surface three times or more if needed to remove visible particulate. Make a final rinse of the brush and filter holder. After all acetone washings and particulate matter have been collected in the sample container, tighten the lid so that acetone will not leak out when shipped to the laboratory. Mark the height of the fluid level to determine whether or not leakage occurred during transport. Clearly label the container to identify its contents.

8.2.7 Container No. 3 (Probe Rinse). Keep the probe assembly clean and free from contamination during the probe rinse. Rinse the probe nozzle and fitting, probe liner, and front-half of the filter holder thoroughly with a total of 100 ml of 0.1 N HNO₃, and place the wash into a sample storage container. Perform the rinses as applicable and generally as described in Method 12, Section 8.7.1. Record the volume of the rinses. Mark the height of the fluid level on the outside of the storage container and use this mark to determine if leakage occurs during transport. Seal the container, and clearly label the contents. Finally, rinse the nozzle, probe liner, and front-half of the filter holder with water followed by acetone, and discard these rinses.

Note: The use of a total of exactly 100 ml is necessary for the subsequent blank correction procedures.

8.2.8 Container No. 4 (Impingers 1 through 3, Moisture Knockout Impinger, when used, HNO₃/H₂O₂ Impingers Contents and Rinses). Due to the potentially large quantity of liquid involved, the tester may place the impinger solutions from impingers 1 through 3 in more than one container, if necessary. Measure the liquid in the first three impingers to within 0.5 ml using a graduated cylinder. Record the volume. This information is required to calculate the moisture content of the sampled flue gas. Clean each of the first three impingers, the filter support, the back half of the filter housing, and connecting glassware by thoroughly rinsing with 100 ml of 0.1 N HNO₃ using the procedure as applicable in Method 12, Section 8.7.3.

Note: The use of exactly 100 ml of 0.1 N HNO₃ rinse is necessary for the subsequent blank correction procedures. Combine the rinses and impinger solutions, measure and record the final total volume. Mark the height of the fluid level, seal the container, and clearly label the contents.

8.2.9 Container Nos. 5A (0.1 N HNO_3), 5B (KMnO₄/ H_2SO_4 absorbing solution), and 5C (8 N HCl rinse and dilution).

8.2.9.1 When sampling for Hg, pour all the liquid from the impinger (normally impinger No. 4) that immediately preceded the two permanganate impingers into a graduated cylinder and measure the volume to within 0.5 ml. This information is required to calculate the moisture content of the sampled flue gas. Place the liquid in Container No. 5A. Rinse the impinger with exactly 100 ml of 0.1 N HNO₃ and place this rinse in Container No. 5A.

8.2.9.2 Pour all the liquid from the two permanganate impingers into a graduated cylinder and measure the volume to within 0.5 ml. This information is required to calculate the moisture content of the sampled flue gas. Place this acidic KMnO₄ solution into Container No. 5B. Using a total of exactly 100 ml of fresh acidified KMnO₄ solution for all rinses (approximately 33 ml per rinse), rinse the two permanganate impingers and connecting glassware a minimum of three times. Pour the rinses into Container No. 5B, carefully assuring transfer of all loose precipitated materials from the two impingers. Similarly, using 100 ml total of water, rinse the permanganate impingers and connecting glass a minimum of three times, and pour the rinses into Container 5B, carefully assuring transfer of any loose precipitated material. Mark the height of the fluid level, and clearly label the contents. Read the Precaution: in Section 7.3.2.

Note: Due to the potential reaction of $KMnO_4$ with acid, pressure buildup can occur in the sample storage bottles. Do not fill these bottles completely and take precautions to relieve excess pressure. A No. 70–72 hole drilled in the container cap and Teflon liner has been used successfully.

8.2.9.3 If no visible deposits remain after the water rinse, no further rinse is necessary. However, if deposits remain on the impinger surfaces, wash them with 25 ml of 8 N HCl, and place the wash in a separate sample container labeled No. 5C containing 200 ml of water. First, place 200 ml of water in the container. Then wash the impinger walls and stem with the HCl by turning the impinger on its side and rotating it so that the HCl contacts all inside surfaces. Use a total of only 25 ml of 8 N HCl for rinsing both permanganate impingers combined. Rinse the first

impinger, then pour the actual rinse used for the first impinger into the second impinger for its rinse. Finally, pour the 25 ml of 8 N HCl rinse carefully into the container. Mark the height of the fluid level on the outside of the container to determine if leakage occurs during transport.

8.2.10 Container No. 6 (Silica Gel). Note the color of the indicating silica gel to determine whether it has been completely spent and make a notation of its condition. Transfer the silica gel from its impinger to its original container and seal it. The tester may use a funnel to pour the silica gel and a rubber policeman to remove the silica gel from the impinger. The small amount of particles that might adhere to the impinger wall need not be removed. Do not use water or other liquids to transfer the silica gel since weight gained in the silica gel impinger is used for moisture calculations. Alternatively, if a balance is available in the field, record the weight of the spent silica gel (or silica gel plus impinger) to the nearest 0.5 g.

8.2.11 Container No. 7 (Acetone Blank). If particulate emissions are to be determined, at least once during each field test, place a 100-ml portion of the acetone used in the sample recovery process into a container labeled No. 7. Seal the container.

8.2.12 Container No. 8A (0.1 N HNO₃ Blank). At least once during each field test, place 300 ml of the 0.1 N HNO₃ solution used in the sample recovery process into a container labeled No. 8A. Seal the container.

8.2.13 Container No. 8B (Water Blank). At least once during each field test, place 100 ml of the water used in the sample recovery process into a container labeled No. 8B. Seal the container.

8.2.14 Container No. 9 (5 Percent HNO₃/10 Percent H₂O₂ Blank). At least once during each field test, place 200 ml of the 5 Percent HNO₃/10 Percent H₂O₂ solution used as the nitric acid impinger reagent into a container labeled No. 9. Seal the container.

8.2.15 Container No. 10 (Acidified KMnO₄ Blank). At least once during each field test, place 100 ml of the acidified KMnO₄ solution used as the impinger solution and in the sample recovery process into a container labeled No. 10. Prepare the container as described in Section 8.2.9.2. Read the *Precaution*: in Section 7.3.2 and read the **NOTE** in Section 8.2.9.2.

8.2.16 Container No. 11 (8 N HCl Blank). At least once during each field test, place 200 ml of water into a sample container labeled No. 11. Then carefully

add with stirring 25 ml of 8 N HCl. Mix well and seal the container.

8.2.17 Container No. 12 (Sample Filter Blank). Once during each field test, place into a petri dish labeled No. 12 three unused blank filters from the same lot as the sampling filters. Seal the petri dish.

8.3 Sample Preparation. Note the level of the liquid in each of the containers and determine if any sample was lost during shipment. If a noticeable amount of leakage has occurred, either void the sample or use methods, subject to the approval of the Administrator, to correct the final results. A diagram illustrating sample preparation and analysis procedures for each of the sample train components is shown in Figure 29–3.

8.3.1 Container No. 1 (Sample Filter).

8.3.1.1 If particulate emissions are being determined, first desiccate the filter and filter catch without added heat (do not heat the filters to speed the drying) and weigh to a constant weight as described in Section 11.2.1 of Method 5.

8.3.1.2 Following this procedure, or initially, if particulate emissions are not being determined in addition to metals analysis, divide the filter with its filter catch into portions containing approximately 0.5 g each. Place the pieces in the analyst's choice of either individual microwave pressure relief vessels or Parr Bombs. Add 6 ml of concentrated HNO₃ and 4 ml of concentrated HF to each vessel. For microwave heating, microwave the samples for approximately 12 to 15 minutes total heating time as follows: heat for 2 to 3 minutes, then turn off the microwave for 2 to 3 minutes, then heat for 2 to 3 minutes, etc., continue this alternation until the 12 to 15 minutes total heating time are completed (this procedure should comprise approximately 24 to 30 minutes at 600 watts). Microwave heating times are approximate and are dependent upon the number of samples being digested simultaneously. Sufficient heating is evidenced by sorbent reflux within the vessel. For conventional heating, heat the Parr Bombs at 140 °C (285 °F) for 6 hours. Then cool the samples to room temperature, and combine with the acid digested probe rinse as required in Section 8.3.3.

8.3.1.3 If the sampling train includes an optional glass cyclone in front of the filter, prepare and digest the cyclone catch by the procedures described in Section 8.3.1.2 and then combine the digestate with the digested filter sample.

8.3.2 Container No. 2 (Acetone Rinse). Note the level of liquid in the

container and confirm on the analysis sheet whether or not leakage occurred during transport. If a noticeable amount of leakage has occurred, either void the sample or use methods, subject to the approval of the Administrator, to correct the final results. Measure the liquid in this container either volumetrically within 1 ml or gravimetrically within 0.5 g. Transfer the contents to an acidcleaned, tared 250-ml beaker and evaporate to dryness at ambient temperature and pressure. If particulate emissions are being determined, desiccate for 24 hours without added heat, weigh to a constant weight according to the procedures described in Section 11.2.1 of Method 5, and report the results to the nearest 0.1 mg. Redissolve the residue with 10 ml of concentrated HNO₃. Quantitatively combine the resultant sample, including all liquid and any particulate matter, with Container No. 3 before beginning Section 8.3.3.

8.3.3 Container No. 3 (Probe Rinse). Verify that the pH of this sample is 2 or lower. If it is not, acidify the sample by careful addition with stirring of concentrated HNO₃ to pH 2. Use water to rinse the sample into a beaker, and cover the beaker with a ribbed watch glass. Reduce the sample volume to approximately 20 ml by heating on a hot plate at a temperature just below boiling. Digest the sample in microwave vessels or Parr Bombs by quantitatively transferring the sample to the vessel or bomb, carefully adding the 6 ml of concentrated HNO₃, 4 ml of concentrated HF, and then continuing to follow the procedures described in Section 8.3.1.2. Then combine the resultant sample directly with the acid digested portions of the filter prepared previously in Section 8.3.1.2. The resultant combined sample is referred to as "Sample Fraction 1". Filter the combined sample using Whatman 541 filter paper. Dilute to 300 ml (or the appropriate volume for the expected metals concentration) with water. This diluted sample is "Analytical Fraction 1". Measure and record the volume of Analytical Fraction 1 to within 0.1 ml. Quantitatively remove a 50-ml aliquot and label as "Analytical Fraction 1B". Label the remaining 250-ml portion as "Analytical Fraction 1A". Analytical Fraction 1A is used for ICAP or AAS analysis for all desired metals except Hg. Analytical Fraction 1B is used for the determination of front-half Hg.

8.3.4 Container No. 4 (Impingers 1–3). Measure and record the total volume of this sample to within 0.5 ml and label it "Sample Fraction 2". Remove a 75- to 100-ml aliquot for Hg analysis and label the aliquot "Analytical Fraction 2B".

Label the remaining portion of Container No. 4 as "Sample Fraction 2A". Sample Fraction 2A defines the volume of Analytical Fraction 2A prior to digestion. All of Sample Fraction 2A is digested to produce "Analytical Fraction 2A". Analytical Fraction 2A defines the volume of Sample Fraction 2A after its digestion and the volume of Analytical Fraction 2A is normally 150 ml. Analytical Fraction 2A is analyzed for all metals except Hg. Verify that the pH of Sample Fraction 2A is 2 or lower. If necessary, use concentrated HNO₃ by careful addition and stirring to lower Sample Fraction 2A to pH 2. Use water to rinse Sample Fraction 2A into a beaker and then cover the beaker with a ribbed watchglass. Reduce Sample Fraction 2A to approximately 20 ml by heating on a hot plate at a temperature just below boiling. Then follow either of the digestion procedures described in Sections 8.3.4.1 or 8.3.4.2.

8.3.4.1 Conventional Digestion Procedure. Add 30 ml of 50 percent HNO₃, and heat for 30 minutes on a hot plate to just below boiling. Add 10 ml of 3 percent $\rm H_2O_2$ and heat for 10 more minutes. Add 50 ml of hot water, and heat the sample for an additional 20 minutes. Cool, filter the sample, and dilute to 150 ml (or the appropriate volume for the expected metals concentrations) with water. This dilution produces Analytical Fraction 2A. Measure and record the volume to within 0.1 ml.

8.3.4.2 Microwave Digestion Procedure. Add 10 ml of 50 percent HNO₃ and heat for 6 minutes total heating time in alternations of 1 to 2 minutes at 600 Watts followed by 1 to 2 minutes with no power, etc., similar to the procedure described in Section 8.3.1. Allow the sample to cool. Add 10 ml of 3 percent H₂O₂ and heat for 2 more minutes. Add 50 ml of hot water, and heat for an additional 5 minutes. Cool, filter the sample, and dilute to 150 ml (or the appropriate volume for the expected metals concentrations) with water. This dilution produces Analytical Fraction 2A. Measure and record the volume to within 0.1 ml.

Note: All microwave heating times given are approximate and are dependent upon the number of samples being digested at a time. Heating times as given above have been found acceptable for simultaneous digestion of up to 12 individual samples. Sufficient heating is evidenced by solvent reflux within the vessel.

8.3.5 Container No. 5A (Impinger 4), Container Nos. 5B and 5C (Impingers 5 and 6). Keep the samples in Containers Nos. 5A, 5B, and 5C separate from each other. Measure and record the volume of 5A to within 0.5 ml. Label the contents

of Container No. 5A to be Analytical Fraction 3A. To remove any brown MnO₂ precipitate from the contents of Container No. 5B, filter its contents through Whatman 40 filter paper into a 500 ml volumetric flask and dilute to volume with water. Save the filter for digestion of the brown MnO₂ precipitate. Label the 500 ml filtrate from Container No. 5B to be Analytical Fraction 3B. Analyze Analytical Fraction 3B for Hg within 48 hours of the filtration step. Place the saved filter, which was used to remove the brown MnO₂ precipitate, into an appropriately sized vented container, which will allow release of any gases including chlorine formed when the filter is digested. In a laboratory hood which will remove any gas produced by the digestion of the MnO2, add 25 ml of 8 N HCl to the filter and allow to digest for a minimum of 24 hours at room temperature. Filter the contents of Container No. 5C through a Whatman 40 filter into a 500-ml volumetric flask. Then filter the result of the digestion of the brown MnO₂ from Container No. 5B through a Whatman 40 filter into the same 500-ml volumetric flask, and dilute and mix well to volume with water. Discard the Whatman 40 filter. Mark this combined 500-ml dilute HCl solution as Analytical Fraction 3C.

8.3.6 Container No. 6 (Silica Gel). Weigh the spent silica gel (or silica gel plus impinger) to the nearest 0.5 g using a balance.

9.0 Quality Control

9.1 Field Reagent Blanks, if analyzed. Perform the digestion and analysis of the blanks in Container Nos. 7 through 12 that were produced in Sections 8.2.11 through 8.2.17, respectively. For Hg field reagent blanks, use a 10 ml aliquot for digestion and analysis.

9.1.1 Digest and analyze one of the filters from Container No. 12 per Section 8.3.1, 100 ml from Container No. 7 per Section 8.3.2, and 100 ml from Container No. 8A per Section 8.3.3. This step produces blanks for Analytical Fractions 1A and 1B.

9.1.2 Combine 100 ml of Container No. 8A with 200 ml from Container No. 9, and digest and analyze the resultant volume per Section 8.3.4. This step produces blanks for Analytical Fractions 2A and 2B.

9.1.3 Digest and analyze a 100-ml portion of Container No. 8A to produce a blank for Analytical Fraction 3A.

9.1.4 Combine 100 ml from Container No. 10 with 33 ml from Container No. 8B to produce a blank for Analytical Fraction 3B. Filter the resultant 133 ml as described for Container No. 5B in Section 8.3.5, except do not dilute the 133 ml. Analyze this blank for Hg within 48 hr of the filtration step, and use 400 ml as the blank volume when calculating the blank mass value. Use the actual volumes of the other analytical blanks when calculating their mass values.

9.1.5 Digest the filter that was used to remove any brown MnO₂ precipitate from the blank for Analytical Fraction 3B by the same procedure as described in Section 8.3.5 for the similar sample filter. Filter the digestate and the contents of Container No. 11 through Whatman 40 paper into a 500-ml volumetric flask, and dilute to volume with water. These steps produce a blank for Analytical Fraction 3C.

9.1.6 Analyze the blanks for Analytical Fraction Blanks 1A and 2A per Section 11.1.1 and/or Section 11.1.2. Analyze the blanks for Analytical Fractions 1B, 2B, 3A, 3B, and 3C per Section 11.1.3. Analysis of the blank for Analytical Fraction 1A produces the front-half reagent blank correction values for the desired metals except for Hg; Analysis of the blank for Analytical Fraction 1B produces the front-half reagent blank correction value for Hg. Analysis of the blank for Analytical Fraction 2A produces the back-half reagent blank correction values for all of the desired metals except for Hg, while separate analyses of the blanks for Analytical Fractions 2B, 3A, 3B, and 3C produce the back-half reagent blank correction value for Hg. 9.2 Quality Control Samples.

9.2 Quality Control Samples. Analyze the following quality control

samples.

9.2.1 ICAP and ICP–MS Analysis. Follow the respective quality control descriptions in Section 8 of Methods 6010 and 6020 in EPA Publication SW-846 Third Edition (November 1986) including updates I, II, IIA, IIB and III, as incorporated by reference in § 60.17(i). For the purposes of a source test that consists of three sample runs, modify those requirements to include the following: two instrument check standard runs, two calibration blank runs, one interference check sample at the beginning of the analysis (analyze by Method of Standard Additions unless within 25 percent), one quality control sample to check the accuracy of the calibration standards (required to be within 25 percent of calibration), and one duplicate analysis (required to be within 20 percent of average or repeat all analyses).

9.2.2 Direct Aspiration AAS and/or GFAAS Analysis for Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Cu, Cr, Co, Pb, Ni, Mn, Hg, P, Se, Ag, Tl, and Zn. Analyze all samples in duplicate. Perform a matrix spike on at

least one front-half sample and one back-half sample, or one combined sample. If recoveries of less than 75 percent or greater than 125 percent are obtained for the matrix spike, analyze each sample by the Method of Standard Additions. Analyze a quality control sample to check the accuracy of the calibration standards. If the results are not within 20 percent, repeat the calibration.

9.2.3 CVAAS Analysis for Hg. Analyze all samples in duplicate. Analyze a quality control sample to check the accuracy of the calibration standards (if not within 15 percent, repeat calibration). Perform a matrix spike on one sample (if not within 25 percent, analyze all samples by the Method of Standard Additions). Additional information on quality control can be obtained from Method 7470 in EPA Publication SW-846 Third Edition (November 1986) including updates I, II, IIA, IIB and III, as incorporated by reference in § 60.17(i), or in Standard Methods for Water and Wastewater Method 303F.

10.0 Calibration and Standardization

Note: Maintain a laboratory log of all calibrations.

10.1 Sampling Train Calibration. Calibrate the sampling train components according to the indicated sections of Method 5: Probe Nozzle (Section 10.1); Pitot Tube (Section 10.2); Metering System (Section 10.3); Probe Heater (Section 10.4); Temperature Sensors (Section 10.5); Leak-Check of the Metering System (Section 8.4.1); and Barometer (Section 10.6).

10.2 Inductively Coupled Argon Plasma Spectrometer Calibration. Prepare standards as outlined in Section 7.5. Profile and calibrate the instrument according to the manufacturer's recommended procedures using those standards. Check the calibration once per hour. If the instrument does not reproduce the standard concentrations within 10 percent, perform the complete calibration procedures. Perform ICP-MS analysis by following Method 6020 in EPA Publication SW-846 Third Edition (November 1986) including updates I, II, IIA, IIB and III, as incorporated by reference in § 60.17(i).

10.3 Atomic Absorption
Spectrometer—Direct Aspiration AAS,
GFAAS, and CVAAS analyses. Prepare
the standards as outlined in Section 7.5
and use them to calibrate the
spectrometer. Calibration procedures are
also outlined in the EPA methods
referred to in Table 29–2 and in Method
7470 in EPA Publication SW–846 Third
Edition (November 1986) including

updates I, II, IIA, IIB and III, as incorporated by reference in § 60.17(i), or in *Standard Methods for Water and Wastewater* Method 303F (for Hg). Run each standard curve in duplicate and use the mean values to calculate the calibration line. Recalibrate the instrument approximately once every 10 to 12 samples.

11.0 Analytical Procedure

11.1 Sample Analysis. For each sampling train sample run, seven individual analytical samples are generated; two for all desired metals except Hg, and five for Hg. A schematic identifying each sample container and the prescribed analytical preparation and analysis scheme is shown in Figure 29–3. The first two analytical samples, labeled Analytical Fractions 1A and 1B, consist of the digested samples from the front-half of the train. Analytical Fraction 1A is for ICAP, ICP-MS or AAS analysis as described in Sections 11.1.1 and 11.1.2, respectively. Analytical Fraction 1B is for front-half Hg analysis as described in Section 11.1.3. The contents of the back-half of the train are used to prepare the third through seventh analytical samples. The third and fourth analytical samples, labeled Analytical Fractions 2A and 2B, contain the samples from the moisture removal impinger No. 1, if used, and HNO₃/H₂O₂ impingers Nos. 2 and 3. Analytical Fraction 2A is for ICAP, ICP-MS or AAS analysis for target metals, except Hg. Analytical Fraction 2B is for analysis for Hg. The fifth through seventh analytical samples, labeled Analytical Fractions 3A, 3B, and 3C, consist of the impinger contents and rinses from the empty impinger No. 4 and the H₂SO₄/KMnO₄ Impingers Nos. 5 and 6. These analytical samples are for analysis for Hg as described in Section 11.1.3. The total back-half Hg catch is determined from the sum of Analytical Fractions 2B, 3A, 3B, and 3C. Analytical Fractions 1A and 2A can be combined proportionally prior to analysis.

11.1.1 ICAP and ICP-MS Analysis. Analyze Analytical Fractions 1A and 2A by ICAP using Method 6010 or Method 200.7 (40 CFR 136, Appendix C). Calibrate the ICAP, and set up an analysis program as described in Method 6010 or Method 200.7. Follow the quality control procedures described in Section 9.2.1. Recommended wavelengths for analysis are as shown in Table 29-2. These wavelengths represent the best combination of specificity and potential detection limit. Other wavelengths may be substituted if they can provide the needed specificity and detection limit, and are treated with the same corrective techniques for

spectral interference. Initially, analyze all samples for the target metals (except Hg) plus Fe and Al. If Fe and Al are present, the sample might have to be diluted so that each of these elements is at a concentration of less than 50 ppm so as to reduce their spectral interferences on As, Cd, Cr, and Pb. Perform ICP-MS analysis by following Method 6020 in EPA Publication SW-846 Third Edition (November 1986) including updates I, II, IIA, IIB and III, as incorporated by reference in § 60.17(i).

Note: When analyzing samples in a HF matrix, an alumina torch should be used; since all front-half samples will contain HF, use an alumina torch.

11.1.2 AAS by Direct Aspiration and/or GFAAS. If analysis of metals in Analytical Fractions 1A and 2A by using GFAAS or direct aspiration AAS is needed, use Table 29–3 to determine which techniques and procedures to apply for each target metal. Use Table 29–3, if necessary, to determine techniques for minimization of interferences. Calibrate the instrument according to Section 10.3 and follow the quality control procedures specified in Section 9.2.2.

11.1.3 CVAAS Hg analysis. Analyze Analytical Fractions 1B, 2B, 3A, 3B, and 3C separately for Hg using CVAAS following the method outlined in Method 7470 in EPA Publication SW-846 Third Edition (November 1986) including updates I, II, IIA, IIB and III, as incorporated by reference in § 60.17(i), or in Standard Methods for Water and Wastewater Analysis, 15th Edition, Method 303F, or, optionally using Note No. 2 at the end of this section. Set up the calibration curve (zero to 1000 ng) as described in Method 7470 or similar to Method 303F using 300-ml BOD bottles instead of Erlenmeyers. Perform the following for each Hg analysis. From each original sample, select and record an aliquot in the size range from 1 ml to 10 ml. If no prior knowledge of the expected amount of Hg in the sample exists, a 5 ml aliquot is suggested for the first dilution to 100 ml (see Note No. 1 at end of this section). The total amount of Hg in the aliquot shall be less than 1 µg and within the range (zero to 1000 ng) of the calibration curve. Place the sample aliquot into a separate 300-ml BOD bottle, and add enough water to make a total volume of 100 ml. Next add to it sequentially the sample digestion solutions and perform the sample preparation described in the procedures of Method 7470 or Method 303F. (See Note No. 2 at the end of this section). If the maximum readings are off-scale

(because Hg in the aliquot exceeded the calibration range; including the situation where only a 1-ml aliquot of the original sample was digested), then dilute the original sample (or a portion of it) with 0.15 percent HNO₃ (1.5 ml concentrated HNO₃ per liter aqueous solution) so that when a 1- to 10-ml aliquot of the "0.15 HNO₃ percent dilution of the original sample" is digested and analyzed by the procedures described above, it will yield an analysis within the range of the calibration curve.

Note No. 1: When Hg levels in the sample fractions are below the in-stack detection limit given in Table 29-1, select a 10 ml aliquot for digestion and analysis as described.

Note No. 2: Optionally, Hg can be analyzed by using the CVAAS analytical procedures given by some instrument manufacturer's directions. These include calibration and quality control procedures for the Leeman Model PS200, the Perkin Elmer FIAS systems, and similar models, if available, of other instrument manufacturers. For digestion and analyses by these instruments, perform the following two steps: (1), Digest the sample aliquot through the addition of the aqueous hydroxylamine hydrochloride/ sodium chloride solution the same as described in this section: (The Leeman, Perkin Elmer, and similar instruments described in this note add automatically the necessary stannous chloride solution during the automated analysis of Hg.); (2), Upon completion of the digestion described in (1), analyze the sample according to the instrument manufacturer's directions. This approach allows multiple (including duplicate) automated analyses of a digested sample aliquot.

12.0 Data Analysis and Calculations

12.1 Nomenclature.

 $A = Analytical detection limit, \mu g/ml.$ B = Liquid volume of digested sample prior to aliquotting for analysis, ml.

C = Stack sample gas volume, dsm^3 .

- C_{a1} = Concentration of metal in Analytical Fraction 1A as read from the standard curve, µg/ml.
- C_{a2} = Concentration of metal in Analytical Fraction 2A as read from the standard curve, (µg/ml).
- C_s = Concentration of a metal in the stack gas, mg/dscm.
- D = In-stack detection limit, $\mu g/m^3$.
- F_a = Aliquot factor, volume of Sample Fraction 2 divided by volume of Sample Fraction 2A (see Section 8.3.4.)
- F_d = Dilution factor (F_d = the inverse of the fractional portion of the concentrated sample in the solution actually used in the instrument to produce the reading Ca1. For example, if a 2 ml aliquot of Analytical Fraction 1A is diluted to

10 ml to place it in the calibration range, $F_d = 5$).

Hgbh = Total mass of Hg collected in the back-half of the sampling train, µg. Hg_{bh2} = Total mass of Hg collected in

Sample Fraction 2, µg.

 $Hg_{bh3(A,B,C)} = Total mass of Hg collected$ separately in Fraction 3A, 3B, or 3C,

 Hg_{bhb} = Blank correction value for mass of Hg detected in back-half field reagent blanks, µg.

 Hg_{fh} = Total mass of Hg collected in the front-half of the sampling train (Sample Fraction 1), µg.

Hg_{fhb} = Blank correction value for mass of Hg detected in front-half field reagent blank, µg.

Hgt = Total mass of Hg collected in the

sampling train, µg.

 M_{bh} = Total mass of each metal (except Hg) collected in the back-half of the sampling train (Sample Fraction 2),

 M_{bhb} = Blank correction value for mass of metal detected in back-half field

reagent blank, µg.

 M_{fh} = Total mass of each metal (except Hg) collected in the front half of the sampling train (Sample Fraction 1),

 M_{fhb} = Blank correction value for mass of metal detected in front-half field reagent blank, μg.

 M_t = Total mass of each metal (separately stated for each metal) collected in the sampling train, µg.

M_t = Total mass of that metal collected in the sampling train, µg; (substitute Hg_t for M_t for the H_g calculation).

 Q_{bh2} = Quantity of Hg, μ g, TOTAL in the ALIQUOT of Analytical Fraction 2B selected for digestion and analysis. **NOTE:** For example, if a 10 ml aliquot of Analytical Fraction 2B is taken and digested and analyzed (according to Section 11.1.3 and its NOTES Nos. 1 and 2), then calculate and use the total amount of Hg in the 10 ml aliquot for Q_{bh2}.

 $Q_{bh3(A,B,C)}$ = Quantity of Hg, µg, TOTAL, separately, in the ALIQUOT of Analytical Fraction 3A, 3B, or 3C selected for digestion and analysis (see NOTES in Sections 12.7.1 and 12.7.2 describing the quantity "Q" and calculate similarly).

 Q_{fh} = Quantity of Hg, μg , TOTAL in the ALIQUOT of Analytical Fraction 1B selected for digestion and analysis. **NOTE:** For example, if a 10 ml aliquot of Analytical Fraction 1B is taken and digested and analyzed (according to Section 11.1.3 and its NOTES Nos. 1 and 2), then calculate and use the total amount of Hg in the 10 ml aliquot for Q_{fh} .

V_a = Total volume of digested sample solution (Analytical Fraction 2A), ml (see Section 8.3.4.1 or 8.3.4.2, as

applicable).

 $V_{\rm f1B}$ = Volume of aliquot of Analytical Fraction 1B analyzed, ml. **NOTE:** For example, if a 1 ml aliquot of Analytical Fraction 1B was diluted to 50 ml with 0.15 percent HNO₃ as described in Section 11.1.3 to bring it into the proper analytical range, and then 1 ml of that 50-ml was digested according to Section 11.1.3 and analyzed, $V_{\rm f1B}$ would be 0.02 ml.

$$\begin{split} V_{f2B} &= Volume \ of \ Analytical \ Fraction \ 2B \\ &= analyzed, \ ml. \ \textbf{NOTE:} \ For \ example, \\ &= if \ 1 \ ml \ of \ Analytical \ Fraction \ 2B \\ &= was \ diluted \ to \ 10 \ ml \ with \ 0.15 \\ &= percent \ HNO_3 \ as \ described \ in \\ &= Section \ 11.1.3 \ to \ bring \ it \ into \ the \\ &= proper \ analytical \ range, \ and \ then \ 5 \\ &= ml \ of \ that \ 10 \ ml \ was \ analyzed, \ V_{f2B} \\ &= would \ be \ 0.5 \ ml. \end{split}$$

 $V_{\rm f3(A,B,C)}$ = Volume, separately, of Analytical Fraction 3A, 3B, or 3C analyzed, ml (see previous notes in Sections 12.7.1 and 12.7.2, describing the quantity "V" and calculate similarly).

 $V_{m(std)} = Volume of gas sample as$ measured by the dry gas meter, corrected to dry standard conditions, dscm.

V_{soln,1} = Total volume of digested sample solution (Analytical Fraction 1), ml.

 $V_{\text{soln},1}$ = Total volume of Analytical Fraction 1, ml.

 $V_{soln,2}$ = Total volume of Sample Fraction 2, ml.

$$\begin{split} V_{soln,3(A,B,C)} &= Total \ volume, \\ separately, \ of \ Analytical \ Fraction \ 3A, \\ 3B, \ or \ 3C, \ ml. \end{split}$$

 $K_4 = 10^{-3} \text{ mg/µg}.$

12.2 Dry Gas Volume. Using the data from this test, calculate $V_{m(\text{std})}$, the dry gas sample volume at standard conditions as outlined in Section 12.3 of Method 5.

12.3 Volume of Water Vapor and Moisture Content. Using the total volume of condensate collected during the source sampling, calculate the volume of water vapor $V_{w(std)}$ and the moisture content B_{ws} of the stack gas. Use Equations 5–2 and 5–3 of Method 5.

12.4 Stack Gas Velocity. Using the data from this test and Equation 2–9 of Method 2, calculate the average stack gas velocity.

12.5 In-Stack Detection Limits. Calculate the in-stack method detection limits shown in Table 29–4 using the conditions described in Section 13.3.1 as follows:

$$A \times \frac{B}{C} = D$$
 Eq. 29-1

12.6 Metals (Except Hg) in Source Sample.

12.6.1 Analytical Fraction 1A, Front-Half, Metals (except Hg). Calculate separately the amount of each metal collected in Sample Fraction 1 of the sampling train using the following equation:

$$M_{fh} = C_{al}F_dV_{soln.1}$$
 Eq. 29-2

Note: If Analytical Fractions 1A and 2A are combined, use proportional aliquots. Then make appropriate changes in Equations 29–2 through 29–4 to reflect this approach.

12.6.2 Analytical Fraction 2A, Back-Half, Metals (except Hg). Calculate separately the amount of each metal collected in Fraction 2 of the sampling train using the following equation:

$$M_{bh} = C_{a2}F_aV_a$$
 Eq. 29-3

12.6.3 Total Train, Metals (except Hg). Calculate the total amount of each of the quantified metals collected in the sampling train as follows:

$$M_t = (M_{fh} - M_{fhb}) + (M_{bh} - M_{bhb})$$
 Eq. 29-4

Note: If the measured blank value for the front half (M_{fhb}) is in the range 0.0 to "A" μg (where "A" μg equals the value determined by multiplying 1.4 $\mu g/in$.² times the actual area in in.² of the sample filter), use M_{fhb} to correct the emission sample value (M_{fh}); if M_{fhb} exceeds "A" μg , use the greater of I or II:

I. "A" μg

II. The lesser of (a) M_{fhb} , or (b) 5 percent of M_{fh} . If the measured blank value for the back-half (M_{bhb}) is in the range 0.0 to 1 μg , use M_{bhb} to correct the emission sample value (M_{bh}); if M_{bhb} exceeds 1 μg , use the greater of I or II:

I. 1 μg. II. The lesser of (a)

II. The lesser of (a) M_{bhb} , or (b) 5 percent of M_{bh} .

12.7 Hg in Source Sample.

12.7.1 Analytical Fraction 1B; Front-Half Hg. Calculate the amount of Hg collected in the front-half, Sample Fraction 1, of the sampling train by using Equation 29–5:

$$Hg_{fh} = \frac{Q_{fh}}{V_{flB}} (V_{soln,1}) \qquad \text{Eq. 29-5}$$

12.7.2 Analytical Fractions 2B, 3A, 3B, and 3C; Back Half Hg.

12.7.2.1 Calculate the amount of Hg collected in Sample Fraction 2 by using Equation 29–6:

$$Hg_{bh2} = \frac{Q_{bh2}}{V_{f2B}} (V_{soln,2})$$
 Eq. 29-6

12.7.2.2 Calculate each of the backhalf Hg values for Analytical Fractions 3A, 3B, and 3C by using Equation 29– 7.

$$Hg_{bh3(A,B,C)} = \frac{Q_{bh3(A,B,C)}}{V_{f3(A,B,C)}} (V_{soln,3(A,B,C)})$$
 Eq. 29-7

12.7.2.3 Calculate the total amount of Hg collected in the back-half of the sampling train by using Equation 29–8:

$$Hg_{bh} = Hg_{bh2} + Hg_{bh3A} + Hg_{bh3B} + Hg_{bh3C}$$
 Eq. 29-8

12.7.3 Total Train Hg Catch. Calculate the total amount of Hg

collected in the sampling train by using Equation 29–9:

$$Hg_t = (Hg_{fh} - Hg_{fhb}) + (Hg_{hh} - Hg_{hhb})$$
 Eq. 29-9

Note: If the total of the measured blank values ($Hg_{fhb} + Hg_{bhb}$) is in the range of 0.0 to 0.6 μ g, then use the total to correct the sample value ($Hg_{fh} + Hg_{bh}$); if it exceeds 0.6 μ g, use the greater of I. or II:

I. 0.6 ug.

II. The lesser of (a) $(Hg_{fhb} + Hg_{bhb})$, or (b) 5 percent of the sample value $(Hg_{fh} + Hg_{bh})$.

12.8 Individual Metal Concentrations in Stack Gas. Calculate the concentration of each metal in the stack gas (dry basis, adjusted to standard conditions) by using Equation 29–10:

$$C_s = \frac{K_4 M_t}{V_{\text{m(std)}}} \qquad \text{Eq. 29-10}$$

12.9 Isokinetic Variation and Acceptable Results. Same as Method 5, Sections 12.11 and 12.12, respectively.

13.0 Method Performance

13.1 Range. For the analysis described and for similar analyses, the ICAP response is linear over several orders of magnitude. Samples containing metal concentrations in the nanograms per ml (µg/ml) to micrograms per ml (µg/ml) range in the final analytical solution can be analyzed using this method. Samples containing greater than approximately 50 µg/ml As, Cr, or Pb should be diluted to that level or lower for final analysis. Samples containing greater than approximately 20 µg/ml of Cd should be diluted to that level before analysis.

13.2 Analytical Detection Limits.

Note: See Section 13.3 for the description of in-stack detection limits.

13.2.1 ICAP analytical detection limits for the sample solutions (based on SW-846, Method 6010) are approximately as follows: Sb (32 ng/ml), As (53 ng/ml), Ba (2 ng/ml), Be (0.3 ng/ ml), Cd (4 ng/ml), Cr (7 ng/ml), Co (7 ng/ml), Cu (6 ng/ml), Pb (42 ng/ml), Mn (2 ng/ml), Ni (15 ng/ml), P (75 ng/ml), Se (75 ng/ml), Ag (7 ng/ml), Tl (40 ng/ ml), and Zn (2 ng/ml). ICP-MS analytical detection limits (based on *SW*–846, Method 6020) are lower generally by a factor of ten or more. Be is lower by a factor of three. The actual sample analytical detection limits are sample dependent and may vary due to the sample matrix.

13.2.2 The analytical detection limits for analysis by direct aspiration AAS (based on *SW*–846, Method 7000 series) are approximately as follows: Sb (200 ng/ml), As (2 ng/ml), Ba (100 ng/ml), Be (5 ng/ml), Cd (5 ng/ml), Cr (50 ng/ml), Co (50 ng/ml), Cu (20 ng/ml), Pb (100 ng/ml), Mn (10 ng/ml), Ni (40 ng/ml)

ml), Se (2 ng/ml), Ag (10 ng/ml), Tl (100 ng/ml), and Zn (5 ng/ml).

13.2.3 The detection limit for Hg by CVAAS (on the resultant volume of the digestion of the aliquots taken for Hg analyses) can be approximately 0.02 to 0.2 ng/ml, depending upon the type of CVAAS analytical instrument used.

13.2.4 The use of GFAAS can enhance the detection limits compared to direct aspiration AAS as follows: Sb (3 ng/ml), As (1 ng/ml), Be (0.2 ng/ml), Cd (0.1 ng/ml), Cr (1 ng/ml), Co (1 ng/ml), Pb (1 ng/ml), Se (2 ng/ml), and Tl (1 ng/ml).

13.3 In-stack Detection Limits. 13.3.1 For test planning purposes instack detection limits can be developed by using the following information: (1) The procedures described in this method, (2) the analytical detection limits described in Section 13.2 and in SW-846,(3) the normal volumes of 300 ml (Analytical Fraction 1) for the fronthalf and 150 ml (Analytical Fraction 2A) for the back-half samples, and (4) a stack gas sample volume of 1.25 m³. The resultant in-stack method detection limits for the above set of conditions are presented in Table 29-1 and were calculated by using Eq. 29–1 shown in Section 12.5.

13.3.2 To ensure optimum precision/resolution in the analyses, the target concentrations of metals in the analytical solutions should be at least ten times their respective analytical detection limits. Under certain conditions, and with greater care in the analytical procedure, these concentrations can be as low as approximately three times the respective analytical detection limits without seriously impairing the precision of the analyses. On at least one sample run in the source test, and for each metal analyzed, perform either repetitive analyses, Method of Standard Additions, serial dilution, or matrix spike addition, etc., to document the quality of the data.

13.3.3 Actual in-stack method detection limits are based on actual source sampling parameters and analytical results as described above. If required, the method in-stack detection limits can be improved over those shown in Table 29–1 for a specific test by either increasing the sampled stack gas volume, reducing the total volume of the digested samples, improving the analytical detection limits, or any combination of the three. For extremely low levels of *Hg only*, the aliquot size selected for digestion and analysis can be increased to as much as 10 ml, thus

improving the in-stack detection limit by a factor of ten compared to a 1 ml aliquot size.

13.3.3.1 A nominal one hour sampling run will collect a stack gas sampling volume of about 1.25 m^3 . If the sampling time is increased to four hours and 5 m^3 are collected, the in-stack method detection limits would be improved by a factor of four compared to the values shown in Table 29–1.

13.3.3.2 The in-stack detection limits assume that all of the sample is digested and the final liquid volumes for analysis are the normal values of 300 ml for Analytical Fraction 1, and 150 ml for Analytical Fraction 2A. If the volume of Analytical Fraction 1 is reduced from 300 to 30 ml, the in-stack detection limits for that fraction of the sample would be improved by a factor of ten. If the volume of Analytical Fraction 2A is reduced from 150 to 25 ml, the in-stack detection limits for that fraction of the sample would be improved by a factor of six. Matrix effect checks are necessary on sample analyses and typically are of much greater significance for samples that have been concentrated to less than the normal original sample volume. Reduction of Analytical Fractions 1 and 2A to volumes of less than 30 and 25 ml, respectively, could interfere with the redissolving of the residue and could increase interference by other compounds to an intolerable level.

13.3.3.3 When both of the modifications described in Sections 13.3.3.1 and 13.3.3.2 are used simultaneously on one sample, the resultant improvements are multiplicative. For example, an increase in stack gas volume by a factor of four and a reduction in the total liquid sample digested volume of both Analytical Fractions 1 and 2A by a factor of six would result in an improvement by a factor of twenty-four of the in-stack method detection limit.

13.4 Precision. The precision (relative standard deviation) for each metal detected in a method development test performed at a sewage sludge incinerator were found to be as follows:

Sb (12.7 percent), As (13.5 percent), Ba (20.6 percent), Cd (11.5 percent), Cr (11.2 percent), Cu (11.5 percent), Pb (11.6 percent), P (14.6 percent), Se (15.3 percent), Tl (12.3 percent), and Zn (11.8 percent). The precision for Ni was 7.7 percent for another test conducted at a source simulator. Be, Mn, and Ag were not detected in the tests. However,

based on the analytical detection limits of the ICAP for these metals, their precisions could be similar to those for the other metals when detected at similar levels.

- 14.0 Pollution Prevention [Reserved]
- Waste Management [Reserved] 15.0
- 16.0 References
- 1. Method 303F in Standard Methods for the Examination of Water Wastewater, 15th

Edition, 1980. Available from the American Public Health Association, 1015 18th Street N.W., Washington, D.C. 20036.

- 2. EPA Methods 6010, 6020, 7000, 7041, 7060, 7131, 7421, 7470, 7740, and 7841, Test Methods for Evaluating Solid Waste: Physical/Chemical Methods. SW-846, Third Edition, November 1986, with updates I, II, IIA, IIB and III. Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response, U. S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, D.C. 20460.
- 3. EPA Method 200.7, Code of Federal Regulations, Title 40, Part 136, Appendix C. July 1, 1987.
- 4. EPA Methods 1 through 5, Code of Federal Regulations, Title 40, Part 60, Appendix A, July 1, 1991.
- 5. EPA Method 101A, Code of Federal Regulations, Title 40, Part 61, Appendix B, July 1, 1991.

17.0 Tables, Diagrams, Flowcharts, and Validation Data

TABLE 29-1.—IN STACK METHOD DETECTION LIMITS (UG/M3) FOR THE FRONT-HALF, THE BACK HALF, AND THE TOTAL SAMPLING TRAIN USING ICAP, GFAAS, AND CVAAS

Metal	Front-half: probe and filter	Back-half: impinters 1–3	Back-half: impringers 4–6 ª	Total train
Antimony	17.7 (0.7)	1 3.8 (0.4)		¹ 11.5 (1.1)
Arsenic	112.7 (0.3)	16.4 (0.1)		¹ 19.1 (0.4)
Barium	0.5	0.3		0.8
Beryllium	10.07 (0.05)	1 0.04 (0.03)		10.11 (0.08)
Cadmium	11.0 (0.02)	10.5 (0.01)		¹ 1.5 (0.03)
Chromium	¹ 1.7 (0.2)	1 0.8 (0.1)		¹ 2.5 (0.3)
Cobalt	¹ 1.7 (0.2)	10.8 (0.1)		¹ 2.5 (0.3)
Copper	1.4	0.7		2.1
Lead	¹ 10.1 (0.2)	¹ 5.0 (0.1)		¹ 15.1 (0.3)
Manganese	¹ 0.5 (0.2)	10.2 (0.1)		10.7 (0.3)
Mercury	² 0.06	² 0.3	² 0.2	² 0.56
Nickel	3.6	1.8		5.4
Phosphorus	18	9		27
Selenium	¹ 18 (0.5)	19 (0.3)		¹ 27 (0.8)
Silver	1.7	0.9 (0.7)		2.6
Thallium	1 9.6 (0.2)	¹ 4.8 (0.1)		¹ 14.4 (0.3)
Zinc	0.5	0.3		0.8

^a Mercury analysis only.

Detection limit when analyzed by ICAP or GFAAS as shown in parentheses (see Section 11.1.2).

TABLE 29-2.—RECOMMENDED WAVELENGTHS FOR ICAP ANALYSIS

Analyte	Wavelength (nm)
Aluminum (Al)	308.215 206.833 193.696 455.403 313.042 226.502
Chromium (Cr)	267.716

LENGTHS FOR ICAP ANALYSIS-Continued

Analyte	Wavelength (nm)	
Cobalt (Co)	228.616 328.754 259.940 220.353 257.610 231.604	

TABLE 29-2.—RECOMMENDED WAVE- TABLE 29-2.—RECOMMENDED WAVE-LENGTHS FOR ICAP ANALYSIS-Continued

Analyte	Wavelength (nm)
Phosphorus (P)	214.914
Selenium (Se)	196.026
Silver (Ag)	328.068
Thallium (T ₁)	190,864
Zinc (Zn)	213,856

TABLE 29-3.—APPLICABLE TECHNIQUES, METHODS AND MINIMIZATION OF INTERFERENCES FOR AAS ANALYSIS

Metal	Technique	SW-846 ¹ Methods No.	Wavelength (nm)	Interferences		
				Cause	Minimization	
Fe Pb Pb		7380 7420 7421	248.3 283.3 283.3	217.0 nm alternate	Great care taken to avoid contamination. Background correction required. Matrix modifier, add 10 µl of phosphorus acid to 1 ml of prepared sample in sampler cup.	
Mn Ni	Aspiration	7460 7520	279.5 232.0		Background correction required. Background correction required. Matrix matching or nitrous-oxide/acetylene flame Sample dilution or use 352.3 nm line	

²Detection limit when anaylzed by CVAAS, estimated for Back-half and Total Train. See Sections 13.2 and 11.1.3. Note: Actual method instack detection limits may vary from these values, as described in Section 13.3.3.

TABLE 29–3.—APPLICABLE TECHNIQUES, METHODS AND MINIMIZATION OF INTERFERENCES FOR AAS ANALYSIS— Continued

Motol	Toohnique	SW-846 ¹ Methods No.	Wavelength (nm)	Interferences		
Metal Te	Technique			Cause	Minimization	
Se	Furnace	7740	196.0	Volatility	Spike samples and reference materials and add nickel nitrate to minimize volatilization.	
				Adsorption & scatter	Background correction is required and Zeeman background correction can be useful.	
Ag	Aspiration	7760	328.1	Adsorption & scatter AgCl insoluble.	Background correction is required. Avoid hydrochloric acid unless silver is in solution as a chloride complex. Sample and standards monitored for aspiration rate.	
TI	Aspiration	7840	276.8		Background correction is required. Hydro- chloric acid should not be used.	
ТІ	Furnace	7841	276.8	Hydrochloric acid or chloride	Background correction is required. Verify that losses are not occurring for vola- tilization by spiked samples or standard addition; Palladium is a suitable matrix modifier. 4	
Zn	Aspiration	7950	213.9	High Si, Cu, & P Contamination	Strontium removes Cu and phosphate. Great care taken to avoid contamination.	
Sb	Aspiration	7040	217.6	1000 mg/ml Pb, Ni, Cu, or acid	Use secondary wavelength of 231.1 nm; match sample & standards acid concentration or use nitrous oxide/acetylene flame.	
Sb	Furnace	7041	217.6	High Pb	Secondary wavelength or Zeeman correction.	
As	Furnace	7060	193.7	Arsenic Volatilization Aluminum	Spike samples and add nickel nitrate solution to digestates prior to analysis. Use Zeeman background correction.	
Ва	Aspiration	7080	553.6		3	
				Calcium. Barium Ionization	High hollow cathode current and narrow band set.	
Be	Aspiration	7090	234.9	500 ppm Al. High Mg and Si	2 ml of KCl per 100 m1 of sample. Add 0.1% fluoride.	
Be		7091	234.9	Be in optical path	Optimize parameters to minimize effects.	
Cd		7130	228.8	Absorption and light scattering	Background correction is required.	
Cd	Furnace	7131	228.8	As above	As above.	
				Excess Chloride	Ammonium phosphate used as a matrix modifier.	
Cr	Aspiration	7190	357.9	Pipet Tips	Use cadmium-free tips. KCl ionization suppressant in samples and standards—Consult mfgs' literature.	
Co	Furnace	7201	240.7	Excess chloride	Use Method of Standard Additions.	
Cr	Furnace	7191	357.9	200 mg/L Ca and P	All calcium nitrate for a know constant effect and to eliminate effect of phosphate.	
Cu	Aspiration	7210	324.7	Absorption and Scatter	Consult manufacturer's manual.	

¹ Refer to EPA publication SW-846 (Reference 2 in Section 16.0).




Figure 29-1. Sampling Train.




Figure 29-2a. Sample Recovery Scheme.




Figure 29-2b. Sample Recovery Scheme.




Figure 29-3. Sample Preparation and Analysis Scheme.

Performance Specification 2— Specifications and Test Procedures for SO_2 and NO_X Continuous Emission Monitoring Systems in Stationary Sources

1.0 Scope and Application

1.1 Analytes

Analyte	CAS Nos.
Sulfur Dioxide (SO ₂)	7449-09-5 10102-44-0 (NO ₂), 10024- 97-2 (NO)

1.2 Applicability.

1.2.1 This specification is for evaluating the acceptability of SO_2 and NO_X continuous emission monitoring systems (CEMS) at the time of installation or soon after and whenever specified in the regulations. The CEMS may include, for certain stationary sources, a diluent (O_2 or CO_2) monitor.

1.2.2 This specification is not designed to evaluate the installed CEMS performance over an extended period of time nor does it identify specific calibration techniques and other auxiliary procedures to assess the CEMS performance. The source owner or operator is responsible to calibrate, maintain, and operate the CEMS properly. The Administrator may require, under Section 114 of the Act, the operator to conduct CEMS performance evaluations at other times besides the initial test to evaluate the CEMS performance. See 40 CFR Part 60, § 60.13(c).

2.0 Summary of Performance Specification

Procedures for measuring CEMS relative accuracy and calibration drift are outlined. CEMS installation and measurement location specifications, equipment specifications, performance specifications, and data reduction procedures are included. Conformance of the CEMS with the Performance Specification is determined.

3.0 Definitions

3.1 Calibration Drift (CD) means the difference in the CEMS output readings from the established reference value after a stated period of operation during which no unscheduled maintenance, repair, or adjustment took place.

3.2 Centroidal Area means a concentric area that is geometrically similar to the stack or duct cross section and is no greater than 1 percent of the stack or duct cross-sectional area.

3.3 Continuous Emission Monitoring System means the total equipment required for the determination of a gas concentration or emission rate. The sample interface, pollutant analyzer, diluent analyzer, and data recorder are the major subsystems of the CEMS.

3.4 Data Recorder means that portion of the CEMS that provides a permanent record of the analyzer output. The data recorder may include automatic data reduction capabilities.

3.5 Diluent Analyzer means that portion of the CEMS that senses the diluent gas (i.e., CO₂ or O₂) and generates an output proportional to the gas concentration.

3.6 Path CEMS means a CEMS that measures the gas concentration along a path greater than 10 percent of the equivalent diameter of the stack or duct cross section.

3.7 Point CEMS means a CEMS that measures the gas concentration either at a single point or along a path equal to or less than 10 percent of the equivalent diameter of the stack or duct cross section.

3.8 Pollutant Analyzer means that portion of the CEMS that senses the pollutant gas and generates an output proportional to the gas concentration.

3.9 Relative Accuracy (RA) means the absolute mean difference between the gas concentration or emission rate determined by the CEMS and the value determined by the reference method (RM), plus the 2.5 percent error confidence coefficient of a series of tests, divided by the mean of the RM tests or the applicable emission limit.

3.10 Sample Interface means that portion of the CEMS used for one or more of the following: sample acquisition, sample delivery, sample conditioning, or protection of the monitor from the effects of the stack effluent.

3.11 Span Value means the concentration specified for the affected source category in an applicable subpart of the regulations that is used to set the calibration gas concentration and in determining calibration drift.

4.0 Interferences. [Reserved]

5.0 Safety

The procedures required under this performance specification may involve hazardous materials, operations, and equipment. This performance specification may not address all of the safety problems associated with these procedures. It is the responsibility of the user to establish appropriate safety and health practices and determine the applicable regulatory limitations prior to performing these procedures. The CEMS user's manual and materials recommended by the reference method should be consulted for specific precautions to be taken.

- 6.0 Equipment and Supplies
- 6.1 CEMS Equipment Specifications. 6.1.1 Data Recorder Scale. The CEMS data recorder output range must include zero and a high-level value. The

include zero and a high-level value. The high-level value is chosen by the source owner or operator and is defined as

follows:

6.1.1.1 For a CEMS intended to measure an uncontrolled emission (e.g., SO_2 measurements at the inlet of a flue gas desulfurization unit), the high-level value should be between 1.25 and 2 times the maximum potential emission level over the appropriate averaging time, unless otherwise specified in an applicable subpart of the regulations.

6.1.1.2 For a CEMS installed to measure controlled emissions or emissions that are in compliance with an applicable regulation, the high-level value between 1.5 times the pollutant concentration corresponding to the emission standard level and the span value given in the applicable regulations is adequate.

6.1.1.3 Alternative high-level values may be used, provided the source can measure emissions which exceed the full-scale limit in accordance with the requirements of applicable regulations.

6.1.1.4 If an analog data recorder is used, the data recorder output must be established so that the high-level value would read between 90 and 100 percent of the data recorder full scale. (This scale requirement may not be applicable to digital data recorders.) The zero and high level calibration gas, optical filter, or cell values should be used to establish the data recorder scale.

6.1.2 The CEMS design should also allow the determination of calibration drift at the zero and high-level values. If this is not possible or practical, the design must allow these determinations to be conducted at a low-level value (zero to 20 percent of the high-level value) and at a value between 50 and 100 percent of the high-level value. In special cases, the Administrator may approve a single-point calibration-drift determination.

6.2 Other equipment and supplies, as needed by the applicable reference method(s) (see Section 8.4.2 of this Performance Specification), may be required.

7.0 Reagents and Standards

- 7.1 Reference Gases, Gas Cells, or Optical Filters. As specified by the CEMS manufacturer for calibration of the CEMS (these need not be certified).
- 7.2 Reagents and Standards. May be required as needed by the applicable reference method(s) (see Section 8.4.2 of this Performance Specification).

- 8.0 Performance Specification Test Procedure
- 8.1 Installation and Measurement Location Specifications.
- 8.1.1 CEMS Installation. Install the CEMS at an accessible location where the pollutant concentration or emission rate measurements are directly representative or can be corrected so as to be representative of the total emissions from the affected facility or at the measurement location cross section. Then select representative measurement points or paths for monitoring in locations that the CEMS will pass the RA test (see Section 8.4). If the cause of failure to meet the RA test is determined to be the measurement location and a satisfactory correction technique cannot be established, the Administrator may require the CEMS to be relocated. Suggested measurement locations and points or paths that are most likely to provide data that will meet the RA requirements are listed below.

8.1.2 CEMS Measurement Location. It is suggested that the measurement location be (1) at least two equivalent diameters downstream from the nearest control device, the point of pollutant generation, or other point at which a change in the pollutant concentration or emission rate may occur and (2) at least a half equivalent diameter upstream from the effluent exhaust or control device.

8.1.2.1 Point CEMS. It is suggested that the measurement point be (1) no less than 1.0 meter (3.3 ft) from the stack or duct wall or (2) within or centrally located over the centroidal area of the stack or duct cross section.

8.1.2.2 Path CEMS. It is suggested that the effective measurement path (1) be totally within the inner area bounded by a line 1.0 meter (3.3 ft) from the stack or duct wall, or (2) have at least 70 percent of the path within the inner 50 percent of the stack or duct cross-sectional area, or (3) be centrally located over any part of the centroidal area.

8.1.3 Reference Method Measurement Location and Traverse Points.

8.1.3.1 Select, as appropriate, an accessible RM measurement point at least two equivalent diameters downstream from the nearest control device, the point of pollutant generation, or other point at which a change in the pollutant concentration or emission rate may occur, and at least a half equivalent diameter upstream from the effluent exhaust or control device. When pollutant concentration changes are due solely to diluent leakage (e.g., air heater leakages) and pollutants and diluents are simultaneously measured at the same location, a half diameter may

be used in lieu of two equivalent diameters. The CEMS and RM locations need not be the same.

8.1.3.2 Select traverse points that assure acquisition of representative samples over the stack or duct cross section. The minimum requirements are as follows: Establish a "measurement line" that passes through the centroidal area and in the direction of any expected stratification. If this line interferes with the CEMS measurements, displace the line up to 30 cm (12 in.) (or 5 percent of the equivalent diameter of the cross section, whichever is less) from the centroidal area. Locate three traverse points at 16.7, 50.0, and 83.3 percent of the measurement line. If the measurement line is longer than 2.4 meters (7.8 ft) and pollutant stratification is not expected, the three traverse points may be located on the line at 0.4, 1.2, and 2.0 meters from the stack or duct wall. This option must not be used after wet scrubbers or at points where two streams with different pollutant concentrations are combined. If stratification is suspected, the following procedure is suggested. For rectangular ducts, locate at least nine sample points in the cross section such that sample points are the centroids of similarly-shaped, equal area divisions of the cross section. Measure the pollutant concentration, and, if applicable, the diluent concentration at each point using appropriate reference methods or other appropriate instrument methods that give responses relative to pollutant concentrations. Then calculate the mean value for all sample points. For circular ducts, conduct a 12-point traverse (i.e., six points on each of the two perpendicular diameters) locating the sample points as described in 40 CFR 60, Appendix A, Method 1. Perform the measurements and calculations as described above. Determine if the mean pollutant concentration is more than 10% different from any single point. If so, the cross section is considered to be stratified, and the tester may not use the alternative traverse point locations (...0.4, 1.2, and 2.0 meters from the stack or duct wall.) but must use the three traverse points at 16.7, 50.0, and 83.3 percent of the entire measurement line. Other traverse points may be selected, provided that they can be shown to the satisfaction of the Administrator to provide a representative sample over the stack or duct cross section. Conduct all necessary RM tests within 3 cm (1.2 in.) of the traverse points, but no closer than 3 cm (1.2 in.) to the stack or duct wall.

8.2 Pretest Preparation. Install the CEMS, prepare the RM test site according to the specifications in

- Section 8.1, and prepare the CEMS for operation according to the manufacturer's written instructions.
 - 8.3 Calibration Drift Test Procedure.
- 8.3.1 CD Test Period. While the affected facility is operating at more than 50 percent of normal load, or as specified in an applicable subpart, determine the magnitude of the CD once each day (at 24-hour intervals) for 7 consecutive days according to the procedure given in Sections 8.3.2 through 8.3.4.
- 8.3.2 The purpose of the CD measurement is to verify the ability of the CEMS to conform to the established CEMS calibration used for determining the emission concentration or emission rate. Therefore, if periodic automatic or manual adjustments are made to the CEMS zero and calibration settings, conduct the CD test immediately before these adjustments, or conduct it in such a way that the CD can be determined.
- 8.3.3 Conduct the CD test at the two points specified in Section 6.1.2. Introduce to the CEMS the reference gases, gas cells, or optical filters (these need not be certified). Record the CEMS response and subtract this value from the reference value (see example data sheet in Figure 2–1).
- 8.4 Relative Accuracy Test Procedure.
- 8.4.1 RA Test Period. Conduct the RA test according to the procedure given in Sections 8.4.2 through 8.4.6 while the affected facility is operating at more than 50 percent of normal load, or as specified in an applicable subpart. The RA test may be conducted during the CD test period.
- 8.4.2 Reference Methods. Unless otherwise specified in an applicable subpart of the regulations, Methods 3B, 4, 6, and 7, or their approved alternatives, are the reference methods for diluent (O_2 and CO_2), moisture, SO_2 , and NO_x , respectively.
- 8.4.3 Sampling Strategy for RM Tests. Conduct the RM tests in such a way that they will yield results representative of the emissions from the source and can be correlated to the CEMS data. It is preferable to conduct the diluent (if applicable), moisture (if needed), and pollutant measurements simultaneously. However, diluent and moisture measurements that are taken within an hour of the pollutant measurements may be used to calculate dry pollutant concentration and emission rates. In order to correlate the CEMS and RM data properly, note the beginning and end of each RM test period of each run (including the exact time of day) on the CEMS chart recordings or other permanent record of

output. Use the following strategies for the RM tests:

8.4.3.1 For integrated samples (e.g., Methods 6 and Method 4), make a sample traverse of at least 21 minutes, sampling for an equal time at each traverse point (see Section 8.1.3.2 for discussion of traverse points.

8.4.3.2 For grab samples (e.g., Method 7), take one sample at each traverse point, scheduling the grab samples so that they are taken simultaneously (within a 3-minute period) or at an equal interval of time apart over the span of time the CEM pollutant is measured. A test run for grab samples must be made up of at least three separate measurements.

Note: At times, CEMS RA tests are conducted during new source performance standards performance tests. In these cases, RM results obtained during CEMS RA tests may be used to determine compliance as long as the source and test conditions are consistent with the applicable regulations.

8.4.4 Number of RM Tests. Conduct a minimum of nine sets of all necessary RM test runs.

Note: More than nine sets of RM tests may be performed. If this option is chosen, a maximum of three sets of the test results may be rejected so long as the total number of test results used to determine the RA is greater than or equal to nine. However, all data must be reported, including the rejected data.

8.4.5 Correlation of RM and CEMS Data. Correlate the CEMS and the RM test data as to the time and duration by first determining from the CEMS final output (the one used for reporting) the integrated average pollutant concentration or emission rate for each pollutant RM test period. Consider system response time, if important, and confirm that the pair of results are on a consistent moisture, temperature, and diluent concentration basis. Then, compare each integrated CEMS value against the corresponding average RM value. Use the following guidelines to make these comparisons.

8.4.5.1 If the RM has an integrated sampling technique, make a direct comparison of the RM results and CEMS integrated average value.

8.4.5.2 If the RM has a grab sampling technique, first average the results from all grab samples taken during the test run, and then compare this average value against the integrated value obtained from the CEMS chart recording or output during the run. If the pollutant concentration is varying with time over the run, the arithmetic average of the CEMS value recorded at the time of each grab sample may be used.

8.4.6 Calculate the mean difference between the RM and CEMS values in the units of the emission standard, the standard deviation, the confidence coefficient, and the relative accuracy according to the procedures in Section 12.0

8.5 Reporting. At a minimum (check with the appropriate regional office, State, or Local agency for additional requirements, if any), summarize in tabular form the results of the CD tests

and the RA tests or alternative RA procedure, as appropriate. Include all data sheets, calculations, charts (records of CEMS responses), cylinder gas concentration certifications, and calibration cell response certifications (if applicable) necessary to confirm that the performance of the CEMS met the performance specifications.

9.0 Quality Control [Reserved]10.0 Calibration and Standardization [Reserved]

11.0 Analytical Procedure

Sample collection and analysis are concurrent for this Performance Specification (see Section 8.0). Refer to the RM for specific analytical procedures.

12.0 Calculations and Data Analysis

Summarize the results on a data sheet similar to that shown in Figure 2–2 (in Section 18.0).

12.1 All data from the RM and CEMS must be on a consistent dry basis and, as applicable, on a consistent diluent basis and in the units of the emission standard. Correct the RM and CEMS data for moisture and diluent as follows:

12.1.1 Moisture Correction (as applicable). Correct each wet RM run for moisture with the corresponding Method 4 data; correct each wet CEMS run using the corresponding CEMS moisture monitor date using Equation 2–1

$$Concentration_{(dry)} = \frac{Concentration_{wet}}{(1 - B_{ws})}$$
 Eq. 2-

12.1.2 Correction to Units of Standard (as applicable). Correct each dry RM run to the units of the emission standard with the corresponding Method 3B data; correct each dry CEMS run using the corresponding CEMS diluent monitor data as follows:

12.1.2.1 Correct to Diluent Basis. The following is an example of concentration (ppm) correction to 7% oxygen.

$$ppm_{(corr)} = ppm_{(uncorr)} \left[\frac{20.9 - 7.0}{20.9 - \%O_{2(dry)}} \right]$$
 Eq. 2-2

The following is an example of mass/gross calorific value (lbs/million Btu) correction. lbs/MMBtu = $Conc_{(dry)}$ (F-factor) (20.9/20.9-%0₂)

12.2 Arithmetic Mean. Calculate the arithmetic mean of the difference, d, of a data set as follows:

$$\overline{d} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} d_i$$
 Eq. 2-3 Where:
 $n = \text{Number of data points.}$

 $\sum_{i=1}^{n} d_{i} = Algebraic summation of the individual differences d_{i}.$

12.3 Standard Deviation. Calculate the standard deviation, S_d , as follows:

$$S_{d} = \begin{bmatrix} \sum_{i=1}^{n} d_{i}^{2} - \left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} d_{i} \right]^{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} \end{bmatrix}^{\frac{1}{2}}$$
Eq. 2-4

12.4 Confidence Coefficient. Calculate the 2.5 percent error confidence coefficient (one-tailed), CC, as follows:

$$CC = t_{0.975} \frac{S_d}{\sqrt{n}}$$
 Eq. 2-5

Where

 $t_{0.975} = t$ -value (see Table 2–1).

12.5 Relative Accuracy. Calculate the RA of a set of data as follows:

$$RA = \frac{\left[\left|\overline{d}\right| + \left|CC\right|\right]}{\overline{RM}} \times 100 \qquad Eq. \ 2-6$$

Where:

|d| = Absolute value of the mean differences (from Equation 2–3).

|CC| = Absolute value of the confidence coefficient (from Equation 2–3).

RM = Average RM value. In cases where the average emissions for the test are less than 50 percent of the applicable standard, substitute the emission standard value in the denominator of Eq. 2–6 in place of RM. In all other cases, use RM.

13.0 Method Performance

13.1 Calibration Drift Performance Specification. The CEMS calibration must not drift or deviate from the reference value of the gas cylinder, gas cell, or optical filter by more than 2.5 percent of the span value. If the CEMS includes pollutant and diluent monitors, the CD must be determined separately for each in terms of concentrations (See Performance Specification 3 for the diluent specifications), and none of the CDs may exceed the specification.

13.2 Relative Accuracy Performance Specification. The RA of the CEMS must be no greater than 20 percent when RM is used in the denominator of Eq. 2–6 (average emissions during test are greater than 50 percent of the emission standard) or 10 percent when the applicable emission standard is used in the denominator of Eq. 2–6 (average emissions during test are less than 50 percent of the emission standard).

13.3 For instruments that use common components to measure more than one effluent gas constituent, all channels must simultaneously pass the RA requirement, unless it can be demonstrated that any adjustments made to one channel did not affect the others.

14.0 Pollution Prevention [Reserved]

15.0 Waste Management [Reserved]

16.0 Alternative Procedures

Paragraphs 60.13(j)(1) and (2) of 40 CFR part 60 contain criteria for which the reference method procedure for determining relative accuracy (see Section 8.4 of this Performance Specification) may be waived and the following procedure substituted.

16.1 Conduct a complete CEMS status check following the manufacturer's written instructions. The check should include operation of the light source, signal receiver, timing mechanism functions, data acquisition and data reduction functions, data recorders, mechanically operated functions (mirror movements, zero pipe operation, calibration gas valve operations, etc.), sample filters, sample line heaters, moisture traps, and other related functions of the CEMS, as applicable. All parts of the CEMS shall be functioning properly before proceeding to the alternative RA procedure.

16.2 Alternative RA Procedure.
16.2.1 Challenge each monitor (both pollutant and diluent, if applicable) with cylinder gases of known concentrations or calibration cells that produce known responses at two measurement points within the ranges shown in Table 2–2 (Section 18).

16.2.2 Use a separate cylinder gas (for point CEMS only) or calibration cell (for path CEMS or where compressed gas cylinders can not be used) for measurement points 1 and 2. Challenge the CEMS and record the responses three times at each measurement point. The Administrator may allow dilution of cylinder gas using the performance criteria in Test Method 205, 40 CFR Part 51, Appendix M. Use the average of the three responses in determining relative accuracy.

16.2.3 Operate each monitor in its normal sampling mode as nearly as possible. When using cylinder gases, pass the cylinder gas through all filters, scrubbers, conditioners, and other monitor components used during normal sampling and as much of the sampling probe as practical. When using calibration cells, the CEMS components used in the normal sampling mode should not be by-passed during the RA determination. These include light sources, lenses, detectors, and reference cells. The CEMS should be challenged at each measurement point for a sufficient period of time to assure adsorption-desorption reactions on the CEMS surfaces have stabilized.

16.2.4 Use cylinder gases that have been certified by comparison to National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) gaseous standard reference material (SRM) or NIST/EPA approved gas manufacturer's certified reference material (CRM) (See Reference 2 in Section 17.0) following EPA Traceability Protocol Number 1 (See Reference 3 in Section 17.0). As an alternative to Protocol Number 1 gases, CRM's may be used directly as alternative RA cylinder gases. A list of gas manufacturers that have prepared approved CRM's is available from EPA at the address shown in Reference 2. Procedures for preparation of CRM's are described in Reference 2.

16.2.5 Use calibration cells certified by the manufacturer to produce a known response in the CEMS. The cell certification procedure shall include determination of CEMS response produced by the calibration cell in direct comparison with measurement of gases of known concentration. This can be accomplished using SRM or CRM gases in a laboratory source simulator or through extended tests using reference methods at the CEMS location in the exhaust stack. These procedures are discussed in Reference 4 in Section 17.0. The calibration cell certification procedure is subject to approval of the Administrator.

16.3 The differences between the known concentrations of the cylinder gases and the concentrations indicated by the CEMS are used to assess the accuracy of the CEMS. The calculations and limits of acceptable relative accuracy are as follows:

16.3.1 For pollutant CEMS:

$$RA = \left| \left(\frac{\overline{d}}{AC} \right) 100 \right| \le 15 \text{ percent}$$
 Eq. 2-7

Where:

- d = Average difference between responses and the concentration/ responses (see Section 16.2.2).
- AC = The known concentration/ response of the cylinder gas or calibration cell.
- 16.3.2 For diluent CEMS:
- RA = $|\overline{d}| \le O.7$ percent O_2 or CO_2 , as applicable.

Note: Waiver of the relative accuracy test in favor of the alternative RA procedure does not preclude the requirements to complete the CD tests nor any other requirements specified in an applicable subpart for

reporting CEMS data and performing CEMS drift checks or audits.

17.0 References

- 1. Department of Commerce. Experimental Statistics. Handbook 91. Washington, D.C. p. 3–31, paragraphs 3–3.1.4.
- 2. "A Procedure for Establishing Traceability of Gas Mixtures to Certain National Bureau of Standards Standard Reference Materials." Joint publication by NBS and EPA. EPA 600/7–81–010. Available from U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Quality Assurance Division (MD–77), Research Triangle Park, North Carolina
- 3. "Traceability Protocol for Establishing True Concentrations of Gases Used for

Calibration and Audits of Continuous Source Emission Monitors. (Protocol Number 1)." June 1978. Protocol Number 1 is included in the Quality Assurance Handbook for Air Pollution Measurement Systems, Volume III, Stationary Source Specific Methods. EPA–600/4–77–027b. August 1977.

4. "Gaseous Continuous Emission Monitoring Systems—Performance Specification Guidelines for SO₂, NO_X, CO₂, O₂, and TRS." EPA–450/3–82–026. Available from the U.S. EPA, Emission Measurement Center, Emission Monitoring and Data Analysis Division (MD–19), Research Triangle Park, North Carolina 27711.

18.0 Tables, Diagrams, Flowcharts, and Validation Data

TABLE 2-1.—T-VALUES

N ^a	t _{0.975}	n ^a	t _{0.975}	n ^a	t _{0.975}
2	12.706	7	2.447	12	2.201
	4.303	8	2.365	13	2.179
	3.182	9	2.306	14	2.160
5	2.776	10	2.262	15	2.145
	2.571	11	2.228	16	2.131

^aThe values in this table are already corrected for n-1 degrees of freedom. Use n equal to the number of individual values.

TABLE 2–2.—MEASUREMENT RANGE

Measurement point	Pollutant monitor	Diluent monitor for		
weasurement point	Foliatant monitor	CO ₂	O_2	
1	20–30% of span value			

	Day	Date and time	Calibration value (C)	Monitor value (M)	Difference (C–M)	Percent of span value × 100
Low-level						
High-level						
S						

Figure 2–1. Calibration Drift Determination

FIGURE 2-2. RELATIVE ACCURACY DETERMINATION.

	_														
SN said	040C		SO_2			NOx _p		8	CO ₂ or O ₂ a		$SO_{2^{\mathrm{a}}}$			$NO_{X^{a}}$	
יסיי	Date and illie	RM	CEMS	Diff	RM	CEMS	Diff	RM	CEMS	RM	CEMS	Diff	RM	CEMS	Diff
			ppm°			ρρm		%c	ъ%		mass/GCV			mass/GCV	
+															
2.															
ઌ૽															
4.															
5.															
6.															
7.															
œ															
.6															
10.															
11.															
12.															
Average Confidence Interval Accuracy															

 $^{\rm a}$ For steam generators. $^{\rm b}$ Average of three samples. $^{\rm c}$ Make sure that RM and CEMS data are on a consistent basis, either wet or dry.

Performance Specification 3— Specifications and Test Procedures for O₂ and CO₂ Continuous Emission Monitoring Systems in Stationary Sources

1.0 Scope and Application

1.1 Analytes.

Analytes	CAS No.
Carbon Dioxide (CO ₂)	124–38–9
Oxygen (O ₂)	7782–44–7

1.2 Applicability.

- 1.2.1 This specification is for evaluating acceptability of O_2 and CO_2 continuous emission monitoring systems (CEMS) at the time of installation or soon after and whenever specified in an applicable subpart of the regulations. This specification applies to O_2 or CO_2 monitors that are not included under Performance Specification 2 (PS 2).
- 1.2.2 This specification is not designed to evaluate the installed CEMS performance over an extended period of time, nor does it identify specific calibration techniques and other auxiliary procedures to assess the CEMS performance. The source owner or operator, is responsible to calibrate, maintain, and operate the CEMS properly. The Administrator may require, under Section 114 of the Act, the operator to conduct CEMS performance evaluations at other times besides the initial test to evaluate the CEMS performance. See 40 CFR part 60, Section 60.13(c).
- 1.2.3 The definitions, installation and measurement location specifications, calculations and data analysis, and references are the same as in PS 2, Sections 3, 8.1, 12, and 17, respectively, and also apply to O₂ and CO₂ CEMS under this specification. The performance and equipment specifications and the relative accuracy (RA) test procedures for O₂ and CO₂ CEMS do not differ from those for SO₂ and NO_x CEMS (see PS 2), except as noted below.

2.0 Summary of Performance Specification

The RA and calibration drift (CD) tests are conducted to determine conformance of the CEMS to the specification.

3.0 Definitions

Same as in Section 3.0 of PS 2.

- 4.0 Interferences [Reserved]
- 5.0 Safety

This performance specification may involve hazardous materials, operations, and equipment. This performance specification may not address all of the safety problems associated with its use. It is the responsibility of the user to establish appropriate safety and health practices and determine the applicable regulatory limitations prior to performing this performance specification. The CEMS users manual should be consulted for specific precautions to be taken with regard to the analytical procedures.

- 6.0 Equipment and Supplies Same as Section 6.0 of PS2.
- 7.0 Reagents and Standards
 Same as Section 7.0 of PS2.
- 8.0 Sample Collection, Preservation, Storage, and Transport
- 8.1 Relative Accuracy Test Procedure. Sampling Strategy for reference method (RM) Tests, Correlation of RM and CEMS Data, and Number of RM Tests. Same as PS 2, Sections 8.4.3, 8.4.5, and 8.4.4, respectively.
- 8.2 Reference Method. Unless otherwise specified in an applicable subpart of the regulations, Method 3B or other approved alternative is the RM for O_2 or CO_2 .
- 9.0 Quality Control [Reserved]
- 10.0 Calibration and Standardization [Reserved]

11.0 Analytical Procedure

Sample collection and analyses are concurrent for this performance specification (see Section 8). Refer to the RM for specific analytical procedures.

12.0 Calculations and Data Analysis

Summarize the results on a data sheet similar to that shown in Figure 2.2 of PS2. Calculate the arithmetic difference between the RM and the CEMS output for each run. The average difference of the nine (or more) data sets constitute the RA.

13.0 Method Performance

- 13.1 Calibration Drift Performance Specification. The CEMS calibration must not drift by more than 0.5 percent O_2 or CO_2 from the reference value of the gas, gas cell, or optical filter.
- 13.2 CEMS Relative Accuracy Performance Specification. The RA of the CEMS must be no greater than 1.0 percent O₂ or CO₂.

- 14.0 Pollution Prevention [Reserved]
- 15.0 Waste Management [Reserved]
- 16.0 References

Same as in Section 17.0 of PS 2.

17.0 Tables, Diagrams, Flowcharts, and Validation Data [Reserved]

Performance Specification 4— Specifications and Test Procedures for Carbon Monoxide Continuous Emission Monitoring Systems in Stationary Sources

1.0 Scope and Application

1.1 Analytes.

Analyte	CAS No.
Carbon Monoxide (CO)	630-08-0

1.2 Applicability.

- 1.2.1 This specification is for evaluating the acceptability of carbon monoxide (CO) continuous emission monitoring systems (CEMS) at the time of installation or soon after and whenever specified in an applicable subpart of the regulations. This specification was developed primarily for CEMS having span values of 1,000 ppmv CO.
- 1.2.2 This specification is not designed to evaluate the installed CEMS performance over an extended period of time nor does it identify specific calibration techniques and other auxiliary procedures to assess CEMS performance. The source owner or operator, is responsible to calibrate, maintain, and operate the CEMS. The Administrator may require, under Section 114 of the Act, the source owner or operator to conduct CEMS performance evaluations at other times besides the initial test to evaluate the CEMS performance. See 40 CFR part 60, Section 60.13(c).
- 1.2.3 The definitions, performance specification test procedures, calculations, and data analysis procedures for determining calibration drift (CD) and relative accuracy (RA) of Performance Specification 2 (PS 2), Sections 3, 8.0, and 12, respectively, apply to this specification.

2.0 Summary of Performance Specification

The CD and RA tests are conducted to determine conformance of the CEMS to the specification.

3.0 Definitions

Same as in Section 3.0 of PS 2.

4.0 Interferences [Reserved]

5.0 Safety

This performance specification may involve hazardous materials, operations, and equipment. This performance specification may not address all of the safety problems associated with its use. It is the responsibility of the user to establish appropriate safety and health practices and determine the applicable regulatory limitations prior to performing this performance specification. The CEMS users manual should be consulted for specific precautions to be taken with regard to the analytical procedures.

- 6.0 Equipment and Supplies Same as Section 6.0 of PS 2.
- 7.0 Reagents and Standards Same as Section 7.0 of PS 2.
- 8.0 Sample Collection, Preservation, Storage, and Transport
- 8.1 Relative Accuracy Test Procedure. Sampling Strategy for reference method (RM) Tests, Number of RM Tests, and Correlation of RM and CEMS Data are the same as PS 2, Sections 8.4.3, 8.4.4, and 8.4.5, respectively.
- 8.2 Reference Methods. Unless otherwise specified in an applicable subpart of the regulation, Method 10, 10A, 10B or other approved alternative are the RM for this PS. When evaluating nondispersive infrared CEMS using Method 10 as the RM, the alternative interference trap specified in Section 16.0 of Method 10 shall be used.
- 9.0 Quality Control [Reserved] 10.0 Calibration and Standardization [Reserved]

11.0 Analytical Procedure

Sample collection and analysis are concurrent for this performance specification (see Section 8.0). Refer to the RM for specific analytical procedures.

12.0 Calculations and Data Analysis Same as Section 12.0 of PS 2.

13.0 Method Performance

13.1 Calibration Drift. The CEMS calibration must not drift or deviate from the reference value of the calibration gas, gas cell, or optical filter by more than 5 percent of the established span value for 6 out of 7 test days (e.g., the established span value is 1000 ppm for Subpart J affected facilities).

- 13.2 Relative Accuracy. The RA of the CEMS must be no greater than 10 percent when the average RM value is used to calculate RA or 5 percent when the applicable emission standard is used to calculate RA.
- 14.0 Pollution Prevention [Reserved]
- 15.0 Waste Management [Reserved]
- 16.0Alternative Procedures [Reserved]
- 17.0 References
- 1. Ferguson, B.B., R.E. Lester, and W.J. Mitchell. Field Evaluation of Carbon Monoxide and Hydrogen Sulfide Continuous Emission Monitors at an Oil Refinery. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. Research Triangle Park, N.C. Publication No. EPA-600/4-82-054. August 1982. 100 p.
- 2. "Gaseous Continuous Emission Monitoring Systems—Performance Specification Guidelines for SO₂, NO_x, CO₂, O₂, and TRS." EPA-450/3-82-026. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Technical Support Division (MD-19), Research Triangle Park, NC 27711.
- 3. Repp, M. Evaluation of Continuous Monitors for Carbon Monoxide in Stationary Sources. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. Research Triangle Park, N.C. Publication No. EPA-600/2-77-063. March 1977. 155 p.
- 4. Smith, F., D.E. Wagoner, and R.P. Donovan. Guidelines for Development of a Quality Assurance Program: Volume VIII— Determination of CO Emissions from Stationary Sources by NDIR Spectrometry. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. Research Triangle Park, N.C. Publication No. EPA-650/4-74-005-h. February 1975. 96 p.
- 18.0 Tables, Diagrams, Flowcharts, and Validation Data

Same as Section 18.0 of PS 2.

Performance Specification 4A— Specifications and Test Procedures for Carbon Monoxide Continuous Emission **Monitoring Systems in Stationary** Sources

1.0 Scope and Application

1.1 Analytes.

Analyte	CAS No.
Carbon Monoxide (CO)	630–80–0

- 1.2 Applicability.1.2.1 This specification is for evaluating the acceptability of carbon monoxide (CO) continuous emission monitoring systems (CEMS) at the time of installation or soon after and whenever specified in an applicable subpart of the regulations. This specification was developed primarily for CEMS that comply with low emission standards (less than 200 ppmv).
- This specification is not designed to evaluate the installed CEMS

performance over an extended period of time nor does it identify specific calibration techniques and other auxiliary procedures to assess CEMS performance. The source owner or operator is responsible to calibrate, maintain, and operate the CEMS. The Administrator may require, under Section 114 of the Act, the source owner or operator to conduct CEMS performance evaluations at other times besides the initial test to evaluate CEMS performance. See 40 CFR Part 60, Section 60.13(c).

1.2.3 The definitions, performance specification, test procedures, calculations and data analysis procedures for determining calibration drifts (CD) and relative accuracy (RA), of Performance Specification 2 (PS 2), Sections 3, 8.0, and 12, respectively, apply to this specification.

2.0 Summary of Performance Specification

The CD and RA tests are conducted to determine conformance of the CEMS to the specification.

3.0 Definitions

Same as in Section 3.0 of PS 2.

4.0 Interferences. [Reserved]

5.0 Safety

This performance specification may involve hazardous materials, operations, and equipment. This performance specification may not address all of the safety problems associated with its use. It is the responsibility of the user to establish appropriate safety and health practices and determine the applicable regulatory limitations prior to performing this performance specification. The CEMS users manual should be consulted for specific precautions to be taken with regard to the analytical procedures.

6.0 Equipment and Supplies

Same as Section 6.0 of PS 2 with the following additions.

6.1 Data Recorder Scale.

6.1.1 This specification is the same as Section 6.1 of PS 2. The CEMS shall be capable of measuring emission levels under normal conditions and under periods of short-duration peaks of high concentrations. This dual-range capability may be met using two separate analyzers (one for each range) or by using dual-range units which have the capability of measuring both levels with a single unit. In the latter case, when the reading goes above the fullscale measurement value of the lower range, the higher-range operation shall be started automatically. The CEMS recorder range must include zero and a

high-level value. Under applications of consistent low emissions, a single-range analyzer is allowed provided normal and spike emissions can be quantified. In this case, set an appropriate highlevel value to include all emissions.

6.1.2 For the low-range scale of dual-range units, the high-level value shall be between 1.5 times the pollutant concentration corresponding to the emission standard level and the span value. For the high-range scale, the high-level value shall be set at 2000 ppm, as a minimum, and the range shall include the level of the span value. There shall be no concentration gap between the low-and high-range scales.

7.0 Reagents and Standards

Same as Section 7.0 of PS 2.

- 8.0 Sample Collection, Preservation, Storage, and Transport
- 8.1 Relative Accuracy Test Procedure. Sampling Strategy for reference method (RM) Tests, Number of RM Tests, and Correlation of RM and CEMS Data are the same as PS 2, Sections 8.4.3, 8.4.4, and 8.4.5, respectively.
- 8.2 Reference Methods. Unless otherwise specified in an applicable subpart of the regulation, Methods 10, 10A, 10B, or other approved alternative is the RM for this PS. When evaluating nondispersive infrared CEMS using Method 10 as the RM, the alternative interference trap specified in Section 16.0 of Method 10 shall be used.
- 8.3 Response Time Test Procedure. The response time test applies to all types of CEMS, but will generally have significance only for extractive systems.
- 8.3.1 Introduce zero gas into the analyzer. When the system output has stabilized (no change greater than 1 percent of full scale for 30 sec). introduce an upscale calibration gas and wait for a stable value. Record the time (upscale response time) required to reach 95 percent of the final stable value. Next, reintroduce the zero gas and wait for a stable reading before recording the response time (downscale response time). Repeat the entire procedure three times and determine the mean upscale and downscale response times. The slower or longer of the two means is the system response time.
- 8.4 Interference Check. The CEMS must be shown to be free from the effects of any interferences.

- 9.0 Quality Control. [Reserved]
- 10.0 Calibration and Standardization. [Reserved]

11.0 Analytical Procedure

Sample collection and analysis are concurrent for this performance specification (see Section 8.0). Refer to the RM for specific analytical procedures.

12.0 Calculations and Data Analysis. Same as Section 12.0 of PS 2

13.0 Method Performance

- 13.1 Calibration Drift. The CEMS calibration must not drift or deviate from the reference value of the calibration gas, gas cell, or optical filter by more than 5 percent of the established span value for 6 out of 7 test days.
- 13.2 Relative Accuracy. The RA of the CEMS must be no greater than 10 percent when the average RM value is used to calculate RA, 5 percent when the applicable emission standard is used to calculate RA, or within 5 ppmv when the RA is calculated as the absolute average difference between the RM and CEMS plus the 2.5 percent confidence coefficient.
- 13.3 Response Time. The CEMS response time shall not exceed 1.5 min to achieve 95 percent of the final stable value.
- 14.0 Pollution Prevention [Reserved]
- 15.0 Waste Management [Reserved]
- 16.0 Alternative Procedures
- 16.1 Under conditions where the average CO emissions are less than 10 percent of the standard and this is verified by Method 10, a cylinder gas audit may be performed in place of the RA test to determine compliance with these limits. In this case, the cylinder gas shall contain CO in 12 percent carbon dioxide as an interference check. If this option is exercised, Method 10 must be used to verify that emission levels are less than 10 percent of the standard.

17.0 References

Same as Section 17 of PS 4.

18.0 Tables, Diagrams, Flowcharts, and Validation Data

Same as Section 18.0 of PS 2.

Performance Specification 5— Specifications and Test Procedures for TRS Continuous Emission Monitoring Systems in Stationary Sources

- 1.0 Scope and Application
 - 1.1 Analytes.

Analyte	CAS No.
Total Reduced Sulfur (TRS)	NA

- 1.2 Applicability. This specification is for evaluating the applicability of TRS continuous emission monitoring systems (CEMS) at the time of installation or soon after and whenever specified in an applicable subpart of the regulations. The CEMS may include oxygen monitors which are subject to Performance Specification 3 (PS 3).
- 1.3 The definitions, performance specification, test procedures, calculations and data analysis procedures for determining calibration drifts (CD) and relative accuracy (RA) of PS 2, Sections 3.0, 8.0, and 12.0, respectively, apply to this specification.

2.0 Summary of Performance Specification

The CD and RA tests are conducted to determine conformance of the CEMS to the specification.

3.0 Definitions

Same as in Section 3.0 of PS 2.

- 4.0 Interferences [Reserved]
- 5.0 Safety

This performance specification may involve hazardous materials, operations, and equipment. This performance specification may not address all of the safety problems associated with its use. It is the responsibility of the user to establish appropriate safety and health practices and determine the applicable regulatory limitations prior to performing this performance specification. The CEMS users manual should be consulted for specific precautions to be taken with regard to the analytical procedures.

- 6.0 Equipment and Supplies Same as Section 6.0 of PS 2.
- 7.0 Reagents and Standards
 Same as Section 7.0 of PS 2.
- 8.0 Sample Collection, Preservation, Storage, and Transport
- 8.1 Relative Accuracy Test Procedure. Sampling Strategy for reference method (RM) Tests, Number of RM Tests, and Correlation of RM and CEMS Data are the same as PS 2, Sections 8.4.3, 8.4.4, and 8.4.5, respectively.

Note: For Method 16, a sample is made up of at least three separate injects equally space over time. For Method 16A, a sample is collected for at least 1 hour.

8.2 Reference Methods. Unless otherwise specified in the applicable subpart of the regulations, Method 16, Method 16A, 16B or other approved alternative is the RM for TRS.

- 9.0 Quality Control [Reserved]
- 10.0 Calibration and Standardization [Reserved]

11.0 Analytical Procedure

Sample collection and analysis are concurrent for this performance specification (see Section 8.0). Refer to the reference method for specific analytical procedures.

12.0 Calculations and Data Analysis
Same as Section 12.0 of PS 2.

13.0 Method Performance

- 13.1 Calibration Drift. The CEMS detector calibration must not drift or deviate from the reference value of the calibration gas by more than 5 percent of the established span value for 6 out of 7 test days. This corresponds to 1.5 ppm drift for Subpart BB sources where the span value is 30 ppm. If the CEMS includes pollutant and diluent monitors, the CD must be determined separately for each in terms of concentrations (see PS 3 for the diluent specifications).
- 13.2 Relative Accuracy. The RA of the CEMS must be no greater than 20 percent when the average RM value is used to calculate RA or 10 percent when the applicable emission standard is used to calculate RA.
- 14.0 Pollution Prevention [Reserved]
- 15.0 Waste Management [Reserved]
- 16.0 Alternative Procedures [Reserved]
- 17.0 References
- 1. Department of Commerce. Experimental Statistics, National Bureau of Standards, Handbook 91. 1963. Paragraphs 3–3.1.4, p. 3– 31
- 2. A Guide to the Design, Maintenance and Operation of TRS Monitoring Systems. National Council for Air and Stream Improvement Technical Bulletin No. 89. September 1977.
- 3. Observation of Field Performance of TRS Monitors on a Kraft Recovery Furnace. National Council for Air and Stream Improvement Technical Bulletin No. 91. January 1978.
- 18.0 Tables, Diagrams, Flowcharts, and Validation Data

Same as Section 18.0 of PS 2.

Performance Specification 6— Specifications and Test Procedures for Continuous Emission Rate Monitoring Systems in Stationary Sources

- 1.0 Scope and Application
- 1.1 Applicability. This specification is used for evaluating the acceptability

- of continuous emission rate monitoring systems (CERMSs).
- 1.2 The installation and measurement location specifications, performance specification test procedure, calculations, and data analysis procedures, of Performance Specifications (PS 2), Sections 8.0 and 12, respectively, apply to this specification.

2.0 Summary of Performance Specification

The calibration drift (CD) and relative accuracy (RA) tests are conducted to determine conformance of the CERMS to the specification.

3.0 Definitions

The definitions are the same as in Section 3 of PS 2, except this specification refers to the continuous emission rate monitoring system rather than the continuous emission monitoring system. The following definitions are added:

- 3.1 Continuous Emission Rate Monitoring System (CERMS). The total equipment required for the determining and recording the pollutant mass emission rate (in terms of mass per unit of time).
- 3.2 Flow Rate Sensor. That portion of the CERMS that senses the volumetric flow rate and generates an output proportional to that flow rate. The flow rate sensor shall have provisions to check the CD for each flow rate parameter that it measures individually (e.g., velocity, pressure).
- 4.0 Interferences [Reserved]

5.0 Safety

This performance specification may involve hazardous materials, operations, and equipment. This performance specification may not address all of the safety problems associated with its use. It is the responsibility of the user to establish appropriate safety and health practices and determine the applicable regulatory limitations prior to performing this performance specification. The CERMS users manual should be consulted for specific precautions to be taken with regard to the analytical procedures.

- 6.0 Equipment and Supplies Same as Section 6.0 of PS 2.
- 7.0 Reagents and Standards
 Same as Section 7.0 of PS 2.
- 8.0 Sample Collection, Preservation, Storage, and Transport
- 8.1 Calibration Drift Test Procedure. 8.1.1 The CD measurements are to verify the ability of the CERMS to

- conform to the established CERMS calibrations used for determining the emission rate. Therefore, if periodic automatic or manual adjustments are made to the CERMS zero and calibration settings, conduct the CD tests immediately before these adjustments, or conduct them in such a way that CD can be determined.
- 8.1.2 Conduct the CD tests for pollutant concentration at the two values specified in Section 6.1.2 of PS 2. For other parameters that are selectively measured by the CERMS (e.g., velocity, pressure, flow rate), use two analogous values (e.g., Low: 0–20% of full scale, High: 50–100% of full scale). Introduce to the CERMS the reference signals (these need not be certified). Record the CERMS response to each and subtract this value from the respective reference value (see example data sheet in Figure 6–1).
- 8.2 Relative Accuracy Test Procedure.
- 8.2.1 Sampling Strategy for reference method (RM) Tests, Correlation of RM and CERMS Data, and Number of RM Tests are the same as PS 2, Sections 8.4.3, 8.4.5, and 8.4.4, respectively. Summarize the results on a data sheet. An example is shown in Figure 6–1. The RA test may be conducted during the CD test period.
- 8.2.2 Reference Methods. Unless otherwise specified in the applicable subpart of the regulations, the RM for the pollutant gas is the Appendix A method that is cited for compliance test purposes, or its approved alternatives. Methods 2, 2A, 2B, 2C, or 2D, as applicable, are the RMs for the determination of volumetric flow rate.
- 9.0 Quality Control [Reserved]
- 10.0 Calibration and Standardization [Reserved]
- 11.0 Analytical Procedure

Same as Section 11.0 of PS 2.

12.0 Calculations and Data Analysis
Same as Section 12.0 of PS 2.

13.0 Method Performance

13.1 Calibration Drift. Since the CERMS includes analyzers for several measurements, the CD shall be determined separately for each analyzer in terms of its specific measurement. The calibration for each analyzer associated with the measurement of flow rate shall not drift or deviate from each reference value of flow rate by more than 3 percent of the respective high-level value. The CD specification for each analyzer for which other PSs have been established (e.g., PS 2 for SO₂

and NO_X), shall be the same as in the applicable PS.

13.2 CERMS Relative Accuracy. The RA of the CERMS shall be no greater than 20 percent of the mean value of the RM's test data in terms of the units of the emission standard, or 10 percent of the applicable standard, whichever is greater.

14.0 Pollution Prevention [Reserved]

15.0 Waste Management [Reserved]

16.0 Alternative Procedures

Same as in Section 16.0 of PS 2.

17.0 References

1. Brooks, E.F., E.C. Beder, C.A. Flegal, D.J. Luciani, and R. Williams. Continuous

Measurement of Total Gas Flow Rate from Stationary Sources. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. Research Triangle Park, North Carolina. Publication No. EPA-650/2-75-020. February 1975. 248 p.

18.0 Tables, Diagrams, Flowcharts, and Validation Data

		Emission rate (kg/hr) ^a			
Run No.	Date and time	CERMS	RMs	Difference (RMs-CERMS)	
1					
2					
3					
1					
5					
3					
7					
3					
)					

^aThe RMs and CERMS data as corrected to a consistent basis (i.e., moisture, temperature, and pressure conditions).

Figure 6–1.—Emission Rate Determinations

Performance Specification 7— Specifications and Test Procedures for Hydrogen Sulfide Continuous Emission Monitoring Systems in Stationary Sources

1.0 Scope and Application

1.1 Analytes.

Analyte	CAS No.
Hydrogen Sulfide	7783–06–4

1.2 Applicability.

1.2.1 This specification is to be used for evaluating the acceptability of hydrogen sulfide (H₂S) continuous emission monitoring systems (CEMS) at the time of or soon after installation and whenever specified in an applicable subpart of the regulations.

1.2.2 This specification is not designed to evaluate the installed CEMS performance over an extended period of time nor does it identify specific calibration techniques and other auxiliary procedures to assess CEMS performance. The source owner or operator, however, is responsible to calibrate, maintain, and operate the CEMS. To evaluate CEMS performance, the Administrator may require, under Section 114 of the Act, the source owner or operator to conduct CEMS performance evaluations at other times

besides the initial test. See Section 60.13(c).

2.0 Summary

Calibration drift (CD) and relative accuracy (RA) tests are conducted to determine that the CEMS conforms to the specification.

3.0 Definitions

Same as Section 3.0 of PS 2.

4.0 Interferences. [Reserved]

5.0 Safety

The procedures required under this performance specification may involve hazardous materials, operations, and equipment. This performance specification may not address all of the safety problems associated with these procedures. It is the responsibility of the user to establish appropriate safety problems associated with these procedures. It is the responsibility of the user to establish appropriate safety and health practices and determine the application regulatory limitations prior to performing these procedures. The CEMS user's manual and materials recommended by the reference method should be consulted for specific precautions to be taken.

6.0 Equipment and Supplies

- 6.1 Instrument Zero and Span. This specification is the same as Section 6.1 of PS 2.
- 6.2 Calibration Drift. The CEMS calibration must not drift or deviate from the reference value of the calibration gas or reference source by more than 5 percent of the established span value for 6 out of 7 test days (e.g., the established span value is 300 ppm for Subpart J fuel gas combustion devices).
- 6.3 Relative Accuracy. The RA of the CEMS must be no greater than 20 percent when the average reference method (RM) value is used to calculate RA or 10 percent when the applicable emission standard is used to calculate RA.

7.0 Reagents and Standards Same as Section 7.0 of PS 2.

8.0 Sample Collection, Preservation, Storage, and Transport.

- 8.1 Installation and Measurement Location Specification. Same as Section 8.1 of PS 2.
- 8.2 Pretest Preparation. Same as Section 8.2 of PS 2.
- 8.3 Calibration Drift Test Procedure. Same as Section 8.3 of PS 2.
- 8.4 Relative Accuracy Test Procedure.

- 8.4.1 Sampling Strategy for RM Tests, Correlation of RM and CEMS Data, and Number of RM Tests. These are the same as that in PS 2, Sections 8.4.3, 8.4.5, and 8.4.4, respectively.
- 8.4.2 Reference Methods. Unless otherwise specified in an applicable subpart of the regulation, Method 11 is the RM for this PS.
- 8.5 Reporting. Same as Section 8.5 of PS 2.
- 9.0 Quality Control. [Reserved] 10.0 Calibration and Standardizations.

11.0 Analytical Procedures

[Reserved]

Sample Collection and analysis are concurrent for this PS (see Section 8.0). Refer to the RM for specific analytical procedures.

- 12.0 Data Analysis and Calculations Same as Section 12.0 of PS 2.
- 13.0 Method Performance. [Reserved]
- 14.0 Pollution Prevention. [Reserved]
- Waste Management. [Reserved] 15.0
- 16.0 References
- 1. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. Standards of Performance for New Stationary Sources; Appendix B; Performance Specifications 2 and 3 for SO₂, NO_X, CO₂, and O₂ Continuous Emission Monitoring Systems; Final Rule. 48 CFR 23608. Washington, D.C. U.S. Government Printing Office. May 25, 1983.
- 2. U.S. Government Printing Office. Gaseous Continuous Emission Monitoring Systems—Performance Specification Guidelines for SO₂, NO_X, CO₂, O₂, and TRS. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. Washington, D.C. EPA-450/3-82-026.
- October 1982. 26 p. 3. Maines, G.D., W.C. Kelly (Scott Environmental Technology, Inc.), and J.B. Homolya. Evaluation of Monitors for Measuring H₂S in Refinery Gas. Prepared for the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. Research Triangle Park, N.C. Contract No. 68-02-2707. 1978. 60 p.
- 4. Ferguson, B.B., R.E. Lester (Harmon Engineering and Testing), and W.J. Mitchell. Field Evaluation of Carbon Monoxide and Hydrogen Sulfide Continuous Emission Monitors at an Oil Refinery. Prepared for the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. Research Triangle Park, N.C. Publication No. EPA-600/4-82-054. August 1982. 100 p.
- 17.0 Tables, Diagrams, Flowcharts, and Validation Data

Same as Section 18.0 of PS 2.

Performance Specification 8 Performance Specifications for Volatile **Organic Compound Continuous** Emission Monitoring Systems in **Stationary Sources**

- 1.0 Scope and Application
- 1.1 Analytes. Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs).

- 1.2 Applicability.1.2.1 This specification is to be used for evaluating a continuous emission monitoring system (CEMS) that measures a mixture of VOC's and generates a single combined response value. The VOC detection principle may be flame ionization (FI), photoionization (PI), non-dispersive infrared absorption (NDIR), or any other detection principle that is appropriate for the VOC species present in the emission gases and that meets this performance specification. The performance specification includes procedures to evaluate the acceptability of the CEMS at the time of or soon after its installation and whenever specified in emission regulations or permits. This specification is not designed to evaluate the installed CEMS performance over an extended period of time, nor does it identify specific calibration techniques and other auxiliary procedures to assess the CEMS performance. The source owner or operator, however, is responsible to calibrate, maintain, and operate the CEMS properly. To evaluate the CEMS performance, the Administrator may require, under Section 114 of the Act, the operator to conduct CEMS performance evaluations in addition to the initial test. See Section 60.13(c).
- 1.2.2 In most emission circumstances, most VOC monitors can provide only a relative measure of the total mass or volume concentration of a mixture of organic gases, rather than an accurate quantification. This problem is removed when an emission standard is based on a total VOC measurement as obtained with a particular detection principle. In those situations where a true mass or volume VOC concentration is needed, the problem can be mitigated by using the VOC CEMS as a relative indicator of total VOC concentration if statistical analysis indicates that a sufficient margin of compliance exists for this approach to be acceptable. Otherwise, consideration can be given to calibrating the CEMS with a mixture of the same VOC's in the same proportions as they actually occur in the measured source. In those circumstances where only one organic species is present in the source, or where equal incremental amounts of each of the organic species present generate equal CEMS responses, the latter choice can be more easily achieved.
- 2.0 Summary of Performance Specification
- 2.1 Calibration drift and relative accuracy tests are conducted to determine adherence of the CEMS with specifications given for those items. The performance specifications include

criteria for installation and measurement location, equipment and performance, and procedures for testing and data reduction.

3.0 Definitions.

Same as Section 3.0 of PS 2.

4.0 Interferences. [Reserved]

5.0 Safety

The procedures required under this performance specification may involve hazardous materials, operations, and equipment. This performance specification may not address all of the safety problems associated with these procedures. It is the responsibility of the user to establish appropriate safety problems associated with these procedures. It is the responsibility of the user to establish appropriate safety and health practices and determine the application regulatory limitations prior to performing these procedures. The CEMS user's manual and materials recommended by the reference method should be consulted for specific precautions to be taken.

6.0 Equipment and Supplies

- 6.1 VOC CEMS Selection. When possible, select a VOC CEMS with the detection principle of the reference method specified in the regulation or permit (usually either FI, NDIR, or PI). Otherwise, use knowledge of the source process chemistry, previous emission studies, or gas chromatographic analysis of the source gas to select an appropriate VOC CEMS. Exercise extreme caution in choosing and installing any CEMS in an area with explosive hazard potential.
- 6.2 Data Recorder Scale. Same as Section 6.1 of PS 2.
- 7.0 Reagents and Standards. [Reserved]
- 8.0 Sample Collection, Preservation, Storage, and Transport
- 8.1 Installation and Measurement Location Specifications. Same as Section 8.1 of PS 2.
- 8.2 Pretest Preparation. Same as Section 8.2 of PS 2.
- 8.3 Reference Method (RM). Use the method specified in the applicable regulation or permit, or any approved alternative, as the RM.
- 8.4 Sampling Strategy for RM Tests, Correlation of RM and CEMS Data, and Number of RM Tests. Follow PS 2, Sections 8.4.3, 8.4.5, and 8.4.4, respectively.
- 8.5 Reporting. Same as Section 8.5 of PS 2.

[Reserved]

9.0 Quality Control. [Reserved]10.0 Calibration and Standardization.

11.0 Analytical Procedure

Sample collection and analysis are concurrent for this PS (see Section 8.0). Refer to the RM for specific analytical procedures.

12.0 Calculations and Data Analysis
Same as Section 12.0 of PS 2.

13.0 Method Performance

13.1 Calibration Drift. The CEMS calibration must not drift by more than 2.5 percent of the span value.

- 13.2 CEMS Relative Accuracy. Unless stated otherwise in the regulation or permit, the RA of the CEMS must not be greater than 20 percent of the mean value of the RM test data in terms of the units of the emission standard, or 10 percent of the applicable standard, whichever is greater.
- 14.0 Pollution Prevention. [Reserved]
- 15.0 Waste Management. [Reserved]
- 16.0 References

Same as Section 17.0 of PS 2.

17.0 Tables, Diagrams, Flowcharts, and Validation Data. [Reserved]

Performance Specification 9— Specifications and Test Procedures for Gas Chromatographic Continuous Emission Monitoring Systems in Stationary Sources

1.0 Scope and Application

1.1 Applicability. These requirements apply to continuous emission monitoring systems (CEMSs) that use gas chromatography (GC) to measure gaseous organic compound emissions. The requirements include procedures intended to evaluate the acceptability of the CEMS at the time of its installation and whenever specified in regulations or permits. Quality assurance procedures for calibrating, maintaining, and operating the CEMS properly at all times are also given in this procedure.

2.0 Summary of Performance Specification

2.1 Calibration precision, calibration error, and performance audit tests are conducted to determine conformance of the CEMS with these specifications. Daily calibration and maintenance requirements are also specified.

3.0 Definitions

3.1 Gas Chromatograph (GC). That portion of the system that separates and

detects organic analytes and generates an output proportional to the gas concentration. The GC must be temperature controlled.

Note: The term temperature controlled refers to the ability to maintain a certain temperature around the column.

Temperature-programmable GC is not required for this performance specification, as long as all other requirements for precision, linearity and accuracy listed in this performance specification are met. It should be noted that temperature programming a GC will speed up peak elution, thus allowing increased sampling frequency.

- 3.1.1 Column. Analytical column capable of separating the analytes of interest.
- 3.1.2 Detector. A detection system capable of detecting and quantifying all analytes of interest.
- 3.1.3 Integrator. That portion of the system that quantifies the area under a particular sample peak generated by the GC
- 3.1.4 Data Recorder. A strip chart recorder, computer, or digital recorder capable of recording all readings within the instrument's calibration range.
- 3.2 Calibration Precision. The error between triplicate injections of each calibration standard.
- 4.0 Interferences [Reserved]

5.0 Safety

The procedures required under this performance specification may involve hazardous materials, operations, and equipment. This performance specification does not purport to address all of the safety problems associated with these procedures. It is the responsibility of the user to establish appropriate safety problems associated with these procedures. It is the responsibility of the user to establish appropriate safety and health practices and determine the application regulatory limitations prior to performing these procedures. The CEMS user's manual and materials recommended by the reference method should be consulted for specific precautions to be taken.

6.0 Equipment and Supplies

6.1 Presurvey Sample Analysis and GC Selection. Determine the pollutants to be monitored from the applicable regulation or permit and determine the approximate concentration of each pollutant (this information can be based on past compliance test results). Select an appropriate GC configuration to measure the organic compounds. The GC components should include a heated sample injection loop (or other sample introduction systems), separatory

- column, temperature-controlled oven, and detector. If the source chooses dual column and/or dual detector configurations, each column/detector is considered a separate instrument for the purpose of this performance specification and thus the procedures in this performance specification shall be carried out on each system. If this method is applied in highly explosive areas, caution should be exercised in selecting the equipment and method of installation.
- 6.2 Sampling System. The sampling system shall be heat traced and maintained at a minimum of 120 °C with no cold spots. All system components shall be heated, including the probe, calibration valve, sample lines, sampling loop (or sample introduction system), GC oven, and the detector block (when appropriate for the type of detector being utilized, *e.g.*, flame ionization detector).

7.0 Reagents and Standards

7.1 Calibration Gases. Obtain three concentrations of calibration gases certified by the manufacturer to be accurate to within 2 percent of the value on the label. A gas dilution system may be used to prepare the calibration gases from a high concentration certified standard if the gas dilution system meets the requirements specified in Test Method 205, 40 CFR Part 51, Appendix M. The performance test specified in Test Method 205 shall be repeated quarterly, and the results of the Method 205 test shall be included in the report. The calibration gas concentration of each target analyte shall be as follows (measured concentration is based on the presurvey concentration determined in Section 6.1).

Note: If the low level calibration gas concentration falls at or below the limit of detection for the instrument for any target pollutant, a calibration gas with a concentration at 4 to 5 times the limit of detection for the instrument may be substituted for the low-level calibration gas listed in Section 7.1.1.

- 7.1.1 Low-level. 40–60 percent of measured concentration.
- 7.1.2 Mid-level. 90–110 percent of measured concentration.
- 7.1.3 High-level. 140–160 percent of measured concentration, or select highest expected concentration.
- 7.2 Performance Audit Gas. A certified EPA audit gas shall be used, when possible. A gas mixture containing all the target compounds within the calibration range and certified by EPA's Traceability Protocol for Assay and Certification of Gaseous Calibration Standards may be used when EPA performance audit materials